

Randomised controlled trial to compare the functional outcome of the standard NexGen Legacy knee replacement to that of the NexGen Legacy Flex knee replacement which is designed to allow a greater range of flexion in the knee

Submission date 13/10/2006	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 04/12/2006	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 27/06/2008	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Marietta van der Linden

Contact details
School of Health Sciences
Queen Margaret University College
Duke Street
Edinburgh
United Kingdom
EH6 8HF
+44 (0)131 3173820
mvanderlinden@qmuc.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Does the use of the NexGen Legacy Flex knee replacement produce significantly better outcomes than those obtained with the standard NexGen Legacy knee replacement ?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The study was approved by the Lothian Research Ethics Committee on 17/2/2004 (ref: LREC2003 /1/36).

Study design

Prospective, double blind, randomised controlled trial.

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Total knee replacement surgery in patients with osteoarthritis

Interventions

Standard NexGen Legacy knee replacement versus the NexGen Legacy Flex knee replacement

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Functional range of movement of the knee using flexible electrogoniometry

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Passive range of motion
2. Pain
3. Knee extensor and flexor strength
4. Walking speed
5. Woman on the Move Against Cancer (WOMAC)

6. Knee Society Score
7. Daily Physical Activity
8. Quality of Life

Completion date

31/12/2006

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients with knee osteoarthritis who are on the waiting list to undergo unilateral total knee arthroplasty at the New Royal Infirmary in Edinburgh and who can passively flex their knee 90 degrees or more.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

1. Inflammatory polyarthritis
2. Disorders of the feet, hips or spine causing abnormal gait or significant pain
3. Dementia
4. Severe visual impairment
5. Neurological conditions affecting movement
6. Inability to give informed consent

Date of first enrolment

01/03/2004

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Scotland

Study participating centre

School of Health Sciences
Edinburgh
United Kingdom
EH6 8HF

Sponsor information

Organisation

Queen Margaret University College (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/002g3cb31>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

Zimmer UK Ltd (ref: Z0002)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Results	01/01/2008		Yes	No