

# Ontario Printed Educational Message trial (OPEM): changing physician decision making towards more evidence based choices

**Submission date**

21/07/2005

**Recruitment status**

No longer recruiting

☐ Prospectively registered

☒ Protocol

**Registration date**

21/07/2005

**Overall study status**

Completed

☐ Statistical analysis plan

☒ Results

**Last Edited**

14/12/2021

**Condition category**

Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine

☐ Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Dr Merrick Flynn Zwarenstein

**Contact details**

Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences

2075 Bayview Ave

G106

Toronto, Ontario

Canada

M4N 3M5

+1 (0)416 480 4055 ext. 7439

merrick.zwarenstein@ices.on.ca

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**

MCT-67916

## Study information

Scientific Title

Printed Educational Materials (PEMs) and their impact on physician decision making towards more evidence based choices: a single centre randomised trial

## **Acronym**

OPEM

## **Study objectives**

Printed Educational Materials (PEMs) will change physician decision making towards more evidence based choices.

### **Study Objective:**

To measure the impact of PEMs on physician behaviour.

This description covers three replicates, independently randomised. Each of the three sequential studies, aimed to assess the effects of printed educational materials on practice change by physicians. Each of the three replicates was aimed at a different condition and group of patients, and used different messages, but the same message formats.

These three separate trials, essentially identical in design, tested the impact of printed educational messages aimed at:

1. Increasing coverage of retinal screening among all adult patients with diabetes
2. Intensification of cardiovascular treatment for patients over 65 years of age, with diabetes, and
3. At encouraging physicians to choose thiazides for initiation of hypertension therapy in their patients over 65 years, with newly diagnosed uncomplicated hypertension.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Research Ethics Board of Sunnybrook & Women's College Health Science Centre, Toronto, Ontario (Canada), 29/04/2004, ref: #135-2004

## **Study design**

Single-centre randomised cluster and factorial trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Other

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Diabetes, hypertension

## **Interventions**

Posted printed educational materials (PEM) as follows:

Intervention arm 1 will receive 'informed' with an attached short insert

Intervention arm 2 will receive 'informed' with an attached short insert and longer insert

Intervention arm 3 will receive 'informed' with an attached long insert

Control arm will receive 'informed' only with no attachments

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome(s)**

Three trials:

1. Proportion of new hypertensives on diuretics
2. Proportion of diabetics who receive retinal screening
3. Proportion of diabetics who receive lipid lowering and antihypertensive medication and an ACE inhibitor

All measured from routinely collected health insurance and administrative information: OHRI, ODB, CIHI

**Key secondary outcome(s))**

No secondary outcome measures

**Completion date**

01/07/2006

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

5547 actively practicing family (FP) and general practitioners (GP) in Ontario (~25 years and above, either sex) that have more than 100 patients over 65 years of age in fee for service practice with greater \$50,000 billings to OHIP in 2003, randomised each time for three mail-outs of PEMs - the Printed Educational Materials

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

Physicians who have elected not to receive 'informed', a newsletter on evidence based practice out of the Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences (ICES)

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/2005

**Date of final enrolment**

01/07/2006

## Locations

### Countries of recruitment

Canada

### Study participating centre

**Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences**

Toronto, Ontario

Canada

M4N 3M5

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

Institute for Clinical Evaluative Sciences - Toronto (Canada)

### ROR

<https://ror.org/05p6rhy72>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Research organisation

### Funder Name

Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (Canada), ref: MCT-67916

### Alternative Name(s)

Instituts de Recherche en Santé du Canada, Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), CIHR\_IRSC, Canadian Institutes of Health Research | Ottawa ON, CIHR - Welcome to the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, CIHR, IRSC

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

National government

### Location

Canada

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

## IPD sharing plan summary

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	diabetic retinopathy results	06/08/2014		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	diabetic retinopathy results	06/08/2014		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	hypertension results	13/09/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	hypertension results	17/09/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		11/12/2021	14/12/2021	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	process evaluation protocol	26/11/2007		Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	26/11/2007		Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	sub-trial protocol	26/11/2007		Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes