Comparative efficacy of topical TetraVisc™ versus lidocaine gel in cataract surgery

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively registered 02/06/2009 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 09/07/2009 Completed [X] Results Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 18/11/2009 **Eve Diseases**

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof K V Chalam

Contact details

580 W 8th Street, Tower 2, 3rd Floor Jacksonville United States of America 32209

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Comparative efficacy of topical TetraVisc™ versus lidocaine gel in cataract surgery: randomised, multi-surgeon, controlled clinical trial

Study objectives

To compare the clinical efficacy of lidocaine 2% gel with tetravisc drops for cataract surgery.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Institutional Review Board/Ethics Committee of University of Florida (ref: 2007-007)

Study design

Randomised multi-surgeon controlled clinical trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Cataract/topical anaesthesia

Interventions

The patients were randomised by block randomisation (randomly assigned by computer generated numbers) to receive either TetraVisc™ (Tetracaine hydrochloride 0.5%, OCuSOFT) eye drops or lidocaine (Xylocaine 2% jelly, Astra) consecutively. Five doses of TV or LG were applied every 5 minutes, 20 minutes prior to surgery. No intravenous or oral sedation was used as premedication.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

TetraVisc™, lidocaine gel

Primary outcome measure

Grading intra-operative pain using a 0 to 10 Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) within 10 minutes of completion of surgery.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Grading the surgical experience as 0 10 where 0 is poor co-operation and 10 is excellent co-operation
- 2. Supplemental anaesthesia used
- 3. Intra-operative complications

Measured at the end of surgery.

Overall study start date

01/01/2006

Completion date

30/11/2006

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients undergoing cataract surgery under topical anaesthesia
- 2. Aged 50 80 years, no gender preference

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

122

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Unwillingness to have topical anaesthesia
- 2. High anxiety
- 3. Dementia or mental instability
- 4. Deafness
- 5. Movement disorders
- 6. Hyperanxiety
- 7. Inability to complete the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) of pain line (for example, confusion, communication barriers, visual impairment)
- 8. Any reported allergy to lidocaine or tetracaine

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2006

Date of final enrolment

30/11/2006

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United States of America

Study participating centre 580 W 8th Street, Tower 2, 3rd Floor Jacksonville United States of America 32209

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Florida (USA)

Sponsor details

Department of Ophthalmology College of Medicine 580 W 8th Street, Tower 2, 3rd Floor Jacksonville United States of America 32209

Sponsor type

University/education

ROR

https://ror.org/02y3ad647

Funder(s)

Funder type

University/education

Funder Name

University of Florida (USA) - Department of Ophthalmology

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	17/08/2009		Yes	No