

Is Nordic Pole Walking (NWP) more effective than normal walking in intermittent claudication patients?

Submission date 20/10/2011	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 20/10/2011	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 19/06/2014	Condition category Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Prof Jonathan Beard

Contact details
Northern General Hospital
Herries Road
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S5 7AU
+44 (0)114 243 4343
Jonathan.d.beard@sth.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
10781

Study information

Scientific Title

Is Nordic Pole Walking more effective than normal walking in improving walking distance in patients with intermittent claudication? A prospective randomised study

Acronym

NWP

Study objectives

Patients with narrowed or blocked arteries in their legs, due to smoking and/or high cholesterol, often suffer from cramping pains in their calf muscles when they walk. This is due to poor blood flow and is called intermittent claudication. Regular exercise can help and supervised classes in a gym provide the most benefit. However, these classes are expensive to run, take time to work and many patients stop coming after a while. Walking with cross country ski (Nordic) poles is a very popular activity in Scandinavia as they improve fitness.

A recent study in Sheffield has found that Nordic Pole Walking immediately helps patients with Intermittent Claudication to walk further with less pain, without any feeling any more tired. This second study will look at whether this immediate benefit is improved by the minimally supervised use of poles over 12 weeks. Patients with intermittent claudication will be recruited from the vascular outpatient clinics after being provided with information about the study and agreeing to participate. Patients will be randomly allocated to a group who use poles or who receive normal advice to exercise regularly. We will use weekly diaries and pedometers to record the amount of exercise taken. Patients will be invited to attend the gym every month to see how much their walking and fitness has improved.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

National Research Ethics Service Committee Yorkshire and the Humber South Yorkshire ref: 11 /YH/0100

Study design

Interventional, randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Cardiovascular disease

Interventions

The only intervention we use is a pair of walking poles..randomly allocated to each patient. Each patient is followed for 12 weeks.

Ankle Brachial Pressure Index (ABPI) measured before and after each visit:

1. Heart Rate
2. Post test heart rate taken every 2 mins until back to resting state.

Research nurse gives patient information sheet. They fill in a tear off strip and return to investigators if they are happy with it. Last visit, base line tests again. Patient diary/pedometer check, weeks 4,8,12. Phone call to arrange visit 0, Investigator phones patient to arrange initial visit for consent and base line data and tests. results notification, Written thanks to patients giving them the results in lay language. Supervision Phonecalls, Weeks 1,2,3,5,6,7,9,10,11.; Visit 0, Base line tests:

Weight, height, resting heart rate, ABPI using Doppler, max walking distance, claudication distance, Borg pain scale, and Borg RPE.

Consent

Teach NWP technique

Familiarisation with heart rate monitor strap and watch and pedometer.; Walking test with NWP, Same as walking test. Walking tests, Weeks 0,4,8,12. HR, ABPI, CD, MWD, Borg Pain and RPE

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Is the technique of using NPW is more effective in improving walking distance than normal walking?

Measured on each patient at week 0 and 12. At week 4, and 8 we will also be recording the patient's progress using the primary outcome measures, distance walked, level of perceived exertion and level of pain at CD and MWD.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Compare compliance, the cardiovascular training effect, ABPI and any weight loss.

Measured on each patient at week 0 and 12. At week 4, and 8 we will also be recording the patient's progress using the primary outcome measures, distance walked, level of perceived exertion and level of pain at CD and MWD.

Completion date

30/09/2012

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Patients must have stable intermittent claudication due to peripheral arterial disease of more than 6/12 duration of symptoms
2. A resting ABPI of <0.9 , which is unsuitable for revascularisation
3. Patient has not had a revascularisation procedure in the last six months
4. Level(s) of disease and unsuitability for revascularisation will have been previously determined by duplex ultrasound and/or magnetic resonance (MR) arteriography.
5. Patients must not have other conditions that could limit their walking distance (e.g.

breathlessness or severe osteoarthritis) or the ability to hold poles

6. Male and female participants

7. Aged between 50 - 100 years

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients with intermittent claudication due to peripheral arterial disease of less than 6/12 duration of symptoms
2. A resting ABPI of >0.9 , which is suitable for revascularisation
3. Patient has had a revascularisation procedure in the last six months
4. Level(s) of disease and unsuitability for revascularisation will have been previously determined by duplex ultrasound and/or MR arteriography
5. Patients with other conditions that could limit their walking distance (e.g. breathlessness or severe osteoarthritis) or problems using their hands which might affect the ability to hold poles

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2011

Date of final enrolment

30/09/2012

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Northern General Hospital

Sheffield

United Kingdom

S5 7AU

Sponsor information

Organisation

Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

ROR

<https://ror.org/018hjpz25>

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Charity

Funder Name

British Heart Foundation (UK)

Alternative Name(s)

the_bhf, The British Heart Foundation, BHF

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

Private Physiotherapy Educational Foundation (UK)

Funder Name

Sheffield Vascular Research Fund (UK)

Results and Publications**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/06/2014		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes