

The use of chewing gum to enhance recovery after bowel surgery

Submission date 23/07/2008	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 20/10/2008	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 17/06/2020	Condition category Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Prof Peter Griffiths

Contact details
National Nursing Research Unit
King's College London
Room 4.29b James Clerk Maxwell building
Waterloo Road
London
United Kingdom
SE1 8WA
+44 (0)20 7848 3012
peter.griffiths@southampton.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Does chewing (gum) aid recovery after colorectal resection in the context of an enhanced recovery programme? A randomised controlled trial

Study objectives

Chewing gum may enhance recovery from colorectal resection by stimulating bowel motility, shortening post-operative ileus thereby shortening the recovery period.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Dorset Research Ethics Committee, approved in January 2007 (ref: 06/Q2201/182)

Study design

Randomised, single-blind, single-centre trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Colorectal disease (both benign and malignant)

Interventions

Subjects randomised to the treatment group were given sugar-free commercially available chewing gum three times a day for 30 minutes each time from the first post-operative day to day of discharge. The participants in the control group received usual care only.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Length of hospital stay

Secondary outcome measures

1. Time to first oral fluids
2. Time to first food
3. Time to bowels open
4. Time to flatus
5. Time to fit for discharge

Overall study start date

01/02/2007

Completion date

01/08/2007

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Elective patients undergoing segmental, partial or sub-total colonic resection for malignant or benign colonic disease
2. Both males and females, over 18 years of age
3. Consent to take part in study

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

60

Key exclusion criteria

1. Palliative resection or bypass
2. Concomitant small bowel resection
3. More than one bowel anastomosis during their operation
4. Identified pre-operatively as requiring elective post-operative ventilation or planned intensive care therapy due to co-morbid conditions
5. Allergy to gum or ingredients

Date of first enrolment

01/02/2007

Date of final enrolment

01/08/2007

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

National Nursing Research Unit

London

United Kingdom

SE1 8WA

Sponsor information

Organisation

King's College London

Sponsor details

c/o Professor Peter Griffiths

National Nursing Research Unit

Room 4.29b James Clerk Maxwell building

Waterloo Road

London

England

United Kingdom

SE1 8WA

-

peter.griffiths@kcl.ac.uk

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

<http://www.kcl.ac.uk>

ROR

<https://ror.org/0220mzb33>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Other

Funder Name

Investigator-funded as this study was carried out as part of a MSc programme (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Basic results		16/06/2020	17/06/2020	No	No