

# Reconceptualising patient-reported outcome measures for back pain

<b>Submission date</b> 20/01/2018	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 22/03/2018	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 04/04/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Patient reported outcome measures (PROMs) are questionnaires used to collect information on patients' views of their health and health-related quality of life. PROMs are increasingly being used in routine clinical practice to assess patients' health, treatment and quality of healthcare. Research indicates that the use of PROMs in clinical practice might influence the process of patient care, patient experience, and outcomes. A PhD project has been set up to examine the effects of using PROMs at different frequencies in chiropractic care for low back pain. The aim of this study is to assess the effects of using PROMs and understand the process by which PROMs make these changes.

### Who can participate?

Chiropractors working in musculoskeletal healthcare clinics and their patients aged over 16 with self-reported back pain

### What does the study involve?

Each clinician is randomly allocated to one of three groups: routine PROM data, intensive PROM data, or a control group. Patients visiting these practitioners for chiropractic treatment for low back pain are asked to take part. At the start of the study patients complete an assessment and are asked to complete PROMs at various stages during their treatment. If their chiropractor is allocated to the routine PROM group, they are asked to complete PROMs three times. If their chiropractor is allocated to the intensive PROM group, they are asked to complete PROMs seven times. Patients in the control group do not complete PROMs. Thirty treatment sessions are audio-recorded. The audio-recordings of patient-chiropractor encounters during treatment sessions are analysed to examine the use of PROMs in clinical practice. All patients are asked to complete assessments after 90 days. Interviews are conducted individually with a sample of patients and chiropractors in order to explore the process of using PROMs, identify any unintended consequences of PROMs, and identify any mechanisms that may influence outcomes. The interviews and relevant excerpts from the treatment sessions audio recordings are analysed to identify patterns and relationships.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

PROMs are increasingly being used to collect patient outcomes on a routine basis in healthcare.

This research aims to provide evidence on the use of PROMs during routine clinical interventions and the clinical and psychological effects on patients. This research could inform clinical practice on the development and improvement of PROM collection. The participants' input will help researchers to identify the role health questionnaires have in the treatment of back pain. This will help with the development of resources to support people with back pain and improve patient care in the future. Participants are not exposed to any additional risks of harm or discomfort than anticipated in routine treatment.

Where is the study run from?  
University of Southampton (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
January 2018 to February 2019

Who is funding the study?  
1. University of Southampton (UK)  
2. AECC University College (UK)  
3. Southampton Complementary Medicine Research Trust (UK)  
4. Royal College of Chiropractors (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Michelle Holmes

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Public

**Contact name**  
Mrs Michelle Holmes

**ORCID ID**  
<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6018-2235>

**Contact details**  
University of Southampton  
Shackleton Building  
University Road  
Southampton  
United Kingdom  
SO17 1PS

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Reconceptualising Patient-Reported Outcome Measures (RPROMs): a cluster randomised controlled trial and process evaluation on PROMs in specialist musculoskeletal care for back pain

### Acronym

RPROMs

### Study objectives

Patient-reported outcome measures are a form of questionnaires which use patients' subjective evaluation to assess their health and wellbeing. PROMs are being increasingly utilised in routine clinical practice as an effective way to evaluate health and provision of healthcare. A recent review suggests that PROMs may affect patients through multiple processes: increasing clinicians' knowledge of patients, facilitating patient-doctor interaction, enabling patient-centered care, monitoring, informing strategies to improve care, enhancing therapeutic relationships, improving patient satisfaction, and encouraging positive patient health behaviour. From this review, a novel theoretical framework was developed: the Patient Reported Outcome Measures Pathway Theory (PROMPT), depicting the multiple components of PROMs within routine clinical practice and specifying hypothesised outcomes, mechanisms and parameters. However, there is limited empirical research examining the proposed mechanisms of action.

