

Ontario Printed Educational Message trial (OPEM): changing physician decision making towards more evidence based choices

Submission date 21/07/2005	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 21/07/2005	Overall study status Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 14/12/2021	Condition category Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Merrick Flynn Zwarenstein

Contact details
Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences
2075 Bayview Ave
G106
Toronto, Ontario
Canada
M4N 3M5
+1 (0)416 480 4055 ext. 7439
merrick.zwarenstein@ices.on.ca

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
MCT-67916

Study information

Scientific Title

Printed Educational Materials (PEMs) and their impact on physician decision making towards more evidence based choices: a single centre randomised trial

Acronym

OPEM

Study objectives

Printed Educational Materials (PEMs) will change physician decision making towards more evidence based choices.

Study Objective:

To measure the impact of PEMs on physician behaviour.

This description covers three replicates, independently randomised. Each of the three sequential studies, aimed to assess the effects of printed educational materials on practice change by physicians. Each of the three replicates was aimed at a different condition and group of patients, and used different messages, but the same message formats.

These three separate trials, essentially identical in design, tested the impact of printed educational messages aimed at:

1. Increasing coverage of retinal screening among all adult patients with diabetes
2. Intensification of cardiovascular treatment for patients over 65 years of age, with diabetes, and
3. At encouraging physicians to choose thiazides for initiation of hypertension therapy in their patients over 65 years, with newly diagnosed uncomplicated hypertension.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Research Ethics Board of Sunnybrook & Women's College Health Science Centre, Toronto, Ontario (Canada), 29/04/2004, ref: #135-2004

Study design

Single-centre randomised cluster and factorial trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Other

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Diabetes, hypertension

Interventions

Posted printed educational materials (PEM) as follows:

Intervention arm 1 will receive 'informed' with an attached short insert

Intervention arm 2 will receive 'informed' with an attached short insert and longer insert

Intervention arm 3 will receive 'informed' with an attached long insert

Control arm will receive 'informed' only with no attachments

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Three trials:

1. Proportion of new hypertensives on diuretics
2. Proportion of diabetics who receive retinal screening
3. Proportion of diabetics who receive lipid lowering and antihypertensive medication and an ACE inhibitor

All measured from routinely collected health insurance and administrative information: OHRI, ODB, CIHI

Key secondary outcome(s)

No secondary outcome measures

Completion date

01/07/2006

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

5547 actively practicing family (FP) and general practitioners (GP) in Ontario (~25 years and above, either sex) that have more than 100 patients over 65 years of age in fee for service practice with greater \$50,000 billings to OHIP in 2003, randomised each time for three mail-outs of PEMs - the Printed Educational Materials

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Physicians who have elected not to receive 'informed', a newsletter on evidence based practice out of the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES)

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2005

Date of final enrolment

01/07/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Canada

Study participating centre

Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences

Toronto, Ontario

Canada

M4N 3M5

Sponsor information

Organisation

Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences - Toronto (Canada)

ROR

<https://ror.org/05p6rhy72>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research organisation

Funder Name

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (Canada), ref: MCT-67916

Alternative Name(s)

Instituts de Recherche en Santé du Canada, The Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), Canadian Institutes of Health Research | Ottawa ON, CIHR - Welcome to the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, CIHR, IRSC

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

Canada

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

IPD sharing plan summary

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	diabetic retinopathy results	06/08/2014		Yes	No
Results article	diabetic retinopathy results	06/08/2014		Yes	No
Results article	hypertension results	13/09/2016		Yes	No
Results article	hypertension results	17/09/2016		Yes	No
Results article		11/12/2021	14/12/2021	Yes	No
Protocol article	process evaluation protocol	26/11/2007		Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	26/11/2007		Yes	No
Protocol article	sub-trial protocol	26/11/2007		Yes	No