

# Validation of TKA Easy software: Are the clinical outcomes the same as the TKA 4.3 software?

<b>Submission date</b> 21/11/2011	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 18/06/2012	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 18/01/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The research aims to validate a new computer application for use during computer-assisted total knee replacement. The aim of the study is to show that the clinical outcomes when using this software are the same or better when compared to those using the older version of the software. The new software has been designed to be easier to use and has a more simple 'work flow' (the steps the surgeon has to go through when using the software) and so is expected to take less time than the older version. Consequently we will also investigate whether the use of the new software results in a shorter operation time when compared to the older version.

### Who can participate?

All patients under the care of Mr F. Picard and Mr D. Allen who are coming in for a total knee replacement within our institution (Golden Jubilee National Hospital).

### What does the study involve?

The patients will be randomly allocated to either the OrthoPilot TKA easy application or to the former version of navigation software (TKA 4.3). The study involves the patient's own treatment and all patients will have their operation done by their consultant. The exception to this is that at the six weeks review they will have a Computerised Tomography (CT) scan of the knee (using the Imperial knee protocol) instead of the routine hip-knee-ankle X-ray to determine the alignment of the prosthesis.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

By taking part in this study the patient will be exposed to a slightly increased dose of radiation than would otherwise be the case. This is due to the patient having a CT scan instead of a long-length x-ray to assess the position of the knee replacement. However, we will be using a CT protocol that reduces the radiation you receive. The dose has been calculated by our radiation protection advisor and has been classified as a minor risk. The ethics committee is happy with this radiation level. We cannot promise that the patient will directly benefit from the study but the information we get from this study may help in the treatment of other people having computerised navigated total knee replacement.

Where is the study run from?

The study is taking place at the Golden jubilee National Hospital, Clydebank

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study started in February 2012. We are recruiting 220 patients and are hoping to have the number of patients required by August 2013.

Who is funding the study?

The study is funded by the National Waiting Times Centre Board, Golden Jubilee National Hospital, Clydebank. We have also received a fund from Aesculap Ag (Germany) who own the software.

Who is the main contact?

Ms Nadia C Sciberras

nadia.sciberras@gjnh.scot.nhs.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mr Frederic Picard

### Contact details

Orthopaedic Department  
Golden Jubilee National Hospital  
Agamemnon Street  
Clydebank  
United Kingdom  
G81 4DY

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

11/ORTH/04

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Validation of TKA Easy software: Are the clinical outcomes the same as the TKA 4.3 software?: a randomised controlled study

### Study objectives

1. To determine if the clinical, functional and radiological outcomes following implantation of a knee using the Orthopilot Total Knee Arthroplasty (TKA) easy software are the same as those following implantation of a knee using the Orthopilot TKA 4.3 software.
2. To determine whether there is a decrease in the registration time and hence the operative time when using OrthoPilot TKA easy application when compared to a former version of navigation software (TKA 4.3).

On 01/07/2015 the overall trial end date was changed from 28/02/2013 to 26/11/2013.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

West of Scotland REC 5, 17/01/2012, Ethics No: IRAS: 88263/269718/1/891, REC No: 11/WS/0125.

Due to a prior advice by our R&D department, we had put the application on hold as we were advised we do not need to register the trial since it's a non-drug trial and software and implants are CE marked. So first patient was recruited on 08/02/2012.

### **Study design**

Randomised single-blinded controlled study in a single centre

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Navigated Total Knee Arthroplasty

### **Interventions**

All patients will undergo a primary navigated TKA.

Patients will be assessed at the pre-operative clinic, and an Oxford Knee Score will also be taken as part of their routine clinical care. The study will be discussed. Following consent the patient will be randomised using sequentially numbered, opaque sealed envelopes (SNOSE) 8. The research coordinator (or nominated person) who will be independent of the approach and consent of the patients to the study will name, sign and open the envelope and inform the study team of the randomisation. One group (Easy) will be randomised to the OrthoPilot TKA easy application. The second group (4.3) will be randomised to the former version of navigation software (TKA 4.3).

