

Endoscopic treatment of anastomotic oesophageal stricture: a randomised study comparing initial dilation by electrocautery with Savary bougies with electrocautery

Submission date 11/04/2007	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	☐ Prospectively registered ☐ Protocol
Registration date 11/04/2007	Overall study status Completed	☐ Statistical analysis plan ☒ Results
Last Edited 20/01/2010	Condition category Surgery	☐ Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr M L Hordijk

Contact details
Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology
Erasmus Medical Centre Rotterdam
University Hospital
P.O. Box 2040
Rotterdam
Netherlands
3000 CA
+ 31 (0)10 463 5946
m.hordijk@erasmusmc.nl

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
NTR931

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

Anastomotic strictures are common after oesophageal resection. These strictures often need multiple dilation procedures with Savary bougies, and some even fail despite many dilations. Based on the literature and our pilot study of electrocautery therapy of refractory benign oesophageal stenosis, electrocautery treatment is safe, and may provide an excellent alternative for primary treatment of oesophageal strictures.

A prospective randomised controlled trial is needed to compare dilation therapy with Savary bouginage with electrocautery therapy for the primary treatment of these strictures.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approval received from the METC Erasmus MC on the 12th May 2004 (ref: MEC 238.671/2004/8).

Study design

Randomised controlled parallel group double blinded multicentre trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Anastomotic oesophageal stricture

Interventions

Patients will either undergo dilation with Savary bougies, or primary electrocautery.

An upper gastrointestinal endoscopy will be performed. The postoperative stenosis will be inspected and the diameter of the stenosis will be estimated by using the diameter of the endoscope (9.5 mm). These stenoses are usually located at 17 to 20 cm from the incisors.

For endoscopic bougie dilation of the stricture, a guide wire will be placed in the stomach, followed by removal of the endoscope and passage of Savary Gilliard bougies of increasing diameter over the guide wire according to standard procedures to a diameter of minimal of 16 mm and maximal 19 mm.

For endoscopic dilation of the strictures with electrocautery, the tip of the endoscope is positioned just proximal from the stenosis, and a needle knife catheter (Wilson Cook, Boston Scientific) is introduced through the working channel. Radial incisions are made in the stenotic ring with the needle knife catheter under direct visualisation. The required length of the cut is gauged according to the length of the stricture assumed by the endoscopist in the light of the

membranous nature and the calibre of the stricture. The depth of the incision (estimated using the length of the needle knife as a comparator) is not deeper than 4 mm. The length of the incision is dosed to completely remove the rim of the stenosis.

In case of recurrent stenosis, dilation therapy will be repeated with the same modality as was used at baseline. Recurrent stenosis is defined as no passage or only passage with pressure of the endoscope (diameter 9.5 mm).

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

This study aims to compare the efficacy of Savary dilation versus endoscopic electrocautery treatment for the treatment of fibrotic anastomotic strictures after oesophageal resection.

1. The efficacy of therapy will be evaluated by means of objective and subjective criteria, which will be determined both before treatment and during follow-up after treatment

2. The objective criteria are obtained by standard items at endoscopy and body weight.

Endoscopic evaluation of the stricture will take place at baseline, and will be repeated in case of recurrent or persistent symptoms

3. The European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC) health related Quality of Life Questionnaires, Short Form 36-question health survey (SF-36), Quality of Life Questionnaire on Cancer (QLQ C-30) (version 3) and Quality of Life Oesophageal Questionnaire (QLQ OES-18) are used to structure a quality of life questionnaire especially focused on benign oesophageal stenosis

All outcomes will be measured at zero, one, three and six months.

Key secondary outcome(s)

Is there a difference in the interval of retreatment between electrocautery and Savary bougies, because of stenosis of the anastomosis of the oesophagus?

All outcomes will be measured at zero, one, three and six months.

Completion date

01/09/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Sixty-two unselected consecutive patients with dysphagia due to a benign anastomotic stricture after transhiatal oesophagectomy with gastric tube reconstruction and cervical anastomosis will be included and randomised to either treatment arm. After informed consent, patients will either undergo dilation with Savary bougies, or primary electocautery.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

1. Oesophageal dilation with bougies or electrocautery is rarely contraindicated. Patients should however not be dilated if they recently suffered from acute oesophageal perforation
2. Dilation is relatively contraindicated in the presence of:
 - 2.1. a bleeding diathesis
 - 2.2. severely compromised pulmonary function
 - 2.3. severe or unstable cardiac disease, or in
 - 2.4. patients with large thoracic aortic aneurysms

Date of first enrolment

17/06/2004

Date of final enrolment

01/09/2007

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

Netherlands

Study participating centre

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology

Rotterdam

Netherlands

3000 CA

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Erasmus Medical Centre (The Netherlands)

ROR

<https://ror.org/018906e22>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

Erasmus Medical Centre (Netherlands)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/11/2009		Yes	No