This study will use a cluster-randomised controlled trial and process evaluation to evaluate the use PROMs in clinical practice and to answer the following research question: what are the clinical and psychosocial consequences of using PROMs in specialist musculoskeletal care for low back pain, and through what mechanisms?

The objectives of the study are to:

1. Evaluate the clinical and psychosocial effects of implementing different levels of PROMs in routine treatment of low back pain
2. Identify any unintended consequences of PROMs
3. Assess intervention delivery and quality
4. Clarify causal mechanisms of PROMs by testing hypotheses derived from the PROMPT model of the proposed processes by which change occurs
5. Identify contextual mechanisms that might moderate outcomes

A series of hypotheses were derived from PROMPT and will be tested in this study:

1. There will be a difference in HRQoL scores at 90 days between those who complete PROMs routinely, those who complete PROMs intensively and those who do not complete PROMs
2. Those who complete PROMs will have improved scores in patient-centered communication, therapeutic relationship, patient satisfaction, self-efficacy, and self-management behaviours, compared to those who do not complete PROMs
3. Those who complete PROMs will have an increase in HRQoL mediated by improvements in communication, self-efficacy, treatment perceptions and self-management behaviours (coping appraisal pathway)
4. Those who complete PROMs will have an increase in pain-related fear mediating a change in HRQoL moderated by pain catastrophising, and self-efficacy (threat appraisal pathway)
5. Those who complete PROMs will have an increase in HRQoL mediated by improvements in

communication, therapeutic relationship, self-efficacy and self-management behaviours (patient-clinician interaction pathway)

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

University of Southampton, 10/08/2017, ref: 20133

### **Study design**

Cluster randomised controlled trial (cRCT) and process evaluation

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Cluster randomised trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Other

### **Study type(s)**

Other

### **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Back pain management by chiropractors in private settings

### **Interventions**

The cRCT aims to evaluate the outcome of the intervention (study objective A). The process evaluation aims to understand the processes by which an intervention functions, by examining its implementation, mechanisms and context (study objectives B - E).

#### **1. Cluster randomised controlled trial**

Patients will be asked to complete PROMs at various stages during their treatment. The PROMs will be the Musculoskeletal Health Questionnaire (MSK-HQ) and the Patient Global Impression of Change Scale (PGIC). The chiropractors recruited into the study will be randomly allocated to one of the three groups using a randomisation generator. Patients booking in with these chiropractors will be asked if they would like to take part in the study and those who consent to take part to the study will be allocated to that chiropractor's group in the trial. Depending whether patients have booked in with chiropractors in the routine PROM group or the intensive PROM group, they will be asked to complete PROMs at various stages during their treatment. Patients in the routine PROM group will be asked to complete PROMs three times. Patients in the intensive PROM group will be asked to complete PROMs seven times. Those in the control group will not complete PROMs. Chiropractors in the routine and intensive PROM groups will be asked to discuss PROMs with their patients at every session after a PROM has been completed. The follow up will be 90 days.

Some participants in the trial will be invited to also take part in the nested mixed methods study.

2. The qualitative phase aims to understand the processes by which the intervention functions and help explain the results from the trial.

## **Intervention Type**

Mixed

## **Primary outcome measure**

Back pain (physical functioning and disability) measured with the Roland-Morris Questionnaire at baseline and 90 days

## **Secondary outcome measures**

Quantitative secondary outcome measures measured at 90 days:

1. Health-related quality of life (HRQoL), measured using the EQ-5D thermometer
2. Pain-related fear, measured using the fear subscale of the pain anxiety symptoms scale (PASS-20)
3. Pain catastrophising, measured using the catastrophising subscale of the coping strategies questionnaire (CSQ-CAT)
4. Fear-avoidance behaviours, measured using the fear-avoidance beliefs questionnaire physical activity subscale (FABPA)
5. Self-efficacy for self-management, measured using the self-efficacy beliefs in patients with chronic pain subscale - self-efficacy for pain management (PSE)
6. Self-management behaviours, measured using the maintenance subscale of the pain stages of change questionnaire (PSOCQ)
7. Treatment perceptions, measured using four-item low back pain treatment beliefs questionnaire
8. Patient-centered communication, measured using patient perception of patient centeredness questionnaire
9. Therapeutic alliance, measured using working alliance inventory - short-revised (WAI\_SR)
10. Patient satisfaction, measured using a one-item question: "Over the course of chiropractic treatment for your low back pain how would you rate your overall care?", scored on a five-point scale from 'very dissatisfied' to 'very satisfied'
11. Intervention fidelity, measured using a one-item question: "Did you and your chiropractor discuss the questionnaires that you filled in before, during and after your treatment?", scored on a seven point scale from 'never' to 'always'

Nested qualitative study:

1. Audio recordings - one participant per cluster will be randomly sampled to have their treatment sessions audio recorded. The audio recordings will allow researchers to explore and understand the behaviour and activities of participants in relation to discussion of PROMs within treatment sessions
2. Qualitative interviews - within one month following participants' completion of the 90-day PROMs, qualitative semi-structured interviews will be conducted individually with a subset of participants (n = 35) and chiropractors (n = 15). Purposive sampling will be used to intentionally recruit participants from the groups who have had clinically meaningful changes in pain scores and HRQoL and participants who have no changes in pain scores and HRQoL. Using qualitative interviews allows for exploration into patients' and practitioners' subjective evaluations of how PROMs may have an effect when used in the treatment of low back pain

## **Overall study start date**

01/01/2018

**Completion date**

01/09/2020

## **Eligibility**

**Key inclusion criteria**

Chiropractors:

1. Be registered with the General Chiropractic Council
2. Speak and read English fluently, as the PROM used within this study has not been translated into other languages and independently assessed for validity and reliability
3. Be able to comply with all study procedures

Patients:

1. Be at least 16 years old. Due to the biological and psychological differences between children, adolescents and adults, children and adolescents have been excluded from the scope of this project
2. Speak and read English fluently. As with the chiropractors, the inclusion criteria of speaking and reading English fluently has been set so study participants may coherently understand the questions in the PROMs used in the study
3. Be a private patient presenting to the musculoskeletal clinic
4. Present to the clinic with self-reported back pain

**Participant type(s)**

Mixed

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

30 clusters, 300 patients

**Key exclusion criteria**

Chiropractors:

1. Chiropractors who are not registered with the General Chiropractic Council
2. Chiropractors who cannot speak and read English fluently

Patients:

1. Patients who are under 16 years old
2. Patients who cannot speak and read English fluently
3. NHS patients presenting to the musculoskeletal clinic
4. Patients who do not have self-reported back pain

**Date of first enrolment**

31/01/2018

**Date of final enrolment**

20/12/2019

## **Locations**

### **Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

### **Study participating centre**

**University of Southampton**

University Road

Southampton

United Kingdom

SO17 1bj

## **Sponsor information**

### **Organisation**

University of Southampton

### **Sponsor details**

University Road

Southampton

England

United Kingdom

SO17 1PS

### **Sponsor type**

University/education

### **ROR**

<https://ror.org/01ryk1543>

## **Funder(s)**

### **Funder type**

University/education

### **Funder Name**

University of Southampton

**Alternative Name(s)**

University of Southampton UK

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Universities (academic only)

**Location**

United Kingdom

**Funder Name**

AECC University College

**Funder Name**

Southampton Complementary Medicine Research Trust

**Funder Name**

Royal College of Chiropractors

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

1. The results of this study will be disseminated at international conferences for academics and in a high impact peer-reviewed journal
2. Findings will be disseminated to relevant practitioner organisations, for example by working with professional bodies, and publishing in practitioner-oriented media
3. Anyone who wishes to access the additional study documents can contact the researchers for access to the study protocol, statistical analysis plan, and informed consent form

**Intention to publish date**

30/04/2022

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The datasets generated and/or analysed during the current study during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Published as a supplement to the results publication