

Will an individualised service improve medicine administration to adults with dysphagia - a pilot study?

Submission date 29/04/2010	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 29/04/2010	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 14/07/2016	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Mrs Jenny Kelly

Contact details
School of Pharmacy
University of East Anglia
Norwich
United Kingdom
NR4 7TJ

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
7288

Study information

Scientific Title

A non-randomised process of care case-controlled study of an individualised service to improve medicine administration to adults with dysphagia

Acronym

DYS-MED

Study objectives

To estimate:

1. The likely effect of a dedicated pharmacist's introduction of individualised medicine administration guides (I-MAGs) on nurses' clinical practice and medicine administration error (MAE) rate (primary outcome measure)
2. The likely effect of the introduction of I-MAGs on patients' health-related quality-of-life, post-discharge adherence and hospitalisation (secondary outcome measures)
3. Whether randomisation for such a trial should occur at ward or hospital level
4. The most efficient method of patient recruitment, the likely 'drop out' rate, the best outcome measures, and the feasibility of a full cost-effectiveness analysis

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Essex 2 Research Ethics Committee (REC) approved on the 16th January 2009 (ref: 08/H0302/153)

Study design

Non-randomised interventional and observational process of care case-controlled study

Primary study design

Observational

Secondary study design

Case-control study

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Generic Health Relevance and Cross Cutting Themes; Subtopic: Generic Health Relevance (all Subtopics); Disease: Age and ageing

Interventions

Whilst an inpatient the intervention patient group will receive their medicines as prescribed by the doctors caring for them in the normal way. A pharmacist, employed for the purposes of the study, will develop an individualised medicine administration guide for each patient which will be used by the nurses administering medicines to those patients to guide appropriate preparation and administration. Prior to discharge the pharmacist employed for the project will adapt the individualised medicine administration guide to reflect the medicines the patient is to be discharged on, and will teach the patient how to use it. Copies will also be sent to the patient's GP and local community pharmacist.

The control group will not have these individualised medicine administration guides.

Both groups will be followed up for six months after discharge from hospital and will be asked to complete four questionnaires on discharge, six weeks and six months post discharge.

The primary outcome measure for the study is a decrease in medicine administration errors by the nurses administering medicines to patients in the intervention and control groups. The nurses will be given a questionnaire about aspect of medicine administration at the beginning of the study and 16 will be observed administering medicines to patients on the four wards involved, i.e. the two intervention and two control wards. After six months the questionnaire will be re-administered and 16 medicine administration rounds will be observed.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

Whether the introduction of individualised medicine administration guides reduce the number of medication errors.

Secondary outcome measures

The likely effect of the introduction of individualised medicine administration guides on health related quality of life measures, patient satisfaction with information and pharmaceutical care and medicine adherence. These will be measured at patient discharge, six weeks and six months after discharge.

Overall study start date

01/07/2010

Completion date

01/11/2010

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients with dysphagia
2. Based in three Care of the Elderly wards and one Stroke unit at the Norfolk and Norwich University Hospital

3. Consent to take part
4. Taking medication
5. Registered nurses working on the same four wards who consent to take part

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Senior

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 360; UK Sample Size: 360

Key exclusion criteria

Unable to communicate in English

Date of first enrolment

01/07/2010

Date of final enrolment

01/11/2010

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

School of Pharmacy

Norwich

United Kingdom

NR4 7TJ

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of East Anglia (UK)

Sponsor details

School of Medicine
Earlham Road
Norwich
England
United Kingdom
NR4 7TJ
-
research.acad@uea.ac.uk

Sponsor type
University/education

Website
<http://www.uea.ac.uk/>

ROR
<https://ror.org/026k5mg93>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK) - Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Programme

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan
Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/11/2012		Yes	No