# A Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Conventional Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery with a Composite Arterial Graft Technique

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
29/09/2006	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
29/09/2006	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data
28/04/2015	Surgery	<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## **Contact information**

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr R Stables

### Contact details

Department of Cardiology The Cardiothoracic Centre Thomas Drive Liverpool United Kingdom L14 3PE

## Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number N0054174154

## Study information

Scientific Title

A Randomised Controlled Trial Comparing Conventional Coronary Artery Bypass Graft Surgery with a Composite Arterial Graft Technique

### **Study objectives**

The aim of this proposed study is to compare composite arterial bypass grafting with conventional surgery in the management of patients requiring three or more distal anastamosis sites. Patients referred for isolated multi-vessel coronary bypass graft (CABG) surgery under the care of participating surgeons will be screened for trial eligibility. Patients will be recruited if they are referred to the participating surgeon for isolated multi-vessel CABG with an intention to fashion at least three distal anastamosis sites. Patients will not be included in the trial if they require emergency CABG with evidence of active ischaemia at rest or haemodynamic compromise. They will also be excluded if they have poor left ventricular function (ejection fraction <25%), proposed surgical or other intervention on a cardiac valve, great vessel disease, previous stroke, non-cardiac disease limiting survival potential over 1 year, subclavian or other vascular disease compromising the use of an internal mammary artery (IMA) pedicle graft, absence of graft conduit material required for either surgical approach and intention to perform bilateral IMA pedicle grafts and high risk of complication from subsequent follow-up diagnostic cardiac catheterisation. Patients that consent for the study will be randomised in equal proportions into the two experimental groups.

- 1. The principal aim of this study is to compare two current techniques in performing Coronary Artery Bypass Graft CABG outcomes in terms of:
- 1.1 The impact of the procedure on angina symptoms
- 1.2 Continued function of the bypass grafts in terms of their ability to provide blood to the heart (grafts patency)
- 2. The secondary aims of the study is to compare the following outcomes between the composite arterial technique versus conventional arterial graft procedures for coronary bypass surgery:
- 2.1 All cause mortality
- 2.2 Non-fatal myocardial infarction (heart attacks)
- 2.3 Cerebrovascular accident (stroke)
- 2.4 Procedure duration

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Coronary artery bypass graft (CABG)

### **Interventions**

The composite arterial graft group will be given a LIMA pedicle and free radial artery graft conduit system with one or more sequential anastamoses (a series of distantly placed connections) as required (Note: The use of two radial artery trunks - each with its own anastamosis on the left internal mammary artery (LIMA) trunk will not be permitted in this study). The conventional surgery group will be given a unique conduit trunk for each distal anastamosis (distantly placed connection). The conventional surgical strategy must include the use of a LIMA pedicle graft, usually to the LAD. The choice of other grafts is at the discretion of the operator and radial or venous grafts may be used. Following randomisation patients will be listed for surgery. All participating surgeons are experienced in the performance of both types of CABG operation and will perform the allocated procedure according to best current local practice. All patients will be followed up for 6 months at which point they will undergo elective scheduled angiography for the assessment of graft patency. Event tracking will begin at randomisation and continue through the observed follow-up to 6 months. All analyses will be performed on an intention to treat basis, including all patients randomised, irrespective of subsequent treatment or events. The study will compare the impact of both procedures on patient self reported angina symptoms. Cardiac related health status will be assessed at baseline and at 6 months after intervention using the Seattle Angina Questionnaire (SAQ), a 19item self administered questionnaire quantifying 5 clinically relevant domains of cardiac related health status: physical limitation, angina stability, angina frequency, treatment satisfaction and disease perception/quality of life. Scores range from 0 to 100 for each domain with higher scores indicating better functioning (that is, less physical limitation and less frequent angina). A clinically important change is between five and eight points. At 6 months follow-up, the proportion of distal anastamoses that are patent with TIMI 3 flow into the distal native vessel will be assessed by cardiac catheterisation. Angiograms will be reviewed and scored by the consensus of a panel of trial investigators that would include a cardiologist and a surgeon. Data will be collected into a database on: all cause mortality, non fatal myocardial infarction (heart attacks), cerebrovascular accident (strokes), and duration of procedure. Results from a previous study (SOS) by the principal investigator indicated that at 6 month follow-up CABG patients had a mean SAO scores in the range 75-89 in the three domains under consideration representing mean changes from baseline in the range 17-35. Power calculations were based on the most demanding scenario, assuming a mean change from baseline of 15 points with a standard deviation of 7. An absolute difference of 2.4 in SAQ change score could be detected at 80% power (alpha error 0.05) from 270 patients in each arm of the study. The four surgeons involved in this trial performed more than 550 isolated CABG operations in the last year alone. The vast majority of these involved 3 or 4 graft procedures. The study timeline will include a 4 month start up phase for the obtaining of ethical approval, institutional approval and training of the study personnel. The target recruitment period is 18-24 months. A 6 months period after the last CABG is required to complete follow up angiograms

### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

### Primary outcome(s)

This will compare the impact of the procedures on:

1. Patient self reported angina symptoms assessed at baseline and at 6 months after intervention using the Seattle Angina Questionnaire with relevant domains of cardiac-related health status: physical limitation, angina stability, angina frequency, treatment satisfaction and

disease perception/quality of life. 2. A 6 month angiographic follow-up assessment to establish the proportion of distal anastamosis sites that are patent with TIMI 3 flow into the distal native vessel.

### Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. All cause mortality
- 2. Non-fatal myocardial infarction (including routine enzyme screening post CABG)
- 3. Cerebrovascular accident (strokes)
- 4. Procedure duration.

### Completion date

30/11/2007

## **Eligibility**

### Key inclusion criteria

300 patients will be recruited and randomly allocated in equal proportions to elective composite graft or conventional coronary artery bypass graft surgery. No randomised trials have been performed on all age groups of patients undergoing elective bypass surgery using composite graft techniques versus conventional bypass graft surgery. A recent randomised study comparing Saphenous vein grafts and radial artery conduits included angiographic follow-up at 1 year. 4 Graft failure rates were comparable at around 15%. Assuming that LIMA failure rates are more favourable (2%) the proportion of failed distal anastomosis sites in 100 patients with 3 bottom ends would be 2 from LIMA failure and 30 from other conduits - a rate of 32/300 or 10%. For patients with 4 bottom ends the figures would be 47/400 or 12%. If there is an equal proportion of 3 and 4 graft cases then a failure rate of 11% can be assumed for the conventional surgery group. From this data, a sample size of 300 patients would provide a denominator of 3.5 x 300 = 1050 anastomoses. Assuming 90% of patients yield angiographic images at follow-up (allowing for death, failure to consent and angiographic problems) the study would have an 80% power to detect a failure rate of 17% in the composite group (alpha error =0.05). Power for the angina outcome has been presented at the Seattle Questionnaire. All patients who fulfill the eligibility criteria will be approached by the participating surgeons and will be screened for trial eligibility. A patient information sheet will also be provided. Only patients who provide written informed consent prior to the procedure may be included. A copy of the written consent will be stored in the medical records, the study file and a copy will be given to the patient. The investigator will sign in the case record form that informed written consent has been obtained and the date consent was obtained. Informed consent will involve individual discussion with the patient about the nature of the procedures in a language that is easy to comprehend. The potential risks and benefits will be explained to the patient and they will be given time to make a decision about participation. It will be made clear that there is a random allocation to the two groups (composite versus conventional coronary artery bypass graft surgery) and that the patient or the physician does not decide which group they are allocated. It will be made clear that the patient can withdraw at any time from the research and does not have to give an explanation and it will not affect their medical care in any way. It will be recommended that the patient is given 24 hours to think about participation and discussing with family, friends or other healthcare professionals before signing the consent form. Referral to a participating surgeon for isolated multi-vessel CABG with an intention to fashion at least three distal anastomosis sites.

### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

### Age group

**Not Specified** 

#### Sex

**Not Specified** 

### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Any contraindication to trial entry based on the clinical judgment of the responsible surgeon eg anticipated difficulty in surgical access, surgery planned as an emergency CABG on admission, and patients on inotropic support or cardiogenic shock
- 2. Re-operation
- 3. Proposed surgical or other intervention on a cardiac valve, great vessel, myocardial substance or other cardiovascular structure
- 4. Previous CVA/TIA within the last 6 months
- 5. Significant problem with graft material eg inability to use IMA graft, or absence of graft conduit material
- 6. Intention to perform bilateral IMA pedicle grafts
- 7. High risk of complication from subsequent follow-up diagnostic cardiac catheterisation including peripheral vascular disease, established renal dysfunction (serum creatinine >200 µmol /L or functioning transplant), allergy to radiographic contrast and previous angiographic complication or difficulty.
- 8. Recent involvement in another study (past 30 days etc)

### Date of first enrolment

01/11/2005

### Date of final enrolment

30/11/2007

## Locations

### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

# Study participating centre The Cardiothoracic Centre

Liverpool United Kingdom L14 3PE

## Sponsor information

### Organisation

Record Provided by the NHSTCT Register - 2006 Update - Department of Health

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

### **Funder Name**

The Cardiothoracic Centre Liverpool NHS Trust (UK), NHS R&D Support Funding

## **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration