Validation of weak magnets as a credible placebo in trials of magnetic therapies

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 29/09/2006 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 29/09/2006 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 21/07/2008 Musculoskeletal Diseases

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Colin Greaves

Contact details

Wyndham House Surgery Silverton United Kingdom EX5 4HZ +44 (0)1392 860034 c.j.greaves@exeter.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N0100168711

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

- 1. To determine whether magnetic bracelets of 50mT field strength (at the ventral wrist-facing surface) are sufficiently convincing placebos for use in future trials of magnetic bracelets. The null hypothesis is that the proportion of people believing a bracelet is magnetic will be the same for people given (and allowed to test) 50mT and 180mT bracelets.
- 2. A secondary hypothesis relates to the difference in strength of belief that each type of bracelet may be therapeutic in relieving osteoarthritis pain.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Added 21 July 2008: the study protocol and procedures were submitted to N&E Devon NHS Research Ethics Committee who considered that, as study design work conducted outside any NHS setting, the study did not require formal Committee approval.

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Musculoskeletal Diseases

Interventions

Randomised controlled trial, comparing belief in magnetic status between 2 groups.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Added 21 July 2008:

Based on the magnet assigned to the participant, responses to the question "Which kind of magnet do you think you have been given?" [Active /Inactive /Dont know].

Secondary outcome measures

Added 21 July 2008:

Based on the magnet assigned to the participant, responses to the question How convinced are you that wearing this bracelet on your wrist for 3 months would help to relieve pain in your joints? [visual analogue scale from 0=Not at all to 10 = Totally convinced].

Overall study start date

01/10/2005

Completion date

01/06/2006

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

108 osteoarthritis patients who do not currently use magnetic bracelet therapy.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

108

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2005

Date of final enrolment

01/06/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre Wyndham House Surgery Silverton United Kingdom EX5 4HZ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Record Provided by the NHSTCT Register - 2006 Update - Department of Health

Sponsor details

The Department of Health, Richmond House, 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Mid Devon Primary Care Trust & Mid Devon Research Group (UK)

Funder Name

NHS R&D Support Funding

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/06/2008		Yes	No