

# A school-based intervention program in promoting leisure-time physical activity

|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>25/10/2017   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting              | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol            |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>26/10/2017 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan<br><input type="checkbox"/> Results                       |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>16/12/2022       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data<br><input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year |

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Regular participation in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity plays an important role in managing obesity. Physical education (PE) plays an important role in promoting lifelong participation in physical activity. However, it was found that the provision of compulsory school physical activity (e.g., PE lessons) in childhood is not linked with physical activity participation, fitness or overweight levels in adulthood. These inconsistent findings raise questions about the value of the school-based programs on improving fitness through physical activity. School-based interventions targeting benefits and barriers associated with physical activity have been identified as effective approaches to promote young people's leisure-time physical activity. However, the impact of a cost-effective school-based intervention on health outcomes such as the body mass index has not been examined. Therefore, this study aims to investigate whether a school-based intervention program targeting benefits and barriers will promote secondary students' participation in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during leisure-time and reduce the body mass index of overweight students.

### Who can participate?

Secondary school students in Singapore

### What does the study involve?

PE lessons take place twice a week over 4 weeks in both the control and intervention periods. The intervention period begins after the control period ends. In the control phase, PE teachers encourage students in PE lessons to participate in physical activity during leisure-time without delivering persuasive messages in PE lessons. Before the intervention period, PE teachers are trained on how to deliver persuasive messages through a 3-hour workshop. During the workshop, PE teachers are encouraged to express their concerns about delivering persuasive messages, and investigators address those concerns to assist PE teachers to conduct the intervention. The persuasive messages target the benefits and barriers associated with physical activity. Salient benefits and barriers are identified based on the results of surveys conducted in the control period. In the intervention period, PE teachers deliver the persuasive messages that target the benefits and barriers associated with physical activity at the last 5-10 minutes of each PE lesson. After PE teachers deliver the persuasive message, students are asked to answer a question about the message in each lesson.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The persuasive messages may be useful for participants to understand and maximize the benefits of doing physical activity by overcoming possible barriers. Furthermore, overweight participants might be able to reduce their body mass index through doing more physical activity during leisure time. Participants are asked to attach an accelerometer on his/her non-dominant wrist for one week in order to objectively measure their physical activity level. Although there are no foreseeable risks in participation beyond the risks of everyday living, the attachment of the accelerometer might cause slight discomfort. To minimize the discomfort, participants are requested to attach it in a comfortable manner.

Where is the study run from?

Nanyang Technological University (Singapore)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

May 2015 to December 2018

Who is funding the study?

National Institute of Education (Singapore)

Who is the main contact?

Dr Masato Kawabata

masato.kawabata@nie.edu.sg

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Masato Kawabata

### Contact details

Physical Education and Sports Science Academic Group

National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University

1 Nanyang Walk

Singapore

Singapore

637616

+65 (0)6790 3702

masato.kawabata@nie.edu.sg

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Effects of school-based intervention programs in promoting moderate to vigorous physical activity during leisure time

### Study objectives

The present study aims to examine whether a school-based intervention program that targets salient benefits and barriers grounded on the theory of planned behavior is useful to promote secondary school students' moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during leisure-time and reduce the body mass index (BMI) of overweight students.

The trialists hypothesize that compared to the control condition:

1. Students will participate more in moderate-to-vigorous physical activity during leisure-time during intervention and post-intervention periods (H1)
2. Overweight students will exhibit a decrease in BMI after the intervention period (H2)
3. Students' attitudes and perceptions of control towards leisure-time physical activity will mediate the effects of the intervention program on physical activity intentions, behavior, and BMI (H3)

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

1. Nanyang Technological University Institutional Review Board, 14/03/2016, ref: IRB-2016-01-032
2. Amendments to the original study protocol approved 18/07/2017, ref: IRB-2016-01-032-01

### Study design

Within-subjects non-randomized trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Non randomised study

### Study setting(s)

School

### Study type(s)

Quality of life

### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Physical activity promotion; obesity management

## **Interventions**

PE lessons were conducted twice a week over 4 weeks in both control and intervention conditions. An intervention program was implemented after the control period was over. In the control condition, PE teachers encouraged students in PE lessons to participate in physical activity during leisure-time without delivering persuasive messages in PE lessons.