The patient will then undergo a navigated TKA as normal. The prosthesis used will be the Columbus knee prosthesis which is normally used by both surgeons in the study. This prosthesis holds a CE certification and is a class III medical product. Passive trackers will be used in both treatment groups irrespective of the software being used. In both cases the standard software will be used. This will exclude use of the software that allows for a soft tissue balancing procedure. The registration time will be automatically recorded by the software. The total operation time will be defined as the 'skin to skin' time and will be recorded by an independent theatre person who is not involved in the study. The surgeon will not be made aware of the operation time until the end of the surgery to decrease the risk of bias. For this purpose theatre clocks will be covered.

The patient will be seen post-operatively during their inpatient stay and followed-up at six weeks. At six weeks they will have a Computerised Tomography (CT) scan of the knee (using the Imperial knee protocol ) instead of the routine hip-knee-ankle X-ray to determine the alignment

of the prosthesis. The total effective dose for this protocol has been calculated by the Radiation Protection Adviser and Consultant Medical Physicist (Dr A. G. Brennan) to be 0.6mSv which falls in the minor risk category for bio-medical research. Following an agreement with the local radiology department, patients will attend for their CT scan on a Monday, Tuesday or Thursday between the hours of 12 and 2.00pm. Patients will be informed of this restriction to their follow up time in the patient information sheet. The radiological outcomes will be assessed by a radiologist independent to the study. The patients will also have a routine post-operative assessment with our Arthroplasty clinic where the Oxford Knee Score, patient satisfaction and range of motion (ROM) will be recorded as per local policy. The ROM will be recorded using an electronic goniometer. In addition to these routine scores, the Knee Society Score will also be recorded.

It is expected that the recruitment time will be nine months.

The data that will be collected and analysed will be demographic data [gender, age at operation, Society of Anesthesiologists physical status score (ASA), BMI, etiology and pre-operative knee oxford score], type of knee (varus/valgus knee, range of movement [ROM], degree of fixed flexion if present, Kellgren Lawrence Score and Ahlback Classification), operative details (date of TKA, side, registration and operative time), clinical outcome (ROM and re-admission rate due to complications such as wound problems, infection, pain and deep vein thrombosis (DVT) /pulmonary embolism (PE), patient satisfaction, post-operative Oxford knee score, Knee Society Score (KSS) and radiological outcome using CT scan (radiolucency according to zone, rotational alignment, coronal mechanical femoral axis, sagittal mechanical femoral axis, coronal mechanical tibial axis, sagittal mechanical tibial axis, coronal femorotibial angles). The number of outliers beyond +/- 3° will then be calculated for each group.

The research team will not be blinded to the allocation of patients to each group. However the independent radiologist who will assess the CT-scans will be, as will the arthroplasty practitioners who will collect the patient satisfaction, ROM and Oxford score post-operatively.

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome(s)**

The overall lower limb coronal alignment (mechanical femorotibial angle) as measured on the post-operative CT scan

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Radiological - Component alignment and rotation and sagittal lower limb alignment
2. Patient reported outcome measures - Oxford knee score and Patient Satisfaction
3. Clinical outcomes - Knee Society Score, range of motion, number of complications
4. Operative times - registration time and overall operative time

### **Completion date**

26/11/2013

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients having a primary navigated knee arthroplasty
2. Able to give informed consent
3. Able to return for follow-up

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients with a body mass index (BMI) > 40
2. Patients who are known pre-operatively to require patellar resurfacing
3. Patients who do not wish to participate
4. Patients who are unable to give informed consent
5. Patients who are unable to attend for follow up

**Date of first enrolment**

08/02/2012

**Date of final enrolment**

07/10/2013

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

Scotland

**Study participating centre**

**Golden Jubilee National Hospital**

Clydebank

United Kingdom

G81 4DY

**Sponsor information**

## Organisation

National Waiting times Centre Board (UK)

## ROR

<https://ror.org/0103jbm17>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Industry

### Funder Name

Aesculap Ag (Germany)

### Funder Name

National Waiting Times Centre Board, Golden Jubilee National Hospital (UK)

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	04/10/2016	18/01/2019	Yes	No