

The effectiveness of antibiotics compared to no antibiotics for exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a feasibility study

Submission date 12/03/2010	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 15/03/2010	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 25/05/2016	Condition category Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

This study will evaluate whether antibiotic treatment is beneficial in patients with chronic lung disease who experience a sudden increase in shortness of breath and coughing. The study will be run within and use data from the General Practice Research Database, which for analysis purposes contains anonymised medical records of over 3 million "active" patients. This database is being widely used for observational research, particularly to evaluate the adverse effects of medicines. This database collects information on the healthcare provided to these patients by the general practitioners and on any hospital admissions.

Who can participate?

Patients aged 40 or older with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

What does the study involve?

Eligible patients are asked by their GPs to consent to participation into the study. After the patient's consent, the record is flagged and they are randomly allocated to receive either antibiotic treatment or no treatment. Patients are then followed and routine medical care is provided to them as needed. The information collected for the patients mostly consists of information that is routinely collected by GPs and others in primary care. This includes information on the patient returning to the GP for symptoms related to lung disease. It also includes information about hospital admissions or prescribing of other medicines for this condition.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from?

Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
July 2010 to July 2012

Who is funding the study?
NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

Who is the main contact?
Dr Tjeerd-Pieter van Staa
tjeerd.vanstaa@mhra.gsi.gov.uk

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Tjeerd-Pieter van Staa

Contact details
GPRD
MHRA 15th Floor Market Towers
1 Nine Elms Lane
London
United Kingdom
SW8 5NQ
+44 (0)20 7084 2019
tjeerd.vanstaa@mhra.gsi.gov.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
HTA 07/50/05; 10_022

Study information

Scientific Title
Antibiotics for Exacerbations of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease (AECOPD): a pilot randomised trial within the General Practice Research Database (GPRD)

Acronym
AECOPD

Study objectives

Randomised clinical trials have made major contributions to medical research. But the costs of conducting these studies can often be prohibitive. A considerable amount of the data needed in prospective studies is increasingly collected as part of routine health care. A major opportunity in extending research opportunities could be for trials to use information that is routinely collected. A randomised clinical trial could be conducted by prospectively randomising participants to treatment with subsequent data collection and follow up conducted by using the routinely collected data (also known as 'randomised clinical trial within the database' [RCTdb]). This type of study evaluates the drug effectiveness (i.e., the outcomes within routine health care system) rather than drug efficacy (i.e., the outcomes in ideal circumstances).

This will be a feasibility trial of RCTdb. General Practitioners (GPs) play a key role in the UK health care system, as they are responsible for primary health care and specialist referrals. Hospitals are required to inform GPs of any significant medical events that occur. Long-term care of chronic conditions is typically managed by GPs. As a consequence, medical records as managed by the GPs contain longitudinal information on all significant medical events and prescribing. They are essentially the life-long record for each patient and include the key secondary care information as well laboratory, other investigations and details of all medications prescribed within general practice. Data from practices in England can now be linked anonymously at the person level to various other NHS datasets, including the death certificates, the national registry in England of hospital admission, prospective disease registries (such as the cancer registries or the Central Cardiac Audit Database, that records, among others clinical details on subjects admitted to hospitals in England for myocardial infarction). These records contain unique information for research.

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) is a progressive condition characterised by an obstructive pattern of expiratory airflow limitation which can not be fully reversed. Patients with COPD frequently suffer from acute exacerbations of the disease, characterised by an increase in symptoms of dyspnoea, sputum volume or purulence. COPD exacerbations are often bacterial in origin and antibiotic therapy is appropriate. However, COPD exacerbations may also be due to viral infections of the upper respiratory tract or may be non-infective. The role of antibiotics in treating mild or moderate acute COPD exacerbations without purulent sputum is not clear.

Most randomised clinical trials (RCTs) evaluating antibiotics for COPD are restricted to patients hospitalised for acute exacerbations. Of the 11 studies included in a recent Cochrane review only two included patients from a GP setting, despite much healthcare for COPD patients being administered in this environment. Also, few of the studies provided details on the resource utilisation associated with exacerbations or the effect of prescribing antibiotics on this utilisation. Given the very high costs associated with conducting large paper-based RCTs the largest study to date included just 270 patients. There is a clear need for a method of conducting large cost-effective RCTs in general clinical practice.

More details can be found at: <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/075005>

Protocol can be found at: http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0005/51872/PRO-07-50-05.pdf

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Multicentre pragmatic randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

GP practice

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not provided in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Interventions

Potentially eligible trial subjects will be identified by the Principal Investigator within the anonymous GPRD records. These will be patients with a spirometry confirmed diagnosis of COPD using the definitions of the Quality and Outcomes Framework (QOF). QOF was implemented in 2004 and established reimbursement for reporting indices related to selected diseases, including COPD. Trial recruitment procedures will be initiated for potentially eligible trial subjects (using a flagging system in the GP software) if the patient visits the GP Investigator. If the patient suffers from an acute COPD exacerbation with an increase of non-purulent sputum volume, the GP Investigator will then review and confirm the eligibility criteria and record this in the electronic case report form in a web-based secure clinical trial management system. For patients that accept to participate in the study, the system will allow printing a consent form for the patient to sign. The information about this study will be provided at the point of consultation by the GP Investigator. It is stated on the information sheet that a subject can withdraw at any stage or not redeem the antibiotic.

Consenting patients can be provided with an antibiotic prescription at the same visit as the recruitment. But consenting patients will be informed that they can 'opt-out' of the study by not redeeming the prescription for the study drug and that they can return for a consultation.

After 4 weeks after the baseline visit, trial participants will be invited to visit the general practice. They will be requested to return with the completed patient diary. Trial subjects will be followed for a period of 3 months for study outcomes:

1. Hospital admission for COPD exacerbation
2. All-cause mortality
3. Prescribing of antibiotics
4. Suspected adverse drug reactions to the study drug
5. NHS costs for secondary outcomes

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Measuring the feasibility of conducting RCTdb; after randomisation to treatment or no treatment, patients will be followed using information collected in GPRD. The feasibility evaluation will include the recruitment rate and an assessment of the technical challenges in conducting RCTdb. No formal threshold for success will be applied but results will be published.

Secondary outcome measures

Over the first four weeks:

1. Participants will be requested to complete a daily diary (i.e. the Exacerbations of Chronic Pulmonary Disease Tool: a validated assessment tool to quantify the frequency, severity and duration of COPD exacerbations)
2. Repeat GP visit for symptoms related to the same COPD exacerbation in the 4-week period
3. Referrals to community respiratory team in the 4-week period
4. Hospital admission for COPD exacerbation in the 4-week period
5. Prescribing of oral corticosteroids in the 4-week period

Over 3 months:

6. Hospital admission for COPD exacerbation
7. All-cause mortality
8. Prescribing of antibiotics
9. Suspected adverse drug reactions to the study drug
10. NHS costs for secondary outcomes

Given the small number of participants in this study, these secondary outcomes will serve as pilot data for power calculations for larger studies in the future. The study will not be powered statistically to detect a difference in secondary outcomes.

Overall study start date

12/07/2010

Completion date

12/07/2012

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Aged 40 years or older, either sex
2. Diagnosed at a GP visit as suffering from acute exacerbation of COPD:
 - 2.1. Medical history of COPD
 - 2.2. Increase in dyspnoea and increase of (non-purulent) sputum volume
3. Able and willing to provide informed consent to study participation
4. Fully registered with the general practice for at least 6 months (i.e. subjects who are newly registered with the practice will not be eligible)
5. Subjects who had not been prescribed an antibiotic in the previous 3 months
6. Subjects who in the opinion of the GP Investigator could be prescribed an antibiotic

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

150

Key exclusion criteria

1. Purulent sputum (as determined by GP)
2. COPD exacerbation in the last 28 days
3. Immediate referral to specialist care for treatment of COPD exacerbation
4. Prescribed an antibiotic in the previous 3 months
5. Contra-indication to antibiotics. Further details can be found in the British National Formulary (section 5).

Date of first enrolment

12/07/2010

Date of final enrolment

12/07/2012

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

GPRD

London

United Kingdom

SW8 5NQ

Sponsor information**Organisation**

London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (LSHTM) (UK)

Sponsor details

104a Keppel Street
London
United Kingdom
WC1E 7HT
+44 (0)20 7636 8636
patricia.henley@lshtm.ac.uk

Sponsor type

Research organisation

Website

<http://www.lshtm.ac.uk/>

ROR

<https://ror.org/00a0jsq62>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Health Technology Assessment Programme

Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, HTA

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/07/2014		Yes	No