

# Evaluation of integration models for HIV and family planning services

<b>Submission date</b> 30/09/2015	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 06/10/2015	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 22/08/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) is a growing problem, affecting millions of people worldwide. Many cases of HIV are passed on through sex (sexual transmission), and are easily preventable. In sub-Saharan Africa, low use of contraceptives is thought to play a key role in the transmission of HIV, as well as causing unintended pregnancies and other sexually transmitted infections (STI). In Zambia, improved HIV and family planning services are in short supply and desperately needed. When people are diagnosed with HIV, they are often not followed-up effectively, meaning that they do not have access to treatments which could improve their quality of life. This lack of coordination means that providing new services such as voluntary medical male circumcision (VMMC) to lower male-to-female HIV transmission and long-acting reversible contraception (LARC) to prevent unwanted pregnancy are overlooked. Combining family planning and HIV programs could be an effective way to provide these services to more people. By strengthening links between different programs and providing more referrals to add-on services, HIV prevention could be greatly improved. The aim of this study is to find out whether a combined HIV and family planning service with improved referrals is a cost-effective way of improving add-on service use.

### Who can participate?

Sexually active adults who live within the study catchment area in Zambia.

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated into three groups. Those in the first group (control group) are given the standard care usually provided which includes family planning, HIV testing and counselling and voluntary medical male circumcision. Those in the second group receive the standard package of care with more in-depth counselling, as well as a referral to receive extra services. If participants do not access the add-on services within a week, then they receive a follow up phone call to provide extra encouragement and counselling. Those in the third group receive the same package as group two, except the add-on services that they are referred for are enhanced, and they are given the option of being immediately escorted to the add-on service. Study participants are interviewed at six weeks and six months from the beginning of the study for their opinions on the services provided, as well as to find out how many people took up the add-on services.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?  
Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from?  
Eight health centres in Zambia with family planning and HIV screening facilities.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
September 2009 to January 2015

Who is funding the study?  
United States Agency for International Development (USA)

Who is the main contact?  
1. Dr Mutinta Nalubamba (Public)  
mutintan@sfh.org.zm  
2. Dr Paul Hewett (Scientific)  
phewett@popcouncil.org

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public

### Contact name

Dr Mutinta Nalubamba

### Contact details

Plot No. 549, Ridgeway  
PO Box 50770  
Lusaka  
Zambia  
10101  
+ 260 (0)211 257 407  
mutintan@sfh.org.zm

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Paul Hewett

### Contact details

4301 Connecticut Avenue  
Suite 208  
Washington, DC  
United States of America  
20008  
+1 202 237 9400  
phewett@popcouncil.org

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

AID-OAA-A-12-00026

## Study information

Scientific Title

Randomized evaluation of HIV and family planning service models

Acronym

REach

Study objectives

1. Does a provider-initiated referral models increased add-on service uptake compared with an existing vertical service model
2. Are comprehensive provider-initiated referral models more cost-effective, compared with an existing vertical service model

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

1. Population Services International Research Ethics Board, 16/03/2013, ref: 51.2012
2. University of Zambia Biomedical Research Ethics Committee, 12/04/2013, ref: 002-12-12

Study design

Multicentre randomized controlled implementation science research study

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Economic evaluation

Study setting(s)

Other

Study type(s)

Prevention

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

HIV/AIDS, STI, family planning and cervical cancer screening services

## **Interventions**

Clients accessing services and voluntarily enrolling were randomized into one of three study arms that offered a different package of services:

1. The standard model of service provision at family planning (FP), HIV testing and counselling (HTC) and voluntary medical male circumcision (VMMC) sites (control)
2. An enhanced client counselling and referral to add-on service arm, with client follow-up
3. An enhanced client add-on service referral and follow-up arm with the addition of an offer of immediate escort to the add-on service

For clients in the two intervention arms, if they did not access the add-on referral services within seven days, they were called and provided additional encouragement and counselling to improve their likelihood of add-on service uptake.

