

# Reconstruction after advanced pelvic cancer surgery

<b>Submission date</b> 29/01/2025	<b>Recruitment status</b> Recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 26/03/2025	<b>Overall study status</b> Ongoing	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 01/04/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Advanced pelvic cancers affecting the bowel, anus, bladder, prostate and reproductive organs are challenging to treat. In the confined pelvic space, cancer easily spreads to nearby organs and muscles, sometimes invading the surrounding anal/genital skin (the perineum). The best chance of cure is radiotherapy, chemotherapy followed by major surgery. This life-changing surgery, called Extended Margin Cancer Surgery (EMCS), removes pelvic organs and the perineum leaving behind a large empty space. This can lead to complications like fluid buildup, infections, and wound breakdown, known as the empty pelvis syndrome. This syndrome affects over half of patients causing long-term health problems, poor quality of life(QoL) and placing a large financial burden on both patients and the NHS. To address these issues, surgeons perform perineal reconstruction using tissue flaps(muscle/skin taken from elsewhere in the body) or animal-derived meshes to fill the empty space and prevent complications. However, little is known about how different reconstruction methods impact QoL, complications, and costs after surgery. The aim of this study is to better understand the impact of different types of perineal reconstruction on QoL, complications and costs within the first 12 months after EMCS.

### Who can participate

Patients over the age of 18 years with advanced rectal, anal, gynaecological, bladder, prostate, sarcoma, cancer invading the pelvic floor muscles, needing major surgery to remove the cancer and reconstruct the pelvic floor with either a tissue flap or a mesh.

### What does the study involve

This study will recruit 236 patients from 10 NHS centres, ensuring a diverse population. Patients undergoing EMCS and flap or mesh perineal reconstruction will complete QoL questionnaires at recruitment, 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery. We will assess healthcare and patient resource use, financial burden, complications, survival, and cancer recurrence within 12- months after surgery. A selection of patients, ensuring different ages and ethnic representation, will be interviewed to explore the consequences of complications and their impact on QoL and decision-making.

Comparing QoL, complication rates and costs between the two surgical groups will provide valuable information for clinicians and patients, improving communication between patients and their clinical teams, thereby improving shared decisions and reducing regret.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The study will inform shared decision-making consultations with data captured from patients undergoing these operations as this currently does not exist. No risks for participation are identified.

Where is the study run from?

The study is coordinated from the University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Southampton (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

December 2021 to January 2028

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research, Research for Patient Benefit (UK)

Who is the main contact?

1. A/Prof Malcolm West, M.West@soton.ac.uk
2. Prof. Alex Mirnezami

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal investigator

### Contact name

Mr Malcolm West

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0345-5356>

### Contact details

University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust

South Academic Block

C-level, Tremona Road

Southampton

United Kingdom

SO166YD

-

malcolm.west@uhs.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

282783

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

NCT05219058

**Protocol serial number**

CPMS 52006

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

REMACS - understanding the impact of perineal reconstruction after extended margin cancer surgery on longer-term quality of life, morbidity and health economic outcomes - a prospective longitudinal cohort study

**Acronym**

REMACS

**Study objectives**

Aim: To determine the effects of extended margin cancer surgery (EMCS), specifically comparing 12-month quality of life (QoL), complications and health economic utilisation between flap and mesh perineal reconstruction groups.

**Ethics approval required**

Ethics approval required

**Ethics approval(s)**

approved 10/03/2022, North East - Newcastle & North Tyneside 2 Research Ethics Committee (2 Redman Place, Stratford, London, E20 1JQ, United Kingdom; -; newcastlenorthtyneside2.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 22/NE/0032

**Study design**

Longitudinal observational cohort study

**Primary study design**

Observational

**Study type(s)**

Quality of life, Treatment

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Advanced pelvic cancers

**Interventions**

Extended margin abdominopelvic cancer operations including abdominoperineal excision with pelvic reconstruction using biological mesh or myocutaneous flaps or primary closure.

This study will recruit 236 patients from 10 NHS centres, ensuring a diverse population. Patients undergoing EMCS and flap or mesh perineal reconstruction will complete QoL questionnaires at recruitment, 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery. We will assess healthcare and patient resource use, financial burden, complications, survival, and cancer recurrence within 12- months after surgery. A selection of patients, ensuring different ages and ethnic representation, will be interviewed to explore the consequences of complications and their impact on QoL and decision-

making. Comparing QoL, complication rates and costs between the two surgical groups will provide valuable information for clinicians and patients, improving communication between patients and their clinical teams, thereby improving shared decisions and reducing regret.

