# Patient And Gastroenterologists Experience with sedation during colonoscopies

Submission date	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered	
Registration date	Overall study status	<ul> <li>Protocol</li> <li>Statistical analysis plan</li> <li>[X] Results</li> </ul>	
23/01/2013	Completed		
Last Edited 12/05/2015	<b>Condition category</b> Other	Individual participant data	

# Plain English summary of protocol

#### Background and study aims

The number of colonoscopies as screening procedures has increased in recent years and will continue to so in the near future. Patients undergoing such procedures expect safe and comfortable environments. It is not surprising that the number of patients and endoscopists' requests for sedation has also increased.

The aim of our study is to investigate the differences in patient and endoscopist's level of satisfaction and experience and how safe the different sedation methods are.

Who can participate?

Patients undergoing elective diagnostic or therapeutic colonoscopy, over 18 years old.

#### What does the study involve?

Patients will randomly allocated to three groups for three commonly used sedations. Group 1 will receive sedation with midazolam/fentanyl by gastroenterologist, Group 2 will receive sedation with propofol TCI/ alfentanil by anaesthesia nurse, and Group 3 will receive alfentanil by gastroenterologist. All patients will fill in a questionnaire before and after the procedure. Endoscopists will also have to fill in a questionnaire. Patients will also have to perform the Trieger dot test (combine points with a pen). Patients in all three groups will be monitored in the standard way and will receive a face mask with 2l of oxygen from start of sedation till the end of the endoscopic procedure. Interventions will be monitored using a video assisted camera system. In the recovery room patients will be monitored and will stay for 1 hour. The following day there will a phone interview with another questionnaire about satisfaction.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The benefits include: answers to as to which form of sedation is most satisfying and safe for patients and improved sedation management for future patients. There are no additional risks of participating.

Where is the study run from? Academic Medical Centre (AMC), Amsterdam, the Netherlands When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? The study ran from October 2010 to March 2011.

Who is funding the study? Internal funding AMC

Who is the main contact? Prof Dr. Dr. M.W. Hollmann M.W.Hollmann@amc.uva.nl

# **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Prof Markus Hollmann

# **Contact details**

Academic Medical Centre University of Amsterdam Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam Netherlands 1100DD

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number 2010-020502-15

## **IRAS number**

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N/A

# Study information

# Scientific Title

Patient And Gastroenterologists Experience with different sedation regimes during colonoscopies

Acronym PAGE

## Study objectives

Opioid only sedation with Alfentanil is as safe as other sedation regimes and results in satisfied patients.

#### **Ethics approval required** Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)** Medical Ethical Committee Academic Medical Center [Medisch Ethische Toetsingscommissie Academisch Medisch Centrum], 17/06/2010, ref: METC 10/060, NL31863

**Study design** Single-center randomized controlled study

**Primary study design** Interventional

**Secondary study design** Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)** Hospital

**Study type(s)** Treatment

## Participant information sheet

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Elective colonoscopies for diagnostic or therapeutical interventions

## Interventions

The study compares three commonly used strategies for sedation: alfentanil given by endoscopist - will be compared with fentanyl/midazolam based sedation by gastroenterologist and anaesthesia nurse accomplished propofol/alfentanil sedation.

All patients will receive a validated questionnaire to fill in before procedure and perform the Trieger test as a measure of psychomotoric recovery from sedation. Additionally, endoscopists have to fill in a validated questionnaire.

Group 1 will receive sedation with midazolam/fentanyl by gastroenterologist to achieve the targeted sedation score (Observer/Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale >=4 ).

Group 2 will receive sedation with propofol TCI/ alfentanil by anaesthesia nurse to achieve the targeted sedation score (Observer Assessment of Alertness/Sedation OAAS Scale>=4).

Group 3 will receive alfentanil by gastroenterologist to achieve the targeted sedation score (OAAS Scale>=4).

Patients in all three groups will be monitored using SO2, Electrocardiography (ECG), Noninvasive measurement of blood pressure (NIBP) and capnography, reflecting common practice. All patients will receive a face mask with 2 litre of oxygen from start of sedation till the end of the endoscopic procedure. Interventions will be monitored using a video assisted camera system, which will not only provide an overview over the hole situation (patient, nurse, endoscopist), but also register time adapted SO2, ECG, NIBP and capnography.

At arrival in the recovery room patients will be monitored by pulse oximetry (SO2), ECG and NIBP only.

All patients will stay in the recovery room for 1 hours. At arrival, 30 and 60 min later virtual discharge will be determined based on Aldrete Score.

Ready for discharge will be declared when an Aldrete Score of nine (9) or pre-procedure score is met.

The next day the patient is called at home to answer part 2 of the questionnaire.

Intervention Type Drug

**Phase** Not Applicable

## Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Alfentanil, fentanyl, midazolam, propofol

### Primary outcome measure

to clarify whether there are differences in experience and satisfaction of patients and endoscopists between different clinically used sedation regimes in patients undergoing colonoscopy?

Main study parameters are the experiences (e.g. satisfaction levels reached) made by patients and gastroenterologist during sedation. These parameters are collected by means of questionnaires before and after the procedure and on the following day.

#### Secondary outcome measures

Which form of sedation is safer for the patient in regard to respiratory and cardiovascular problems? Surrogate parameters of pulmonary and cardiovascular problems are oxygen saturation (SO2) measured by pulse oximetry, exhaled CO2 (capnography), heart rate, arrhythmias (ECG) and blood pressure (non-invasive blood pressure measurement (NIBP).

Pre procedure Patient (part 1) and endoscopist: questionnaire Patient: Trieger Test

Colonoscopy procedure: Sedation regime 1, 2, or 3 Monitoring using SO2, ECG, NIBP, capnography Face mask with 2 l O2

Recovery room (post procedure): Aldrete score (30, 60 min) 30 min Patient (part 2a) and endoscopist questionnaire Patient: Trieger Test 60 min Patient: Trieger Test

Next day: Interview with patient by phone (part 2 c questionnaire)

# Overall study start date

01/10/2010

# **Completion date**

01/04/2011

# Eligibility

# Key inclusion criteria

The patients must comply with the following criteria in order to be eligible to participate in this clinical study:

- 1. Age range > 18 years without upper limit, female/male
- 2. American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification I IV
- 3. Patients, undergoing elective diagnostic or therapeutic colonoscopy.
- 4. Written informed consent

# Participant type(s)

Patient

# Age group

Adult

# Lower age limit

18 Years

## Sex

Both

**Target number of participants** 180

# Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Age range < 18 years
- 2. ASA classification V
- 3. Allergic reaction to planned medication in the patient's medical history
- 4. Unregulated hypertension
- 5. Bradycardia
- 6. Arrhythmia

# Date of first enrolment

01/10/2010

Date of final enrolment 01/04/2011

# Locations

**Countries of recruitment** Netherlands

**Study participating centre Academic Medical Centre** Amsterdam Netherlands 1100DD

# Sponsor information

**Organisation** Academic Medical Centre (AMC) (Netherlands)

**Sponsor details** Meibergdreef 9 Amsterdam Netherlands 1100DD

**Sponsor type** Hospital/treatment centre

Website http://www.amc.nl

ROR https://ror.org/03t4gr691

# Funder(s)

Funder type Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder Name** Academic Medical Centre (AMC) (Netherlands)

# **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Results article</u>	results	01/08/2014		Yes	No