# Vaginal examination performed routinely at 8 hours or at 4 hours after starting the oxytocin drip to augment the labour of women delivering their first child

| Submission date<br>18/10/2023 | <b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting | [X] Prospectively registered                  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|--|
| 10/10/2023                    |  | [X] Protocol                                  |  |  |
| Registration date             | Overall study status                           | Statistical analysis plan                     |  |  |
| 03/11/2023                    | Completed  Condition category                  | <ul><li>Results</li></ul>                     |  |  |
| Last Edited                   |  | Individual participant data                   |  |  |
| 01/11/2023                    | Pregnancy and Childbirth                       | <ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul> |  |  |

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Labour augmentation, usually with the oxytocin drip, varies from 0.7% to 97.0% globally, exceeding 30% in 14 countries, reflecting diversity in practice and individual circumstances. The aim of augmentation is to achieve a contraction frequency of 4 in 10 minutes to speed up labour and delivery.

Recent data has shown that progress in early labour (before 6 cm of opening) can be slow. Labour may take more than 6 hours to progress from 4 to 5 cm of dilation and more than 3 hours to progress from 5 to 6 cm of dilation.

Labour will continue to progress to delivery regardless of whether a vaginal examination is performed or not. Vaginal examination is also not needed to control the oxytocin drip rate during augmentation to optimise contraction frequency. This role is taken on by electronic fetal monitoring with the cardiotocograph which also monitors the baby's condition.

Many women expressed that vaginal examination during their labour is painful and an invasion of privacy.

However, labour that is very prolonged (usually by 1 or 2 days) is strongly associated with adverse outcomes and it makes sense to periodically assess progress. There is very limited data to guide practice on the best frequency of vaginal examination to assess labour progress, especially in the early stage of labour before 6 cm of cervical opening.

The aim of this study is to evaluate if a routine examination at 8 hours instead of 4 hours will improve maternal satisfaction with the intervention (by reducing overall vaginal examination) but will not prolong the time it takes for the baby to be delivered.

## Who can participate?

Women aged 18 years and over who are delivering their first child and need oxytocin augmentation in early spontaneous labour

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two different frequencies of vaginal examination to assess labour routinely at 8 hours or at 4 hours.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Maternal satisfaction with labour monitoring may increase with less frequent vaginal assessment. The time taken to birth might lengthen with less frequent vaginal assessment. As clinically indicated vaginal examination (for example to diagnose imminent delivery) can be performed without limitation, the researchers do not expect any major benefits or harms.

Where is the study run from? University Malaya Medical Centre (Malaysia)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? April 2023 to December 2024

Who is funding the study?
University Malaya Medical Centre (Malaysia)

Who is the main contact?

- 1. Dr Li Kong Wei, likongwei@ummc.edu.my
- 2. Prof. Dr Tan Peng Chiong, pctan@um.edu.my

# Contact information

## Type(s)

Principal Investigator

#### Contact name

Mr Li Kong Wei

## Contact details

University Malaya Medical Centre Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 51000 +60 (0)11 10023963 likongwei@ummc.edu.my

## Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal Investigator

#### Contact name

Prof Tan Peng Chiong

## Contact details

University Malaya Medical Centre Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia

# Additional identifiers

## **EudraCT/CTIS** number

Nil known

**IRAS** number

## ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

## Secondary identifying numbers

2023622-12588

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Vaginal examination at 8 hours compared to 4 hours after commencement of oxytocin augmentation in nulliparous women: a randomized controlled trial

## Study objectives

It is hypothesised that vaginal assessment at 8 hours compared to 4 hours will not prolong the intervention to delivery interval (non-inferiority hypothesis) and will increase maternal satisfaction (superiority hypothesis).

# Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

# Ethics approval(s)

Approved 27/09/2023, University of Malaya Medical Centre Medical Research Ethics Committee (Lembah Pantai, Kuala Lumpur, 59100, Malaysia; +60 (0)379498473; ummc-mrec@ummc.edu. my), ref: MREC ID: 2023622-12588

# Study design

Single-centre parallel-design randomized control trial

# Primary study design

Interventional

# Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Other

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Oxytocin augmentation of labour

## **Interventions**

Randomization is done by opening the lowest number, sealed and opaque envelope that is available. The randomization sequence will be generated using a random number generator in random blocks of 4 or 8 by an investigator who is not involved in recruitment. Participants would be randomized into two trial arms:

- 1. Routine vaginal examination 4 hours after the last vaginal examination
- 2. Routine vaginal examination 8 hours after the last vaginal examination

## Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

## Primary outcome measure

- 1. Augmentation to delivery interval measured after delivery, calculated from the recorded date and time of the start of oxytocin augmentation and date and time of birth, variable will be in hours.
- 2. Maternal satisfaction with the allocated vaginal examination regimen, obtained as soon as possible on the postnatal ward and before their discharge, participants will be asked:
- 2.1. To rate using an 11-point (0-10) (visual numerical rating scale VNRS) their satisfaction with the allocated intervention of vaginal examination at 4 or 8 hours
- 2.2. To provide a response using a 5-grade Likert scale on if they will recommend their allocated intervention of vaginal examination at 4 or 8 hours to a friend, graded from strongly agree, agree, neither agree nor disagree, disagree to strongly disagree

## Secondary outcome measures

Recorded directly onto the case report form. Information not in the case report form will be acquired from hospital charts or notes.

Maternal outcomes assessed at birth:

- 1. Mode of delivery (spontaneous vaginal, vacuum, forceps and caesarean) and indication of operative delivery (vacuum, forceps and caesarean)
- 2. Epidural analgesia
- 3. Estimated delivery blood loss
- 4. Fever with temperature ≥38°C or greater (intrapartum to hospital discharge)
- 5. Maternal recommendation of allocated intervention to a friend (5-point Likert scale)
- 6. Maternal ICU admission
- 7. Hysterectomy
- 8. Relaparotomy
- 9. Vaginal examination as scheduled
- 10. Interim vaginal examination (and indication)
- 11. Number of vaginal examinations during the study period (to delivery)
- 12. Time (from index vaginal examination at recruitment) to
- 13. First subsequent vaginal examination

- 14. Diagnosis of second stage
- 15. Birth
- 16. Hospital discharge

## Neonatal outcomes assessed at birth:

- 1. Apgar score at 1 and 5 minutes
- 2. Birth weight
- 3. Neonatal admission (and indication)
- 4. Cord pH
- 5. Birth trauma (specify)
- 6. Hypoxic ischaemic encephalopathy/need for therapeutic hypothermia

## Overall study start date

01/04/2023

## Completion date

01/12/2024

# Eligibility

## Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Spontaneous labour
- 2. Nulliparous (no prior pregnancy >22 weeks)
- 3. Singleton pregnancy
- 4. Gestational age of ≥37 weeks
- 5. 18 years old and above
- 6. Cervical dilatation at <6 cm
- 7. Oxytocin augmentation for labour delay actioned and started

## Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

## Age group

Adult

## Lower age limit

18 Years

#### Sex

Female

## Target number of participants

248

## Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Abnormal fetal heart rate tracing
- 2. Previous uterine trauma (myomectomy, hysterotomy, perforation)
- 3. Major fetal malformation
- 4. Meconium staining of liquor
- 5. Chorioamnionitis

- 6. Severe preeclampsia
- 7. Non-reassuring maternal status
- 8. Contraindication for vaginal delivery

## Date of first enrolment

30/12/2023

## Date of final enrolment

30/11/2024

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Malaysia

## Study participating centre University Malaya Medical Centre

Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 51000

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

University Malaya Medical Centre

## Sponsor details

Department of O&G Faculty of Medicine Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 51000 +60 (0)3 7967 6686 fomadmin@um.edu.my

## Sponsor type

University/education

## Website

https://medicine.um.edu.my/

## **ROR**

https://ror.org/00vkrxq08

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

## **Funder Name**

University Malaya Medical Centre

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a peer-reviewed journal.

## Intention to publish date

01/05/2025

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The raw data generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Dr Li Kong Wei (likongwei@ummc.edu.my) subject to institutional review board approval 6 months after publication.

The type of data that will be shared: the reported data

Whether consent from participants was required and obtained: yes

Comments on data anonymization: yes

Any ethical or legal restrictions: Data sharing will be subject to ethical review and approval

## IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

## Study outputs

| Output type                   | Details | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|-------------------------------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Participant information sheet |         |              | 24/10/2023 | No             | Yes             |
| <u>Protocol file</u>          |         |              | 24/10/2023 | No             | No              |