

# Evaluation of heterosubtypic immune responses in older people

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>23/04/2010   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting        | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered    |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>23/04/2010 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol                    |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>20/07/2016       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Infections and Infestations | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan   |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Results                     |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data |
|  |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year |

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Iain Stephenson

**Contact details**  
Leicester Royal Infirmary  
Infirmary Square  
Leicester  
United Kingdom  
LE1 5WW

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
6155; G0700846

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Evaluation of heterosubtypic immune responses in older people before and after seasonal and pandemic influenza vaccination

**Study objectives**

Vaccination is the principal means of combating epidemic and pandemic influenza. As vaccines induce relatively strain-specific and short-lived antibody responses, annual immunisation with regularly updated vaccine is recommended for seasonal influenza, but would not be expected to protect against a pandemic event. In clinical trials among immunologically naïve young adults, at least two doses of conventional avian influenza H5 or H9 subunit vaccine are needed to induce moderate homologous antibody responses. However, studies including older subjects have unexpectedly found that greater than 15% and 50% of people aged over 65 years have pre-vaccination neutralising antibody to influenza H5 and H9 haemagglutinin (HA) respectively. In contrast to recipients who are antibody-negative, these subjects mount a robust antibody response to single dose H5 or H9 pandemic vaccine, more consistent with responses seen following single dose seasonal influenza vaccine suggesting that they are effectively primed to at least some strains of avian influenza. It is important to have an understanding of the basis for this as it may help steer the development of vaccines that induce broader immunity.

Immunological objectives:

1. To evaluate heterosubtypic neutralising antibody to influenza viruses in older people
2. To evaluate heterosubtypic neutralising antibody responses to human and non-human influenza viruses following seasonal influenza vaccine
3. To evaluate homologous and heterosubtypic neutralising antibody responses to human and non-human influenza viruses after MF59-adjuvanted H5N1 vaccine

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Oxfordshire REC A approved on the 1st July 2008 (ref: 08/H0304/51)

### **Study design**

Non-randomised interventional prevention trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Prevention

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Inflammatory and Immune System, Generic Health Relevance and Cross Cutting Themes, Infection; Subtopic: Infection (all Subtopics), Inflammatory and Immune System (all Subtopics); Disease: Immunology and inflammation, Age and ageing

### **Interventions**

Subjects will receive two doses of monovalent 7.5 microgram MF59-adjuvanted H5N1 vaccine by intramuscular injection, 3 weeks apart. Subjects will also receive 45 microgram trivalent seasonal influenza vaccine, one dose by intramuscular injection.

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Phase I/II

### **Primary outcome(s)**

1. Antibody titres (geometric mean titres) and mean fold rise after vaccination at visit 2, 3, 4 and 5
2. Proportion of subjects achieving seroconversion after vaccination at visits 2, 3, 4 and 5
3. Proportion of subjects achieving seroprotection (titre of  $\geq 1:40$ ) after vaccination at visits 2, 3, 4 and 5

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Cell mediated responses after vaccination at visit 1, 3 and 5
2. Frequency of solicited adverse reaction reported after vaccination at visits 2, 3, 4 and 5

### **Completion date**

01/07/2010

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Healthy subjects aged greater than or equal to 18 years of age, either sex
2. Subjects willing to receive vaccine in the trial
3. Able to complete informed consent and attend study visits

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Lower age limit**

18 years

### **Sex**

All

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Receipt of another investigational agent within 4 weeks, or before completion of the safety follow-up period in another study, whichever is longer, prior to enrolment and unwilling to refuse participation in another clinical study through the end of the study
2. Subjects who experienced any acute disease or infection requiring systemic antibiotic or antiviral therapy (chronic antibiotic therapy for urinary tract prophylaxis is acceptable) within the past 7 days before Visit 1 or any visit where trial vaccination is planned
3. Subjects who experienced fever (defined as axillary temperature greater than or equal to 38.0° C) within 3 days prior to Visit 1
4. Subjects who are pregnant or breastfeeding
5. Females of childbearing potential who refuse to use an acceptable method of birth control for the duration of the study. Adequate contraception is defined as hormonal (e.g., oral, injection, transdermal patch, implant, cervical ring), barrier (e.g., condom with spermicide or diaphragm with spermicide), intrauterine device (e.g., IUD), or monogamous relationship with vasectomized

partner who has been vasectomized for 6 months or more prior to the subject's study entry

6. Subjects with any serious disease, such as:

6.1. Cancer

6.2. Autoimmune disease (including rheumatoid arthritis)

6.3. Progressive chronic pulmonary disease (stable controlled respiratory disease including asthma is allowed)

6.4. Acute or progressive hepatic disease

6.5. Acute or progressive renal disease

7. Subjects for whom elective surgery is planned during the study period

8. Subjects with bleeding diathesis

9. Subjects with hypersensitivity to eggs, chicken protein, chicken feathers, influenza viral protein, neomycin or polymyxin or any other component of the study vaccine

10. Subjects with a history of any neurological symptoms or signs, or anaphylactic shock following administration of any vaccine

11. Subjects with known or suspected impairment/alteration of immune function, for example, resulting from:

11.1. Receipt of immunosuppressive therapy (any corticosteroid therapy or cancer chemotherapy)

11.2. Receipt of immunostimulants

11.3. Receipt of parenteral immunoglobulin preparation, blood products, and/or plasma derivatives within 3 months prior to Visit 1 or planned during the full length of the study

11.4. High risk for developing an immunocompromising disease

12. Receipt of another vaccine within 3 weeks prior to Visit 1 or planned vaccination within 3 weeks following the last study vaccination

13. Subjects with a history of (or current) drug or alcohol abuse that in the investigator's opinion would interfere with safety of the subject or the evaluation of study objectives

14. Subjects with any condition, which, in the opinion of the Investigator, might interfere with the evaluation of the study objectives

**Date of first enrolment**

15/08/2009

**Date of final enrolment**

01/07/2010

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Leicester Royal Infirmary**

Leicester

United Kingdom

LE1 5WW

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust (UK)

## ROR

<https://ror.org/02fha3693>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Research council

## Funder Name

Medical Research Council (MRC) (UK) (ref: G0700846)

## Alternative Name(s)

Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), UK Medical Research Council, Medical Research Committee and Advisory Council, MRC

## Funding Body Type

Government organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

National government

## Location

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration