

# Comparison of the effectiveness of a behavioural graded activity program and manual therapy in patients with sub-acute neck pain

<b>Submission date</b> 20/02/2007	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 20/02/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 24/02/2009	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof H C W de Vet

**Contact details**  
VU University Medical Centre  
EMGO-Institute  
Van der Boechorststraat 7  
Amsterdam  
Netherlands  
1081 BT  
+31 (0)20 444 8176  
[hcw.devet@vumc.nl](mailto:hcw.devet@vumc.nl)

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

## **Secondary identifying numbers**

ZonMw: 940-31-060, NTR81

# **Study information**

## **Scientific Title**

## **Study objectives**

A behavioural graded activity program will be more effective than manual therapy in patients with sub-acute neck pain.

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Medisch Ethische Toestingscommissie (METC) VUmc on 23/10/2002 (ref: 2002/127).

## **Study design**

Randomised, active controlled, parallel group, double blinded trial.

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

## **Study setting(s)**

Other

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Participant information sheet**

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Neck complaints

## **Interventions**

Behavioural graded activity program versus manual therapy

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Phase**

Not Applicable

## **Primary outcome measure**

1. Global Perceived Effect (GPE)
2. Neck Disability Index (NDI)

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Numerical Rating Scale (NRS)
2. Tampa Scale for Kinesiophobia (TSK)
3. Distress, coping
4. Four Dimensional Symptom Questionnaire (4 DKL)
5. Pain Coping and Cognition List (PCCL)
6. Costs

**Overall study start date**

01/04/2002

**Completion date**

01/04/2007

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients visiting the general practitioner with non-specific new complaint or new episode of complaint of the neck (four to 12 weeks). An episode of complaint is new if patients have not visited their General Practitioner (GP) for the same complaint the preceding six months
2. Aged between 18 and 70 years

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

180

**Key exclusion criteria**

Patients are excluded from the study if a fracture, malignancy, or rheumatoid arthritis caused the presented complaint.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/2002

**Date of final enrolment**

01/04/2007

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

## Study participating centre

VU University Medical Centre

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1081 BT

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Vrije University Medical Centre (VUMC) (Netherlands)

## Sponsor details

EMGO-Institute

Van der Boechorststraat 7

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1081 BT

+31 (0)20 444 8180

emgo@vumc.nl

## Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

## Website

<http://www.vumc.nl/english/>

## ROR

<https://ror.org/00q6h8f30>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Research organisation

## Funder Name

The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (Netherlands)

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	Study protocol	01/11/2006		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	Results	01/04/2009		Yes	No