Safety and efficacy study of a diclofenac hydroxypropyl β-cyclodextrin (HPßCD) 75 mg subcutaneous (s.c.) and intramuscular (i.m.) formulation as compared to the reference marketed Voltaren® 75 mg i.m. in the treatment of acute moderate to severe pain following minor orthopaedic surgery

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
13/03/2013		Protocol		
Registration date 22/03/2013	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
19/05/2022	Surgery			

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Parenteral diclofenac, i.e. diclofenac injection is frequently used to deliver pain relief following minor orthopaedic surgery.

Marketed injectable formulations usually contain 75mg diclofenac sodium in a 3-ml volume and can be administered only intramuscularly (injected directly into a muscle) or intravenously (injected directly into a vein).

IBSA Institut Biochimique has developed a new 1ml volume formulation containing Hydroxypropyl-ß-cyclodextrin (HPßCD), a solubility enhancer to allow subcutaneous (injection in which a needle is inserted just under the skin) administration in addition to intramuscular (i.m.) administration. This study was aimed at assessing the efficacy and safety of Diclofenac HPßCD 75mg administered either subcutaneously or intramuscularly, as compared to the reference product Voltaren® 75mg for i.m. administration.

Who can participate?

The study recruited a total of 325 in-patients, male and female, aged \geq 18 to \leq 65 years, with acute moderate to severe pain within 6 hours after a minor orthopaedic surgery (i.e. arthroscopic meniscectomy, arthroscopic removal of bone fragments, surgical correction of hallux valgus).

What does the study involve?

Study patients randomly received a single (s.c. or i.m.) injection of Diclofenac HPBCD 75 mg/1 ml or a single i.m. injection of Voltaren® 75 mg/3 ml administered in the upper part of the gluteus.

In case pain was still present, the same treatment was repeated 18-24 hours after the first injection. The overall study duration (for one patient) was 7 ± 2 days.

Local tolerability at injection site was assessed by the investigator up to 18 hours after the first injection, postsurgical pain was evaluated by the patients by means of a 0-100 mm Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) during the 6 hours post-surgery. Blood was taken for evaluation of the general safety of the treatments before the injection and 1 week after surgery/treatment.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The possible benefits deriving from the participation in the trial, regardless of the treatment received by the patient, were the decrease in pain caused by the orthopaedic surgery. As diclofenac sodium is a well-known substance in the medical world and treatment lasted for a limited period of one injection (or if needed, for a second injection the following day), the patient participation in the study didn't bring to any particular risk.

The possible side effects were related to active principle, diclofenac sodium.

The most common ones are the side effects affecting the gastro-intestinal apparatus: cramps or abdominal pain, constipation, diarrhoea, indigestion, nausea. More serious cases of bleeding or ulceration (perforation) intestinal walls have less frequently been observed. An increase in the values of the emphatic enzymes may occur, it is usually reversible and rarely leads to a liver disease. Other possible reactions due to administration of diclofenac burden the central nervous system, or cause skin rash and allergic reaction, water retention and swelling, and seldom kidney dysfunction.

The administration of the treatment intramuscularly or subcutaneously might cause local reactions. In previous studies with the same formulation, severe local reactions have rarely been observed, and anyway solved spontaneously within some hours. β-cyclodextrins have no biological activity and if administrated together with diclofenac sodium do not influence its activity. We can thus state that they do not cause any risk to the patients health.

Where is the study run from? The study was conducted in 25 investigational sites in Italy.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? First patient in: September 29, 2008
Last patient out: September 30, 2009.

Who is funding the study? IBSA Institut Biochimique SA, Via del Piano, CH-6915, Pambio.Noranco (Switzerland)

Who is the main contact?
Prof. Sandro Giannini
Direttore della U.O. VI Divisione di Chirurgia Ortopedico - Traumatologica IRCCS Istituti Ortopedici Rizzoli
Via Pupilli 1, 40127 Bologna
Italy

Contact information

Type(s)Scientific

Contact name

Prof Sandro Giannini

Contact details

IRCCS Istituti Ortopedici Rizzoli Via Pupilli 1 Bologna Italy 40127

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

2008-000846-30

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

08I-Dcsc04

Study information

Scientific Title

Open-label, randomised, controlled, parallel group study for the evaluation of safety and efficacy of DIclofenac HPßCD 75 mg ampoules s.c. in compaRison with DiclofEnaC HPßCD 75 mg ampoules i.m. and Voltaren® 75 mg ampoules i.m. in the treatment of acute moderate to severe pain following minor orThopaedic surgery

Acronym

DIRECT

Study objectives

To assess the efficacy and safety of Diclofenac HPBCD 75mg administered either subcutaneously or intramuscularly, as compared to the reference product Voltaren® 75mg for i. m. administration.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the Independent Ethics Committee (IEC) of each of the sites involved in the study. The coordinating IEC (IRCCS Istituti Ortopedici Rizzoli di Bologna) approved the protocol on 3 June 2008.

Study design

Open-label multicentre randomised parallel group active-controlled design

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Minor orthopaedic surgery (i.e. arthroscopic meniscectomy, arthroscopic removal of bone fragments, and surgical correction of hallux valgus)

Interventions

Patients enrolled in the study were randomised to receive one of the three following Investigational Medicinal Products (IMPs):

Group 1: Diclofenac HPBCD 1.0 ml ampoule, s.c. administered

Group 2: Diclofenac HP&CD 1.0 ml ampoule, i.m. administered

Group 3: Voltaren® 3.0 ml ampoule, i.m. administered

All the IMPs were given in single doses. If required by a persisting pain, the IMP administration was repeated on Day 2 (approximately 18-24 hours after the first administration).

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Voltaren® (diclofenac)

Primary outcome measure

Local tolerability (at the injection site), as assessed by the investigators at 10, 30, 60, 90 minutes and at 3, 6 and 12-18 hours after the first IMP administration by scoring any presence of redness, swelling and hardening, by means of 4-point severity scale (0 = none, 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, 3 = severe). The mean overall score carried out at any time point represented the primary variable. The overall score range between 0 and 9.

Secondary outcome measures

Additional safety variables assessed during the study were:

- 1. Pain at injection site as assessed by patients after the injection at 10, 30, 60, 90 minutes and at
- 3, 6 and 12-18 hours by means of a VAS (0-100 mm)
- 2. Overall opinion on local tolerability, as expressed by both patient and investigator
- 3. Laboratory parameters (haematology, blood chemistry, urinalysis) measured pre-operatively or at Visit 1 and Visit 3

- 4. Vital signs measured at Visit 1, Visit 2 and Visit 3
- 5. The analgesic efficacy of IMPs in postoperative pain was evaluated according to the pain due to the surgical intervention, which was assessed as follows:
- 5.1. Post-surgery pain as measured by the patient on a VAS (0-100 mm) before treatment, and
- 15, 30, 60, 90 minutes and 3 and 6 hours after the first injection on Day 1
- 5.2. Number and percentage of patients requiring a second injection on Day 2
- 5.3. Overall opinion on efficacy, as expressed by both patient and investigator

Overall study start date

29/09/2008

Completion date

30/09/2009

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Adult males and females in-patients aged \geq 18 to \leq 65 years
- 2. Subjects undergoing one of the following minor orthopaedic surgeries:
- 2.1. Arthroscopic meniscectomy
- 2.2. Arthroscopic removal of bone fragments
- 2.3. Surgical correction of hallux valgus
- 2.4. Presence of moderate to severe post-operative pain, scored as ≥ 40 mm on a 0-100 mm Visual Analogue Scale (VAS)
- 2.5. Pain starting not more than 6 hours since surgery

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

A total of 325 patients were recruited in the study

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients with evidence of systemic infection or infection at the site of operation
- 2. Complications occurring after surgical procedure
- 3. Patients with clinical signs or a diagnosis of known gastroduodenal ulcer
- 4. Patients with clinical signs or history of coagulation disorders, or with a history of recurrence ulceration or gastrointestinal (GI) bleeding
- 5. Patients under chronic treatment with topical or systemic analgesics / Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)
- 6. Intake of any systemic NSAID or analgesic in the last 12 hours before surgery

7. Opioids in the 7 days before surgery, or corticosteroids (by any administration route) in the 60 days before surgery

Date of first enrolment 29/09/2008

Date of final enrolment 30/09/2009

Locations

Countries of recruitment Italy

Study participating centre IRCCS Istituti Ortopedici Rizzoli Bologna Italy 40127

Sponsor information

Organisation

Institut Biochimique SA (IBSA) (Italy)

Sponsor details

Via del Piano P.O. Box 266 Pambio-Noranco Italy CH-6915

Sponsor type

Industry

ROR

https://ror.org/02cf8gj49

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

Institut Biochimique SA (IBSA) (Italy)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Basic results		20/04/2022	19/05/2022	No	No