Add-on services consisted of the following:

1. Family planning (FP)
  - 1.1. HIV Testing and Counselling (client/spouse)
  - 1.2. Cervical cancer screening (client)
  - 1.3. VMMC and HTC for (spouses/children)
2. HIV Testing and Counseling (HTC) (client, spouse, children depending on gender)
  - 2.1. HIV care and treatment (for positives) – array of services
  - 2.2. STI care and treatment
  - 2.3. VMMC (for negatives)
  - 2.4. FP
3. Add-on services for Voluntary Male Circumcision (VMMC)
  - 3.1. HIV care and treatment (for positives)
  - 3.2. STI care and treatment
  - 3.3. HTC (for spouses)
  - 3.4. FP (for spouses)

Study personnel interviewed participants at the study sites at baseline, six weeks, and six months post-enrollment. At the follow-up interviews at six weeks and six months all study participants were asked questions regarding their uptake of an array of add-on services, as well as questions about behaviors and satisfaction with the health services received. Qualitative in-depth interviews conducted among participants and providers from experimental and control sites sought to identify facilitators and barriers to the implementation of enhanced FP and HIV service linkage and integration models. Detailed cost data from entry-point and referral sites, including incremental capital and recurrent costs and valuated provider time related to service provision was collected to conduct a technical efficiency and a cost-effectiveness analysis of the study interventions.

## **Intervention Type**

Behavioural

## **Primary outcome measure**

1. Access to services within 14 days via a client tracking database; client registers at study sites when appearing for add-on service
2. Self-reported uptake of add-on services at six weeks and six months via a structured

behavioural interview, such as “in the last six weeks, which of the following services have you accessed at any health facilities..”

3. Costing and client utilization data were gleaned from partner and facility internal records, while client data were collected using identical baseline, six week and six month interview surveys used at control and experimental sites

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Information regarding the structural and individual barriers to service uptake were obtained through in-depth qualitative interviews with a randomly selected sub-set of participants
2. Client satisfaction with services utilized were obtained at six weeks and six month structured behavioural interview
3. Institutional barriers were obtained through in-depth qualitative interviews conducted at the end of recruitment with clinical providers (nurses, counsellors) who participated in the study

### **Overall study start date**

01/09/2012

### **Completion date**

07/01/2015

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Presenting at one of the service entry points during the study enrollment period
2. 18 years or older
3. Sexually active, defined as having sex within the past 12 months
4. Plan to reside within the study catchment area for the next 6 months

### **Participant type(s)**

Healthy volunteer

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Lower age limit**

18 Years

### **Sex**

Both

### **Target number of participants**

3,963

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Unable or unwilling to provide informed consent
2. Unable or unwilling to provide contact information
3. Determined not to be eligible based on the inclusion criteria

### **Date of first enrolment**

17/12/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

10/11/2014

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Zambia

**Study participating centre**

**Society for Family Health New Start HIV Testing and Counselling Centre**

Lusaka

Zambia

10101

**Study participating centre**

**Chawama Public Health Clinice**

Lusaka

Zambia

10101

**Study participating centre**

**Kamwala Public Health Clinic**

Lusaka

Zambia

10101

**Study participating centre**

**Kapata Public Health Clinic**

Chipata

Zambia

90100

**Study participating centre**

**Chipata General Hospital**

Chipata

Zambia

90100

**Study participating centre**

**Society for Family Health New Start HIV Testing and Counselling Centre**  
Chipata  
Zambia  
90100

**Study participating centre**

**Society for Family Health Voluntary Medical Male Circumcision Centre**  
Chipata  
Zambia  
90100

**Study participating centre**

**Young Women's Christian Association**  
Lusaka  
Zambia  
10101

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Population Services International

**Sponsor details**

1120 19th Street, NW, Suite 600  
Washington, DC  
United States of America  
20036

**Sponsor type**

Charity

**Website**

[www.psi.org](http://www.psi.org)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03zjj0p70>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

United States Agency for International Development

**Alternative Name(s)**

U.S. Agency for International Development, Agency for International Development, USAID

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

National government

**Location**

United States of America

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Intention to publish manuscript(s) reviewing study results

**Intention to publish date**

30/03/2016

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	12/08/2016		Yes	No