

A randomised double-blind prospective study to compare the intubating conditions with remifentanyl (4 ug/mkg) and either propofol (2 mg/kg) or etomidate (0.3 mg/kg)

Submission date 12/09/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 12/09/2003	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 11/12/2014	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Ian McNeil

Contact details
Department of Anaesthesia
Hull Royal Infirmary
Anlaby Road
Hull
United Kingdom
HU3 2 JZ

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0084113942

Study information

Scientific Title

A randomised double-blind prospective study to compare the intubating conditions with remifentanil (4 ug/mkg) and either propofol (2 mg/kg) or etomidate (0.3 mg/kg)

Study objectives

1. To investigate whether there is a role for etomidate for intubation without muscle relaxants?
2. Is it more stable than propofol?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Intubation

Interventions

1. Remifentanil and etomidate
2. Remifentanil and propofol

Both the patients and the intubating anaesthetist will be blinded to the induction agents administered by a second anaesthetist.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Not Applicable

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Remifentanyl, etomidate, propofol

Primary outcome measure

The end points are:

1. Intubating conditions
2. Cardiovascular stability

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

01/08/2002

Completion date

01/06/2004

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

40 American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) 1 and 2 non-obese (Body Mass Index [BMI] <30) elective surgical patients aged between 18 and 65 years. They must require intubation for their proposed surgery.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Upper age limit

65 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

40

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/08/2002

Date of final enrolment

01/06/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Hull Royal Infirmary

Hull

United Kingdom

HU3 2 JZ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type

Government

Website

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

The North and South Bank Research and Development Consortium (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration