A randomised crossover trial of a new, rapid method of detecting methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) and comparing against conventional screening: in terms of the efficacy and the effect upon hospital methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus infection rates, transmission rates and the use of hospital resources

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
07/11/2005		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed Condition category	Statistical analysis plan		
10/01/2006		[X] Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
18/04/2008	Infections and Infestations			

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Gary French

Contact details

Department of Infection 5th Floor North Wing St.Thomas' Hospital Lambeth Palace Road London United Kingdom SE1 7EH

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

RJ1 05/0083

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

There is an assumption, which has not been tested, that quicker detection of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) carriers will lead to lower transmission within hospitals. Technology to obtain results more rapidly is being developed. Currently a new, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based method of MRSA detection provides a screening tool that is much faster but also more expensive than standard methods. We propose to investigate whether significantly faster detection of MRSA cases does lead to reduction in transmission and other adverse outcomes by means of a randomised, crossover trial on both medical and surgical wards.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ethics approval received from the local medical ethics committee (ref: 05/Q0702/157)

Study design

A randomised, controlled crossover trial (non-blinded)

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Screening

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

MRSA colonisation (infection and bacteraemia)

Interventions

The use of a rapid method in detecting MRSA. This will be compared against the currently employed non-rapid method of detection.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Ward MRSA transmission rates.

Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Ward MRSA bacteraemia rates
- 2. Ward MRSA clinical infection rates
- 3. Length of hospital stay
- 4. Use of isolation facilities
- 5. MRSA-related nursing workload
- 6. Rapid test sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, turn around time and cost with the conventional method using screening swabs taken under routine conditions
- 7. Economic analysis

Completion date

01/04/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All patients admitted to 10 study wards in the duration of the study.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

Αll

Key exclusion criteria

Patients not wishing to participate in the study.

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2006

Date of final enrolment

01/04/2007

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Department of Infection

London United Kingdom SE1 7EH

Sponsor information

Organisation

Guy's and St.Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

ROR

https://ror.org/00j161312

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Department of Health (UK) (ref: 0190016)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	Results	26/04/2008		Yes	No