# Should general practitioners manage chronic fatigue syndrome? A controlled trial

Submission date 23/01/2004	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li><li>Protocol</li></ul>
Registration date 23/01/2004	Overall study status Completed	<ul><li>Statistical analysis plan</li><li>[X] Results</li></ul>
<b>Last Edited</b> 29/10/2019	Condition category Signs and Symptoms	[] Individual participant data

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

### Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

**Prof Peter Campion** 

#### Contact details

Department of Public Health and Primary Care University of Hull College House East Riding Campus Willerby United Kingdom HU10 6NS

abc@email.com

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

PSI06-16

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Should general practitioners manage chronic fatigue syndrome? A controlled trial

#### **Study objectives**

A pragmatic randomised controlled trial was conducted in general practice to test the hypotheses that general practitioners could (a) diagnose and (b) treat patients with the Chronic Fatigue Syndrome (CFS).

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

GP practice

#### Study type(s)

Other

#### Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Other symptoms and general pathology

#### **Interventions**

The intervention we attempted to introduce was a form of brief behavioural therapy, offered to GPs as a management package. Control practices were invited to manage their patients as usual, which in many cases included referral to secondary care.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome measure

The study suffered from both poor recruitment and high drop out. However, we were able to show that this intervention had no effect on the illness of the patients enrolled, and that patients with CFS remained highly disabled over the 12 month study period, whatever their treatment. The study suggests that general practitioners can diagnose CFS, but under the conditions of this study were unable to effectively treat the condition. This accords with the recent Royal Colleges' report, that early recognition in primary care is important, but that the only evidence for effective treatment thus far has come from specialist units. General practitioners do not yet generally have the skills nor the time to provide effective treatment programmes for CFS.

#### Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

# Overall study start date 01/04/1995

# Completion date 01/02/1998

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

General Practices willing to take part.

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

Not Specified

#### Sex

**Not Specified** 

#### Target number of participants

Not provided at time of registration

#### Key exclusion criteria

Does not meet inclusion criteria

#### Date of first enrolment

01/04/1995

#### Date of final enrolment

01/02/1998

#### Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

England

#### **United Kingdom**

Study participating centre
Department of Public Health and Primary Care
Willerby
United Kingdom
HU10 6NS

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

#### Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

#### Sponsor type

Government

#### Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

NHS Primary and Secondary Care Interface National Research and Development Programme (UK)

# **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

# Intention to publish date

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/01/2002	29/10/2019	Yes	No