Safety of nebulised lignocaine in asthma

Submission date [] Prospectively registered Recruitment status 23/01/2004 No longer recruiting [] Protocol [] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 23/01/2004 Completed [X] Results [] Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 09/12/2008 Respiratory

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Timothy Harrison

Contact details

University of Nottingham Division of Respiratory Medicine Clinical Sciences Building Hucknall Road Nottingham United Kingdom NG5 1PB +44 (0)115 960 3268

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers RBF 96X33

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

- 1. To determine the effect of single doses of nebulised lignocaine (80 mg and 160 mg) on FEV1, bronchial reactivity, heart rate, blood pressure and plasma lignocaine levels over 2 hours in patients with relatively mild asthma
- 2. To determine whether any tendency to bronchoconstriction with nebulised lignocaine can be prevented by pre-treatment with salbutamol

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Respiratory tract diseases: Asthma

Interventions

- 1. Placebo followed by 80 mg lignocaine
- 2. 2.5 mg salbutamol followed by 80 mg lignocaine
- 3. Placebo followed by placebo
- 4. Placebo followed by 160 mg lignocaine
- 5. 2.5 mg salbutamol followed by 160 mg lignocaine

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Specified

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Primary outcome measure

Future work: if nebulised lignocaine can be confirmed to be safe and well tolerated either with or without pre-treatment with salbutamol the researchers intend to proceed with studies of regular treatment in subjects with more severe asthma to determine its steroid sparing effects.

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

01/02/1997

Completion date

31/07/1997

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. 20 patients aged 16 to 65 from the asthma research volunteers register.
- 2. They will have an forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) above 50% predicted, a history of asthma for at least 6 months (which is currently stable), at least 15% reversibility in FEV1 with 200 µg inhaled salbutamol, a PD20 methacholine, 12 µmol and they will be taking no treatment other than an inhaled steroid (up to 400 µg) and a short acting inhaled bronchodilator.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

20

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Any history of allergy to local anaesthetics, cardiac disease, epilepsy or other important medical condition
- 2. Women of child bearing age will only be included if surgically sterilised

Date of first enrolment

01/02/1997

Date of final enrolment

31/07/1997

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

NG5 1PB

United Kingdom

Study participating centre University of Nottingham Nottingham United Kingdom

Sponsor information

Organisation

NHS R&D Regional Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL +44 (0)20 7307 2622 dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NHS Executive Trent (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/12/1998		Yes	No