# Effect of patient-controlled sedation with propofol on patient satisfaction

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
27/03/2013		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
16/04/2013		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
16/04/2013	Signs and Symptoms			

### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

A national survey carried out by the French Society of Anesthesia and Critical Care with INSERM showed that 15% of anesthetic acts in France were due to diagnostic procedures. Several authors have raised this issue because of the cost involved at national level. For invasive diagnostic radiology (angiography), the necessity of the presence of an anesthesiologist is highly controversial. The safety aspect is mentioned but it is questionable because accidents are rare (approximately 0.1%) in those procedures. This explains that, in many centres, an anesthesiologist can be reached in case of an incident but is not present during the whole procedure. Another justification is to improve patient comfort and satisfaction. Some patients do not want to be sedated because of the possibility of post interventional drowsiness and legal constraints related to the anesthetic action (visit 48 hours in advance, monitoring for several hours etc). This uncertainty about the desired level of sedation is reflected in a wide range of anesthesia practices, ranging from simple monitoring to deep sedation for arteriography. Controlled sedation the patient (also called PCS) is a method derived from patient-controlled analgesia or PCA. The advantage of this technique is to control the patient's level of sedation. This study assesses how well the PCS method works.

### Who can participate?

Participants aged under 70 years, with ASA physical status below 3, a scheduled cerebral angiography, and a consultation with an anesthetist at least 48 hours before the procedure.

### What does the study involve?

61 patients were randomly allocated to one of two groups: receiving propofol-based PCS (n = 33, 15 mg bolus in 9 s) or placebo-based PCS (n = 28, bolus of 1.5 mL of a 20% lipid emulsion in 9 s).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Possible benefits were an improve experience of the sedation and fast recovery. Potential risks were insufficient sedation or secondary effects of propofol.

### Where is the study run from?

France, Public Hospital of Marseille, neuroradiology operating room.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? The study started in January 2000 and was expected to last 6 months.

Who is funding the study?

There was institutional funding from AP-HM, Public Hospital of Marseille, Research Department.

Who is the main contact? Dr Axel Maurice-Szamburski axel.maurice@ap-hm.fr

# Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Axel Maurice-Szamburski

### Contact details

264 rue St. Pierre Marseille France 13009 axel.maurice@ap-hm.fr

### Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

00/15

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Effect of patient-controlled sedation with propofol on patient satisfaction: a randomised study

### Study objectives

The aim of this study was to evaluate the difference in patient satisfaction, assessed by a specific and validated scale, using a propofol-based PCS compared to placebo-based PCS for diagnostic cerebral angiography.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Ethical approval n°:00/15, Chairperson Pr. J-C Manelli, Ethical Committee: CPP Sud-Méditerranée II - Hôpital Salvator, Marseille, France.

### Study design

Prospective double blinded randomised

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Study type(s)

Diagnostic

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Diagnostic cerebral angiography

#### **Interventions**

We randomly assigned 61 patients to receive propofol-based PCS (n = 33, 15 mg bolus in 9 s) or placebo-based PCS (n = 28, bolus of 1.5 mL of a 20% lipid emulsion in 9 s).

### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

### Primary outcome(s)

The main objective was to evaluate whether a propofol-based PCS would lead at least to 20% difference in patients satisfaction on the EVAN scale, which was self reported the day after the procedure.

### Key secondary outcome(s))

Secondary objectives were to assess the quality of patient conditioning by the neuroradiologist and the anesthetist and to report possible side effect.

### Completion date

01/07/2000

# Eligibility

### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Age under 70 years
- 2. ASA physical status below 3
- 3. Scheduled cerebral angiography
- 4. Consultation with an anesthetist at least 48 hours before the procedure

### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

All

### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Age under 18 years,
- 2. Emergency context
- 3. History of psychiatric disease
- 4, Long-course anxiolytic treatment
- 5. Counter-indication to propofol
- 6. Withdrawal of consent

### Date of first enrolment

01/01/2000

### Date of final enrolment

01/07/2000

## Locations

### Countries of recruitment

France

# Study participating centre 264 rue St. Pierre

Marseille France 13009

# Sponsor information

### Organisation

Assistance Publique Hôpitaux de Marseille AP-HM (France)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/00pg5jh14

# Funder(s)

### Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

### **Funder Name**

Institutional funding from AP-HM, Public Hospital of Marseille, Research Department.

# **Results and Publications**

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/06/2005	Yes	No
Results article	results	01/01/2013	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025 11/11/2025	No	Yes