

Clinical decision making and outcome in routine care for people with severe mental illness

Submission date 11/08/2010	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 15/09/2010	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 25/01/2017	Condition category Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Bernd Puschner

Contact details
Ulm University
Department of Psychiatry II
Ludwig-Heilmeyer-Str. 2
Günzburg
Germany
89312
+49 (0)8221 962 866
bernd.puschner@bkh-guenzburg.de

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
223290

Study information

Scientific Title
Clinical decision making and outcome in routine care for people with severe mental illness: a multicentre observational longitudinal study

Acronym

CEDAR

Study objectives

The main objective of this project is to develop a methodology to assess the scope and quality of clinical decisions in the care of people with severe mental illness (SMI) from both the patient and clinician perspective, and to specify how and to what degree clinical decision making (CDM) in routine care affects patient behaviour and short- and long-term treatment outcome.

The main study hypotheses are:

1. The quality of CDM is positively related to treatment outcome in the routine care of people with SMI
2. The quality of CDM can be adequately described by taking into account decision making styles, satisfaction with decision making, and type of decision making ("paternalistic" versus "shared" versus "informed")
3. Actual CDM in routine care depends on the context, i.e. varies for different kinds of decisions and is susceptible to change over time
4. The relation between quality of CDM and outcome is affected by a number of covariates

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Ulm University Ethics Commission, 15/12/2008, ref: 241/08

Study design

Multicentre observational longitudinal panel study

Primary study design

Observational

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Severe mental illness

Interventions

Before the start of recruitment, specific instruments were developed to measure CDM style, key elements of CDM in routine care, as well as CDM involvement and satisfaction from patient and therapist perspectives. Together with established instruments to assess outcome, these are being put to use in the longitudinal study in order to examine the study hypothesis. Total duration of observation per subject is 12 months.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Needs (Camberwell Assessment of Need Short Appraisal Schedule [CANSAS]), measured at timepoints t0 - t6

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Quality of life (Manchester Short Assessment of Quality of Life [MANSA]), measured at timepoints t0 - t6
2. Service use (Client Sociodemographic and Service Receipt Inventory - European version [CSSRI]), measured at timepoints t0 and t6
3. Symptomatic impairment (Health of the Nation Outcome Scales [HoNOS] and Outcome Questionnaire [OQ-45]), measured at timepoints t0 and t6

Completion date

31/10/2011

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Adult, aged 18 - 60 years at intake, either sex
2. Main diagnoses mental disorder of any kind established by case notes or staff communication using Structured Clinical Interview for Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th Edition (DSM-IV) (SCID) criteria
3. Presence of severe mental illness based upon validated criteria including symptom severity (Threshold Assessment Grid [TAG] greater than or equal to 5) and illness duration (greater than or equal to 2 years)
4. Expected contact with mental health services (excluding inpatient services) during the time of study participation
5. Sufficient command of the host country's language
6. Capable of giving informed consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Main diagnosis of mental retardation, dementia, substance use or organic brain disorder
2. Cognitive impairment severe enough to make it impossible to give meaningful information on study instruments
3. Treatment by forensic psychiatric services

Date of first enrolment

01/11/2009

Date of final enrolment

31/10/2011

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Denmark

Germany

Hungary

Italy

Switzerland

Study participating centre

Ulm University

Günzburg

Germany

89312

Sponsor information

Organisation

European Commission (Belgium)

ROR

<https://ror.org/00k4n6c32>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Seventh Framework Programme (HEALTH-2007-3.1-4) (ref: 223290)

Alternative Name(s)

EC Seventh Framework Programme, European Commission Seventh Framework Programme, EU Seventh Framework Programme, European Union Seventh Framework Programme, FP7

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	04/02/2013		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/02/2015		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/03/2015		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/05/2015		Yes	No
Results article	results	23/01/2017		Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	10/11/2010		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes