

A randomised controlled trial of nurse facilitated self-help treatment for patients in primary care with chronic fatigue syndrome

Submission date 18/05/2001	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 18/05/2001	Overall study status Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 29/06/2016	Condition category Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Alison Wearden

Contact details

School of Psychological Sciences
Coupland 1 Building
Oxford Road
Manchester
United Kingdom
M13 9PL

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

G0200212

Study information

Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial of nurse facilitated self-help treatment for patients in primary care with chronic fatigue syndrome: the FINE trial (Fatigue Intervention by Nurses Evaluation)

Acronym

FINE

Study objectives

1. Is pragmatic rehabilitation, delivered at home by nurses to CFS patients recruited from primary care, a clinically effective intervention in terms of reduced disability and fatigue when compared with treatment as usual delivered through the primary care team?
2. Is pragmatic rehabilitation, delivered at home by nurses to CFS patients recruited from primary care, a cost effective intervention when compared with treatment as usual delivered through the primary care team?
3. Is supportive listening, delivered at home by nurses to CFS patients recruited from primary care, a clinically effective intervention in terms of reduced disability and fatigue when compared with treatment as usual delivered through the primary care team?
4. Is supportive listening, delivered at home by nurses to CFS patients recruited from primary care, a cost effective intervention when compared with treatment as usual delivered through the primary care team?

Can we demonstrate that the active component of pragmatic rehabilitation operates in addition to a non-specific treatment effect due to contact with a supportive therapist?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS)

Interventions

1. Pragmatic rehabilitation
2. Supportive listening
3. Treatment as usual by GP

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

The primary outcome measures will be patient-rated to avoid observer bias, and will be supplemented with an objective measure of the patients exercise tolerance. These will be:

1. Score on the physical functioning scale of the SF-36
2. Cost-effectiveness using the Euroquol
3. The score on the 11-item Fatigue Scale

Key secondary outcome(s))

1. A timed step-test to provide an objective measure of the patients exercise tolerance and cardiovascular fitness
2. Scores on the HAD to provide measures of depression and anxiety
3. A brief four-item sleep scale

Completion date

25/07/2008

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients 18 and over, who fulfil the Oxford criteria for CFS (Sharpe et al. 1991) [Prior to Feb'2005 the criteria was the Fukuda criteria], and who have a principal complaint of fatigue. Patients must score 4 or more on the 11-item Chalder fatigue scale, and 70% or less on the SF-36 physical functioning scale.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients whose fatigue is explained by any active medical condition
2. Patients with schizophrenia, bipolar disorder, dementia, eating disorder, substance abuse, morbid obesity
3. Patients with current suicidal ideation
4. Patients with anti-social, borderline or paranoid personality disorder
5. Patients who cannot read or write English sufficiently well to participate
6. Patients who are incapable of giving informed consent

Date of first enrolment

21/06/2004

Date of final enrolment

25/07/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

University of Manchester

Manchester

United Kingdom

M13 9PL

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Manchester (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/027m9bs27>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Research council

Funder Name

Medical Research Council (MRC) (UK) (G0200212)

Alternative Name(s)

Medical Research Council (United Kingdom), UK Medical Research Council, MRC

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results of qualitative study	23/02/2010		Yes	No
Results article	results of randomised controlled trial	23/04/2010		Yes	No
Results article	results of patient engagement	01/04/2011		Yes	No
Results article	results	22/12/2011		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/09/2012		Yes	No
Results article	results	18/01/2013		Yes	No
Results article	results	14/12/2015		Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	07/04/2006		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes