

Comparison of the cleansing efficacy of two different bowel preparations for colonoscopy

Submission date 12/09/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 12/09/2003	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 16/12/2014	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Mr EH Sherkawi

Contact details
Department of General Surgery
Sunderland Royal Hospital
Kayll Road
Sunderland
United Kingdom
SR4 7TP

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
N0065117310

Study information

Scientific Title

Comparison of the cleansing efficacy of two different bowel preparations for colonoscopy

Study objectives

To identify the best or the most effective of the two bowel preparation regimes for successful completion of colonoscopy (Picolax, compared to Picolax and Senna).

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Participant information sheet**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Surgery: Colonoscopy

Interventions

Operator-blinded prospective study with the use of questionnaires comparing the colonoscopy failure rate due to poor bowel preparation associated with the two different laxative regimes (Picolax and Placebo, compared to Picolax and Senna).

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

icolax and Senna

Primary outcome measure

Completion colonoscopy rates (by reaching the caecum)

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

30/12/2002

Completion date

01/12/2003

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

A total of 374 patients aged above 18 and below 80 are to be recruited.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Not Specified

Target number of participants

187 patients in each treatment group, 374 in total

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

30/12/2002

Date of final enrolment

01/12/2003

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Sunderland Royal Hospital

Sunderland

United Kingdom
SR4 7TP

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

Richmond House
79 Whitehall
London
United Kingdom
SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type

Government

Website

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

City Hospitals Sunderland NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration