

# Routine assessment versus standard care in managing social difficulties in routine oncology practice

<b>Submission date</b> 23/02/2011	<b>Recruitment status</b> Stopped	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 23/02/2011	<b>Overall study status</b> Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/03/2018	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

<https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/about-cancer/find-a-clinical-trial/a-study-test-new-tool-how-people-cope-after-diagnosed-cancer>

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mrs Emma Ingleson

### Contact details

Psychosocial Oncology and Clinical Practice Research Group, Beckett Street  
Leeds  
United Kingdom  
LS9 7TF  
+44 (0) 113 3433459  
[e.j.ingleson@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:e.j.ingleson@leeds.ac.uk)

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

9698

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Pilot study to compare routine assessment versus standard care in managing social difficulties in routine oncology practice

## Study objectives

The primary objective of this study is to explore the feasibility and effectiveness of implementing a programme of assessment for social difficulties, administered by trained nurses. Specific aims are to develop and evaluate a Nurse Training Package (NTP) to enable staff to carry out the assessment. This randomised pilot study will also investigate the impact of this assessment on the process of care and patient well-being, and provide estimates of the effect size of this intervention in a future randomised trial. The main hypothesis is that a formal assessment of social difficulties will improve detection of issues, lead to a change in the process of care, lead to an increase in support accessed and an enhancement of patient well-being compared to standard care.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Leeds Central Research Ethics Committee, 21/01/2011, ref: 11/H1313/3

## Study design

Randomised interventional treatment

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: National Cancer Research Network; Subtopic: All Cancers/Misc Sites; Disease: All

## Interventions

Social Difficulties Inventory (SDI-21) Assessment: A formal assessment of the presence and severity of social difficulties, using the SDI-21 administered by a trained nurse. Follow up length: 3 months, study entry : registration and one or more randomisations

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

CARES-SF: Cancer Rehabilitation Evaluation System Short Form; Timepoints: Baseline and end of study

**Secondary outcome measures**

HADS: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale; Timepoints: Baseline and end of study

**Overall study start date**

04/04/2011

**Completion date**

30/09/2011

**Reason abandoned (if study stopped)**

Participant recruitment issue

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Be able to read and understand English
2. Have the capacity to give informed consent and complete the questionnaires and touch-screen assessment
3. Not have participated in the initial pilot study, assessing the role of information provision versus standard care
4. Not be participating in any other psychosocial studies
5. Be on active treatment having completed at least 1 cycle of chemotherapy and commenced radiotherapy
6. Be planning to continue treatment and attend the hospital for a minimum of two consecutive on-treatment review appointments and a minimum of one post-treatment follow-up appointment
7. Gender: Male and female
8. Lower Age Limit 18, no age limit specified

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

## **Target number of participants**

Planned Sample Size: 60; UK Sample Size: 60

## **Key exclusion criteria**

Patients who:

1. Cannot read and understand English
2. Are not on active treatment
3. Are already participating in an existing project being conducted by the Psychosocial Oncology and Clinical Practice Research Group
4. Participated in an initial pilot study, assessing the role of information provision versus standard care

## **Date of first enrolment**

04/04/2011

## **Date of final enrolment**

30/09/2011

# **Locations**

## **Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

## **Study participating centre**

**Psychosocial Oncology and Clinical Practice Research Group, Beckett Street**

Leeds

United Kingdom

LS9 7TF

# **Sponsor information**

## **Organisation**

University of Leeds (UK)

## **Sponsor details**

School of Medicine, The Worsley Medical & Dental Building, Clarendon Way

Leeds

England

United Kingdom

LS2 9NL

## **Sponsor type**

University/education

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/024mrxd33>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Charity

**Funder Name**

Cancer Research UK (CRUK) (UK)

**Alternative Name(s)**

CR\_UK, Cancer Research UK - London, CRUK

**Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Other non-profit organizations

**Location**

United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration