

# Randomised controlled trial of transvaginal tension-free vaginal tape-obturator (TVT-O) versus Monarc in treatment of urodynamic stress incontinence

<b>Submission date</b> 28/01/2006	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 16/03/2006	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 10/07/2017	<b>Condition category</b> Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Alison Peattie

**Contact details**  
Countess of Chester Hospital  
Liverpool Road  
Chester  
United Kingdom  
CH2 1UL

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
05/Q1104/176

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

Randomised controlled trial of transvaginal tension-free vaginal tape-obturator (TVT-O) versus Monarc in treatment of urodynamic stress incontinence

### **Study objectives**

A comparison of two different ways of placing a suburethral tape (surgical procedure) to correct Urodynamic Stress Incontinence (USI). An assessment of the success rate and complications of the two procedures.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Urodynamic Stress Incontinence (USI)

### **Interventions**

Randomised controlled trial, patient allocation by random numbers with blocking

Surgery - either of two ways of placing a transobturator tape to correct the incontinence, tension-free vaginal tape-obturator (TVT-O) versus Monarc

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Objective and subjective cure of urodynamic stress incontinence

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Operating time
2. Blood loss
3. Complications
4. Pain
5. Catheter use post-operatively
6. Voiding

### **Completion date**

31/03/2008

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

1. Females having a primary continence procedure without other surgery
2. Diagnosis of USI
3. Completed course of physiotherapy
4. Completed family

## Participant type(s)

Patient

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

## Age group

Adult

## Sex

Female

## Key exclusion criteria

1. Previous continence or prolapse surgery
2. Neurological disease
3. Pregnancy
4. Urinary tract or vaginal infection
5. Detrusor overactivity
6. Voiding problem
7. Anticoagulant use

## Date of first enrolment

01/04/2006

## Date of final enrolment

31/03/2008

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

## Study participating centre

Countess of Chester Hospital

Chester

United Kingdom

CH2 1UL

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Countess of Chester NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

## ROR

<https://ror.org/0149cpy58>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Industry

## Funder Name

Any expenses incurred will be covered by Gynecare and American Medical Systems who produce the two tapes

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration