

# Can cash transfers decrease the no-show rate for surgical patients in low-resource settings?

<b>Submission date</b> 19/11/2020	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 23/11/2020	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 01/09/2021	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Surgical access is critically important for strong health systems. Although 30% of the world's disease burden is surgical, five billion people are unable to access safe, affordable, and timely surgical care. An estimated 143 million necessary surgical procedures are not done every year. Barriers to accessing surgical care are especially high among the poor worldwide and in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs).

A 2017 retrospective study of surgical patients in West Africa found that they were almost twice as likely to show up for their scheduled surgery if their transportation costs were paid for.

Vouchers for transportation have also been successful at increasing facility delivery for mothers in Bangladesh, although the voucher system itself proved difficult to administer.

Cash transfers, in which participants are given small amounts of cash in exchange for salutary behavior, are simpler to administer than vouchers and have shown success in health, nutrition, and education. Cash transfers have not yet been studied in surgery.

Building on a prior study of cash transfers for surgical patients in Guinea, this paper undertook a randomized, controlled trial (RCT) of a cash transfer for surgical patients in the country. We hypothesized that cash transfers would improve patient compliance and that, specifically, cash transfers given before patients faced the barrier of transportation costs would have a significant positive effect.

### Who can participate?

Any patient who had been determined to be eligible for surgery by a specialized surgical screening team. There was no age limit, although if the patient was a child, the parent was the participant.

### What does the study involve?

Participants in this study were randomized to three trial arms. Group 1 patients received the cash transfer conditional on their arrival for their surgery. Patients in Group 2 received the transfer as a mobile banking deposit 2 – 4 days prior to the day they were scheduled to leave their homes to come to the screening city for transportation to Conakry. The transfer was "labelled" with a concurrent text message. Patients in the control arm received a bag of food and staples on the day of enrollment. No further assistance was given toward their transportation costs.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Benefits: The patients would be receiving free surgery plus a cash transfer.

Risks: Aside from the minimal risk of unmasking of patient information, no other risks were likely to occur.

Where is the study run from?

Mercy Ships, a surgical charity operating a hospital ship at Conakry (Guinea)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2017 to June 2019

Who is funding the study?

Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation (USA)

Who is the main contact?

Prof. Mark Shrime

mark.shrime@rcsi.com

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Mark Shrime

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3546-9867>

### Contact details

Institute of Global Surgery

123 St. Stephen's Green

Dublin

Ireland

D02

-

shrime@mail.harvard.edu

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

CI 91-17

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Cash transfers may decrease the no-show rate for surgical patients in low-resource settings: A randomized trial

## Acronym

CASH

## Study objectives

Cash transfers will improve patient compliance with scheduled surgery and, specifically, cash transfers given before patients faced the barrier of transportation costs would have a significant positive effect.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Approved 12/06/2017, Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary (Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary, 243 Charles Street, Boston, MA 02114, USA; +1 617 523 7900; jan\_trott@meei.harvard.edu), ref: 15-166H

## Study design

Single center interventional single-blinded randomized trial with three arms

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Other

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Utilization of surgical services by surgical patients in Guinea

## Interventions

Three arms:

"Conditional cash transfer": these patients will receive 80,000 GNF (approx €7) conditional on them arriving for their scheduled surgical appointment, whether or not the surgery actually happened.

"Unconditional cash transfer": These patients received 80,000 GNF as a mobile banking deposit 2 – 4 days prior to the day they were scheduled to leave their homes to come to the screening city for transportation to Conakry. The transfer was "labeled" with a concurrent text message. If patients in this arm did not have a cell phone or a SIM card, these were provided. All transfer costs were covered.

"Control group": Patients in the control arm received a bag of food and staples on the day of enrollment. No further assistance was given toward their transportation costs.

Patients were recruited once they had been given an appointment for their on-ship screening. Allocation proceeded via simple randomization. Slips each containing a random study identification number and group allocation were concealed in an opaque envelope. Patients drew a number from the envelope in view of the researchers, local staff, and their families. The first author, who performed the data analysis, was blinded to the allocation and randomization.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Primary outcome(s)**

Number of patients attending scheduled surgical appointment (measured throughout the study)

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

There are no secondary outcome measures

**Completion date**

01/06/2019

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

Any patient who had been determined to be eligible for surgery by a specialized surgical screening team. No age limit, although if the patient was a child, the parent was the participant.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

All

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

453

**Key exclusion criteria**

No diagnosed surgical disease

**Date of first enrolment**

16/10/2018

**Date of final enrolment**

07/02/2019

**Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Guinea

**Study participating centre****Mercy Ships**

m/v Africa Mercy

Conakry

Guinea

-

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Massachusetts Eye and Ear Infirmary

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/04g3dn724>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Charity

**Funder Name**

Damon Runyon Cancer Research Foundation

**Alternative Name(s)**

Cancer Research Fund of the Damon Runyon-Walter Winchell Foundation, Damon Runyon, DAMON RUNYON CANCER RESEARCH FND, DRCRF

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

**Location**

United States of America

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are not expected to be made available due to lack of consent.

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Preprint results</a>		26/03/2021	01/09/2021	No	No