Effectiveness of Bupivacaine in Pain Management following Breast Augmentation

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered
28/09/2007	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
28/09/2007	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
30/08/2012	Signs and Symptoms	Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mr S Jayagopal

Contact details

Countess of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Liverpool Road Chester United Kingdom CH2 1UL +44 01244 365000

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0072186040

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

- 1. Is bupivacaine better than placebo in reducing the post-operative pain?
- 2. Does bupivacaine used as supplement reduce the opiate dosage?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised double blind controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Signs and Symptoms: Pain

Interventions

A blinded member of the team will assess the pain at 2,4 and hours after the operation. Length of hospital stay is also recorded.

The data analysis will take place at Countess of Chester Hospital (COCH). The research team at COCH will analyse the data using SPSS software.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Bupivacaine

Primary outcome measure

Is bupivacaine superior than placebo in pain control following breast augmentation?

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Does bupivacaine reduce opiate requirement?
- 2. Does it reduce hospital stay?
- 3. Does it reduce the incidence of opiate related adverse effects?

Overall study start date

01/08/2006

Completion date

01/08/2009

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients undergoing breast augmentation
- 2. For correction of congenital anomaly or asymmetry. This group is usually young population, so usually not associated with co-morbid conditions which might complicate result.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

Total 50; 25 in each group.

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients undergoing augmentation following mastectomy or as part of other reconstruction
- 2. Patients with multiple co-morbidity

Date of first enrolment

01/08/2006

Date of final enrolment

01/08/2009

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Countess of Chester Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Chester United Kingdom CH2 1UL

Sponsor information

Organisation

Record Provided by the NHSTCT Register - 2007 Update - Department of Health

Sponsor details

The Department of Health, Richmond House, 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Countess of Chester NHS Foundation Trust

Funder Name

NHS R&D Support Funding

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date
Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summaryNot provided at time of registration