

The Eat Well, Feel Well, Stay Well feasibility study

Submission date 31/08/2017	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 25/09/2017	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 11/10/2023	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

About 13% of older people living at home are at risk of malnutrition. This may be because they are not getting enough to eat, or because they are not eating enough of the right food. A programme has been developed to help doctors and nurses in general practice to check if older adults who live at home are at risk of malnutrition. They can then offer support to those who need it. This is called 'Eat well, feel well, stay well', includes MUST (the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool), booklets and other materials for older adults, and a support tool for health professionals. The support tool includes guidance about when to see patients and when to use oral nutritional supplements. MUST was developed by experts at BAPEN <http://www.bapen.org.uk/>. The rest of the intervention was developed by experts who looked at previous literature to find what helps or hinders older adults eating well, and what is likely to work best in general practice. The intervention was improved after feedback from people aged over 65 years, patients and healthcare professionals. This study aims to assess the feasibility and acceptability of the intervention by comparing two versions of the intervention. One is a brief intervention with MUST screening, patient booklets and follow-up; the other is a stepped care intervention. This means that patients will have the brief intervention plus oral nutritional supplements (ONS) for short spells when they are unwell. a group of patients who have the usual care that is provided by their doctors' surgery are also followed to assess outcomes including change in eating patterns, weight and quality of life. The results of the feasibility study will help us to design a full trial.

Who can participate?

Adults aged 65 and older who have one more major medical or social problems.

What does the study involve?

Practices are randomly allocated to one of three groups. Those in the first group receive the nurse care where they attend a screening appointment to check their health and calculate their MUST score. Participants are offered booklets with lifestyle advice and have a follow up phone call to see how things are going. They are invited to have more appointments and answer a questionnaire after six months. Those in the second group receive the nurse case and are also prescribed oral nutritional supplements. Those in the last group receive the standard care. Participants are followed up at six to nine months.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

For participants who struggle to gain weight, taking part in the study may be beneficial. There are no anticipated risks with participation.

Where is the study run from?

This study is being run by the University of Southampton (UK) and takes place in practices in the UK.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2017 to October 2019

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Jackie Seely, J.Seely@soton.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Ms Jackie Seely

Contact details

Primary Care, Population Science & Medical Education

Aldermoor Health Centre

Aldermoor Close

Lordshill

Southampton

United Kingdom

SO16 5ST

-

J.Seely@soton.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

35533

Study information

Scientific Title

Screen and TREAT for Malnutrition (STREAM) Programme Workstream 1: Feasibility study

Acronym

STREAM

Study objectives

The aim of this study is to assess the feasibility of an intervention in primary care to encourage the use of malnutrition screen and treat (MST) policies for older people living in their own homes.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

North West - Preston Research Ethics Committee, 14/08/2017, ref: 224100

Study design

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment, Screening, Education or Self-Management, Dietary, Psychological & Behavioural

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

GP practice

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

See additional files

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Malnutrition

Interventions

This is a cluster randomised study. The practices are randomised to one of three study arms:

1. Nurse Care- Participants attend a screening appointment where Grip strength and Timed Up and Go Test (TUGT) are tested. Participants are screened using Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool (MUST). Participants with a MUST score of 1-4 are assessed for underlying problems using a nutritional assessment proforma. They are offered printed booklets addressing their needs, a brief phone follow-up, and brief face-to-face appointments with a practice nurse at intervals, depending on their MUST score. Participants may be referred to a dietitian for further assessment, depending on their MUST score, health and eating status.

2. Nurse Care with stepped approach to prescribing oral nutritional supplements. Participants have their nurse care intervention (as above) and are also be prescribed ONS if needed, initially in short courses during intercurrent illness, and longer courses as necessary.

3. Usual care: Participants continue to have the normal existing medical support provided by their GP surgery.

The total duration of screening and treatment for patients will be 6 months. A nested qualitative study will follow, at six to nine months, with a patients and healthcare professionals who opt to do this.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome measure

Health outcomes are measured using SF36 physical outcome at baseline and six months.

Secondary outcome measures

1. Weight/Height/Weight loss is measured at baseline (for intervention groups) and six month (for all groups)
2. Current/recent acute illness/Vitamin/supplement are measured using questionnaires at baseline and six months
3. Appetite is measured using SNAQ appetite questionnaire at baseline and six months
4. Health status is measured using EQ5D5L at baseline and six months
5. Food Frequency is measured using the food frequency questionnaire at baseline and six months
6. Psychological measures (e.g. self-efficacy, outcome expectancy, perceptions of supporter, self-regulation) are measured using questionnaire at baseline and six months
7. Episodes of infection are measured using note review post six month follow up
8. Grip strength - which is a useful marker of malnutrition, and the prognosis of malnutrition is measured using a Jamar Hydraulic Hand Dynamometer at baseline (for intervention groups) and six months (all groups)
9. NHS resource usage (consultations, new conditions identified, or new drugs prescribed, antibiotic use, hospital admissions, care home admissions) and mortality is measured using note reviews post at six month follow up
10. Dietary markers are measured using urine and or blood samples at baseline and six months (optional)

Overall study start date

01/01/2017

Completion date

01/10/2019

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Be aged ≥ 65 years
2. Have one or more of these major medical or social problem(s) increasing nutritional risk: COPD, cerebrovascular disease, cardiac failure, CKD (stage IIIb/IV/V), chronic liver disease, Crohn's disease, hospital discharge in previous 2-3 months, Parkinson's disease, current depression, living alone

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 150; UK Sample Size: 150

Key exclusion criteria

1. Terminal disease
2. Ongoing primary treatment for cancer
3. Diabetes
4. Established dementia
5. Using oral nutritional supplements (ONS)
6. Established nutritional support
7. Unable to consent

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2017

Date of final enrolment

29/03/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Salisbury Medical Practice

Fisherton House

Fountain Way

Wiltshire

Salisbury

United Kingdom

SP2 7FD

Study participating centre

Cowplain Family Practice

26-30 London Road

Cowplain
United Kingdom
PO8 8DL

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Southampton

Sponsor details

Research Integrity and Governance Manager
Building 37, Room 4079
University Road
Southampton
England
United Kingdom
SO17 1BJ

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

ROR

<https://ror.org/01ryk1543>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

National Institute for Health Research

Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Current publication and dissemination plan as of 18/01/2022:

Planned publication of qualitative data from the feasibility study in a peer reviewed journal. The data has been presented at relevant conferences. Findings and a progress report were made available to the NIHR programme grants committee.

Previous publication and dissemination plan:

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal.

Intention to publish date

31/12/2022

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Anonymised data will be released upon a suitable request for the proposed use of the data.

Contact Prof Paul Little, p.little@soton.ac.uk or Prof Mike Stroud, M.A.Stroud@soton.ac.uk. In the unlikely event that we decline to release the data we propose that the funder would arbitrate the decision. The detail of what we release we will be guided by ethics.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	version V2	04/08/2017	26/10/2017	No	Yes
Other unpublished results			18/01/2022	No	No
Protocol file	version 6	14/11/2018	18/08/2022	No	No
Other publications	Qualitative study	11/11/2021	11/10/2023	Yes	No