Prior to the intervention condition, PE teachers were trained on how to deliver persuasive messages through a 3-hour workshop. During the workshop, PE teachers were encouraged to express their concerns about delivering persuasive messages, and researchers addressed those concerns to assist PE teachers to conduct the intervention at a sufficient level of proficiency. The persuasive messages targeted salient information related to benefits and barriers associated with physical activity. Salient benefits and barriers were identified based on the results of surveys conducted in the control condition.

In the intervention condition, PE teachers delivered the persuasive messages that targets the salient benefits and barriers associated with physical activity at the last 5-10 minutes of each PE lesson. After PE teachers delivered the persuasive message, students were asked to answer a question about the message in each lesson. Investigators evaluated PE teachers' fidelity of the interventions based on a checklist.

## **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

## **Primary outcome measure**

1. Participant's body mass index (BMI) was calculated based on their height and weight, measured across three occasions in each of the conditions: Pre-Baseline, Post 1 (6-weeks after Pre-Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1) in the control condition; Pre-Baseline, Post 1 (5-weeks after Pre-Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1) in the intervention condition
2. Participant's physical activity level was measured objectively with GENEActiv accelerometers across three occasions in each condition: Baseline (1-week after Pre-Baseline), Post 1 (4-weeks after Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1). Participant's physical activity level was also measured subjectively with the International Physical Activity Questionnaire across four occasions in each condition: Pre-Baseline, Baseline (1-week after Pre-Baseline), Post 1 (4-weeks after Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1)
3. Participant's leisure-time physical activity participation was measured with a Leisure-Time Questionnaire across four occasions in each condition: Pre-Baseline, Baseline (1-week after Pre-Baseline), Post 1 (4-weeks after Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1)
4. Participant's attitudes, intentions, subjective norms and perceived behavior control towards leisure-time physical activity were measured with a questionnaire based on the constructs from the theory of planned behavior across four occasions in each condition: Pre-Baseline, Baseline (1-week after Pre-Baseline), Post 1 (4-weeks after Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1)

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Participant's prioritized intention, determination and willingness to engage in the other activity to vigorous physical activity during their leisure-time was measured with a questionnaire, measured at Pre-Baseline in both the control condition and intervention condition
2. Participant's goal conflict and facilitation were assessed through a questionnaire, measured across four occasions in each of the condition: Pre-Baseline, Baseline (1-week after Pre-Baseline), Post 1 (4-weeks after Baseline) and Post 2 (4-weeks after Post 1).

3. Participant's age and gender and their family's socio-economic status were measured with a questionnaire, measured at Pre-Baseline in the control condition
4. Physical education teachers' fidelity of the interventions was evaluated by researchers based on a checklist in every PE lesson during the 4-weeks intervention phase

**Overall study start date**

27/05/2015

**Completion date**

31/12/2018

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

Secondary school students were included in the study if:

1. They had physicians' permission to participate in physical education classes
2. Written permission for participating in the study was obtained from them and their parents /guardian

**Participant type(s)**

Healthy volunteer

**Age group**

Child

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

170

**Key exclusion criteria**

Secondary school students were excluded from the study if:

1. They could not obtain physicians' permission to participate in physical education classes
2. Written permission for participating in the study was not obtained from them and their parents/guardian

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/2016

**Date of final enrolment**

27/12/2016

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Singapore

**Study participating centre**  
**Nanyang Technological University**  
Physical Education and Sports Science Academic Group  
National Institute of Education  
1 Nanyang Walk  
Singapore  
Singapore  
637616

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**  
National Institute of Education

**Sponsor details**  
Office of Education Research  
Nanyang Technological University  
1 Nanyang Walk  
Singapore  
Singapore  
637616

**Sponsor type**  
University/education

**Website**  
<https://www.nie.edu.sg/research/research-offices/office-of-education-research>

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/02e7b5302>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
University/education

**Funder Name**  
National Institute of Education

**Alternative Name(s)**  
NIE

**Funding Body Type**  
Private sector organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

## Location

Singapore

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

The trialists intend to publish the study protocol and statistical analysis in BMC Public Health. Planned publication of results in a high-impact peer reviewed journal.

## Intention to publish date

31/12/2023

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

## Study outputs

| Output type                      | Details  | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|----------------------------------|----------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| <a href="#">Protocol article</a> | protocol | 02/04/2018   |            | Yes            | No              |