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Quality of life is measured using the EORTC-QLQ-C30 generic global health at 12 months after surgery

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Quality of life is measured using the EORTC-QLQ-C30 generic and cancer-specific module at baseline before surgery and at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery.
2. Quality of life is measured using the EQ-5D-5L at baseline before surgery and at 3, 6, and 12 months after surgery.
3. Decision-Regret will be measured using the Decision-Regret questionnaire at baseline before surgery and at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery.
4. Specific quality of life for locally recurrent rectal cancer patients is measured using the LRRC-QoL at baseline before surgery and at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery
5. Complications will be measured in-hospital and up to 12 months after surgery using the Clavien-Dindo score and Comprehensive Complication Index
6. Comparisons of costs and benefits, with financial toxicity measurements will be measured using the COST questionnaire at baseline before surgery and at 3, 6 and 12 months.
7. Quality-adjusted life years gained will be measured using the EQ-5D-5L at baseline before surgery and at 3, 6 and 12 months after surgery
8. Healthcare resource use data will be measured using unit costs from the National Schedule of NHS Costs will be used to cost resource use over the 12 months after surgery
9. Overall and disease-free survival will be measured at 12 months after surgery

### **Completion date**

01/01/2028

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Male and female patients aged 18 years and over
2. Locally advanced or locally recurrent cancers arising from the rectum, uterus, ovary, cervix, anus, bladder, prostate, and sarcomas
3. Patients undergoing curative intent EMCS including infralelevator pelvic exenteration(PE) or extralelevator abdomino-perineal excision(ELAPE)
4. Patients undergoing perineal reconstruction with myocutaneous flap or biological mesh

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Unfit, declined or not offered curative intent surgery
2. Inter-sphincteric abdominoperineal resection only
3. Patients undergoing perineal reconstruction with primary skin closure alone
4. Inability or unwillingness to provide informed consent

**Date of first enrolment**

17/05/2022

**Date of final enrolment**

01/12/2026

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Scotland

Wales

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust**

Southampton General Hospital

Tremona Road

Southampton

United Kingdom

SO16 6YD

**Study participating centre**

**Salisbury NHS Foundation Trust**

Salisbury District Hospital

Odstock Road

Salisbury

United Kingdom

SP2 8BJ

**Study participating centre**

**Dorset County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (uhs)**

Dorset County Hospital  
Williams Avenue  
Dorchester  
United Kingdom  
DT1 2JY

**Study participating centre**

**Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust**

St. James's University Hospital  
Beckett Street  
Leeds  
United Kingdom  
LS9 7TF

**Study participating centre**

**Mid Yorkshire Teaching NHS Trust**

Pinderfields Hospital  
Aberford Road  
Wakefield  
United Kingdom  
WF1 4DG

**Study participating centre**

**The Newcastle upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Freeman Hospital  
Freeman Road  
High Heaton  
Newcastle upon Tyne  
United Kingdom  
NE7 7DN

**Study participating centre**

**University Hospitals Dorset NHS Foundation Trust**

Management Offices  
Poole Hospital  
Longfleet Road  
Poole  
United Kingdom  
BH15 2JB

**Study participating centre**

**Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**

Higher Kingston

Yeovil

United Kingdom

BA21 4AT

**Study participating centre**

**Swansea Bay University Local Health Board**

Tonna Hospital

Tonna Uchaf

Tonna

Neath

United Kingdom

SA11 3LX

**Study participating centre**

**Portsmouth Hospitals University National Health Service Trust**

Queen Alexandra Hospital

Southwick Hill Road

Cosham

Portsmouth

United Kingdom

PO6 3LY

**Study participating centre**

**Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

Basingstoke and North Hampshire Hos

Aldermaston Road

Basingstoke

United Kingdom

RG24 9NA

**Study participating centre**

**Royal Devon University Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust**

Royal Devon University NHS Ft

Barrack Road

Exeter

United Kingdom

EX2 5DW

**Study participating centre**  
**Sussex Partnership NHS Foundation Trust**  
Trust Hq  
Swandean  
Arundel Road  
Worthing  
United Kingdom  
BN13 3EP

**Study participating centre**  
**London North West University Healthcare NHS Trust - Northwick Park Hospital - Oxford Covid19 Trials**  
Northwick Park Hospital  
Watford Road  
Harrow  
United Kingdom  
HA1 3UJ

**Study participating centre**  
**Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**  
Trust Headquarters, Cobbett House  
Manchester Royal Infirmary  
Oxford Road  
Manchester  
United Kingdom  
M13 9WL

**Study participating centre**  
**NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde**  
J B Russell House  
Gartnavel Royal Hospital  
1055 Great Western Road Glasgow  
Glasgow  
United Kingdom  
G12 0XH

**Study participating centre**  
**The Christie NHS Foundation Trust**  
550 Wilmslow Road  
Withington  
Manchester

United Kingdom  
M20 4BX

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust

### ROR

<https://ror.org/0485axj58>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Government

### Funder Name

Research for Patient Benefit Programme

### Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Research for Patient Benefit Programme, Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB), The NIHR Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB), RfPB

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

### Funding Body Subtype

National government

### Location

United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Fully anonymised data will be stored in a publicly available repository: <https://register.clinicaltrials.gov/>. Quality of life, health economic and surgical outcomes data will be available. Patient-level data will not be made available but can be requested by a formal request to the study principal investigator.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in publicly available repository

