# Impact of pharmacists ACCESs to clinical information on the quality and the continuity of care in poly-medicamented community patients: a randomised controlled trial

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
16/04/2008	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
18/06/2008	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
18/06/2008	Signs and Symptoms	<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Lyne Lalonde

#### Contact details

Resaerch Team in Primary Care CSSS de Laval, Hôpital de la Cité-de-la-Santé 1755 René-Laennec, room D-S145 Laval Canada H7M 3L9 +1 450 668 1010 ext. 2743 lyne.lalonde@umontreal.ca

# Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number** N/A

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

#### Acronym

**ACCES** 

#### Study objectives

Drug-related problems (DRP) are associated with an increased morbidity and mortality. In the primary care setting, the number of poly-medicamented patients is constantly increasing, resulting in an increased risk of DRP.

Access to clinical information, such as laboratory results and health problems, should help the community pharmacist detect more DRPs. The detection of these DRPs, and better documented pharmacist's suggestions, can result in an increase of the acceptance rate by general practitioners. To our knowledge, there are few studies on the impact of access to clinical information on the detection of DRPs by community pharmacists.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the local medical ethics committee (Centre de santé et de services sociaux [CSSS] de Laval Ethics Committee) on the 24th September 2007.

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Diagnostic

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Drug-related problems from multiple prescription drugs

#### **Interventions**

All pharmacists in the area of Laval were invited to a three-hour workshop on the interpretation of laboratory results. They also have access to a consultation service with pharmacists currently working at the Family Medicine Clinic of CSSS de Laval. For all patients, the family doctor asked the community pharmacist to perform an analysis of the pharmacotherapy.

To help the pharmacist analyse the drug profile, the intervention group received the following clinical information:

- 1. Most recent laboratory results:
- 1.1. Creatinine clearance
- 1.2. Potassium
- 1.3. Sodium
- 1.4. Lipid profile
- 1.5. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT)
- 1.6. Creatine kinase (CK)

- 1.7. Glycosylated haemoglobin (HbA1c)
- 1.8. Thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and free thyroxine (FT4)
- 1.9. Complete blood count
- 1.10. Blood levels of certain drugs (phenytoin, digoxin, lithium)
- 2. Health problems
- 3. Drug profile as figured in the medical record

The control group received usual care.

The duration of follow-up was 8 weeks in both groups.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### **Phase**

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome(s)

The following will be assessed after two months of follow-up:

- 1. Compare the mean number of DRP per patient identified by community pharmacists in both groups (intervention group and control group)
- 2. Compare the mean number of pharmacotherapy changes per patient between both groups (intervention group and control group)

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

The following will be assessed after two months of follow-up:

- 1. Compare the proportion of patients in each group for whom at least one intervention was made by the community pharmacists
- 2. For each type of intervention, compare the proportion of interventions made by the community pharmacists in both groups
- 3. Compare the proportion of pharmaceutical opinions that resulted in a pharmacotherapy change in both groups
- 4. Describe and compare the type of contact made between community pharmacists and Family Medicine Clinics pharmacists in both groups

# Completion date

24/04/2008

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

Family doctors or residents:

- 1. Practicing at the Family Medicine Clinic of CSSS de Laval
- 2. Agree to participate and sign the consent form

## Community pharmacists:

- 1. Practicing in one of the pharmacies in the area of Laval or surrounding areas
- 2. Have one or more patients eligible for the study
- 3. Agree to participate and sign the consent form

#### Patients:

- 1. 18 years old or older, either sex
- 2. Have an appointment at the Family Medicine Clinic of CSSS de Laval between October 2007 and March 2008
- 3. Takes at least five chronic medications
- 4. Reports being a patient of one of the participating pharmacies
- 5. Agrees to participate and sign the consent form
- 6. Is considered eligible by his family doctor

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

# Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Is not able to speak or read French
- 2. Is a patient of more than one community pharmacy
- 3. Is not able to give a informed consent

#### Date of first enrolment

01/10/2007

#### Date of final enrolment

24/04/2008

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Canada

# Study participating centre Resaerch Team in Primary Care

Laval Canada H7M 3L9

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Pfizer (Canada)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/059g90c15

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Industry

#### **Funder Name**

Pfizer (Canada)

#### Alternative Name(s)

Pfizer Inc., Pfizer Consumer Healthcare, Davis, Charles Pfizer & Company, Warner-Lambert, King Pharmaceuticals, Wyeth Pharmaceuticals, Seagen, Pfizer Inc

### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

For-profit companies (industry)

#### Location

United States of America

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

# **Study outputs**

Output type Details Date created Date added Peer reviewed? Patient-facing?

Participant information sheet

Participant information sheet

11/11/2025 11/11/2025 No