

The action of gabapentin for the management of chronic pelvic pain in women (GaPP)

Submission date 16/12/2011	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 28/02/2012	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 02/07/2020	Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Chronic pelvic pain (CPP) affects more than 1 million women in the UK. Annual healthcare costs are estimated at more than £150 million. Proven treatments for CPP are limited and are often unsatisfactory. Gabapentin is increasingly prescribed due to reports of effectiveness in other chronic pain conditions but there is not enough data supporting its use in CPP specifically. This study aims to measure the effectiveness of gabapentin in the treatment of women with chronic pelvic pain with no known cause.

Who can participate?

Women aged between 18 and 50 who have experienced pelvic pain for more than 6 months.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be randomly allocated to receive either gabapentin or a dummy pill (placebo) daily for 6 months.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may experience a reduction in their pelvic pain.

Where is the study run from?

Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

February 2012 to November 2013

Who is funding the study?

Chief Scientist Office (CSO) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Dr Andrew Horne
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh (UK)

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Andrew Horne

Contact details

Medical Research Council Centre for Reproductive Health
Queen's Medical Research Institute
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh
47 Little France Crescent
Edinburgh
United Kingdom
EH16 4SA

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

Version 1

Study information**Scientific Title**

A pilot randomised controlled trial of the efficacy and mechanism of action of Gabapentin for the management of chronic Pelvic Pain in women (GaPP)

Acronym

GaPP

Study objectives

The aim of this study is to assess recruitment and retention rates and to obtain data to refine the research methodology for a full randomised controlled trial investigating the efficacy and mechanism of action of gabapentin for the management of chronic pelvic pain in women.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Scotland A Research Ethics Committee, 26/01/2011, ref: 12/SS/0005

Study design

Two-arm parallel group pilot trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Chronic pelvic pain

Interventions

Gabapentin versus placebo.

300mg dose increasing in weekly increments to a maximum dose of 2700 mg if pain has not been reduced by 50% each week.

Daily administration (TID) and by oral capsule, treatment given for 6 months.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Gabapentin

Primary outcome measure

Determine whether it is possible to achieve acceptable recruitment and retention rates in two centres (NHS Lothian and NHS Grampian)

Secondary outcome measures

1. To determine the effectiveness and acceptability to patients of the proposed methods of recruitment, randomisation, drug treatments, use of brain functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and follow-up
2. To determine whether fMRI of the brain is a sensitive approach to determine the mechanism of action of gabapentin in the management of CPP
3. To determine whether gabapentin is likely to be cost effective given the current level of evidence and uncertainty, and to ascertain what further evidence is needed for the evaluation of gabapentin

Overall study start date

01/02/2012

Completion date

01/11/2013

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Women aged between 18-50
2. Consented to a routine diagnostic laparoscopy
3. Pelvic pain of > 6 months
4. Pain located within the true pelvis or between and below anterior iliac crests, associated functional disability
5. No obvious pelvic pathology

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Upper age limit

50 Years

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

60

Key exclusion criteria

1. Known pelvic pathology e.g. endometriosis, cyst
2. Undergoing major surgery eg hysterectomy
3. Estimated Glomerular Filtration Rate (eGFR) >60

Date of first enrolment

01/02/2012

Date of final enrolment

01/11/2013

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Scotland

United Kingdom

Study participating centre
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh
Edinburgh
United Kingdom
EH16 4SA

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Edinburgh (UK)

Sponsor details

NHS Lothian
Academic and Clinical Central Office for Research and Development (ACCORD)
Queen's Medical Research Institute
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh
47 Little France Crescent
Edinburgh
Scotland
United Kingdom
EH16 4SA

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

<http://www.ed.ac.uk/>

ROR

<https://ror.org/01nrxf90>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Chief Scientist Office (CSO) (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

CSO

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Local government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	08/06/2012		Yes	No
Results article	results	12/04/2016		Yes	No
Results article	results	27/06/2019	02/07/2020	Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No