

Understanding and using self-generated validity to promote behaviour change: increasing uptake of the seasonal flu jab for the over 65s

Submission date 17/08/2011	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 17/08/2011	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 21/03/2017	Condition category Infections and Infestations	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Tracy Sandberg

Contact details

Institute of Psychological Sciences
Faculty of Health and Medicine
Leeds
United Kingdom
LS2 9JT

-
t.m.sandberg@leeds.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

10736

Study information

Scientific Title

Understanding and using self-generated validity to promote behaviour change: a randomised trial on increasing uptake of the seasonal flu jab for the over 65s

Study objectives

To further our understanding of a phenomena called Self-Generated Validity (SGV) or the Mere Measurement Effect, and use it to promote attendance for the flu jab amongst the over 65s. The SGV refers to the fact that when people are asked to report their intentions to perform a behaviour, they are subsequently more likely to perform the actual behaviour than if they didn't report their intentions. This study investigates the optimum conditions needed to produce the strongest effect. These can then be incorporated into future campaigns.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Bradford REC, 27/07/2011, ref: 11/YH/0229

Study design

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Prevention

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

GP practice

Study type(s)

Prevention

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Primary Care Research Network for England; Subtopic: Not Assigned; Disease: All Diseases

Interventions

The interventions relate to patients being assigned to one of eight conditions and receiving one set of the following:

Group 1: standard invite materials only (control 1)

Group 2: short questionnaire to tap demographics (age, ethnicity, social class) (control 2)

Group 3: intention and attitude items (experimental 1) plus demographics

Group 4: as Group 3 but with post-it (intervention to increase return rate) (experimental 2) plus demographics. Post-it refers to the sticky notes which will be attached to some of the

questionnaires to see if there is a difference in return rates between those questionnaires which have them and those which do not.

Group 5: regret, intention and attitude items (experimental 3) plus demographics

Group 6: regret, intention and attitude items with post-it (experimental 4) plus demographics

Group 7: beneficence, intention and attitude items (experimental 5) plus demographics

Group 8: beneficence, intention and attitude items with post-it (experimental 6) plus demographics

It is predicted that there will be a 'dose response' effectiveness of SGV as detailed below:-

1. Conditions 3-8 will be more effective than conditions 1-2
2. Condition 5 will be more effective than condition 3 (adding anticipated regret)
3. Condition 6 will be more effective than condition 5 (use of post-it will promote return rate and therefore the engagement with the questionnaire necessary for the optimum effect)
4. Condition 4 will be more effective than condition 3 (as above)
5. Condition 8 will be more effective than condition 7 (as above)
6. Condition 7 will be more effective than condition 3 (adding beneficence)
7. SGV effect will not vary depending on socio-economic status

The research hopes to identify the best way to maximise uptake of the flu jab using SGV.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Phase I

Primary outcome measure

Uptake of flu jab for over 65s; timepoint(s): between September 2010 and March 2011

Secondary outcome measures

Duration from invite to uptake in days. Differences in attendance rates by condition, social class and their interaction will also be analysed, as well as the impacts on time delay to uptake. Given that SGV effects may only operate in those completing questionnaires, secondary analysis will examine differences in condition among those who returned completed questionnaires. Analysis will also examine the impact of questionnaire responses on attendance rates within conditions (e.g., is attendance higher among those with stronger intentions to attend).

Overall study start date

12/09/2011

Completion date

30/03/2012

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

All patients over 65 registered with participating general practitioners (GPs) who are being sent their annual flu jab invite

Target Gender: Male & Female; Lower Age Limit 65 no age limit or unit specified

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 15000; UK Sample Size: 15000; Description: Patients who are over 65 from participating GPs

Key exclusion criteria

Does not meet inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

12/09/2011

Date of final enrolment

30/03/2012

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

University of Leeds

Leeds

United Kingdom

LS2 9JT

Sponsor information**Organisation**

University of Leeds (UK)

Sponsor details

Institute of Psychological Sciences

Faculty of Health and Medicine

Leeds

England

United Kingdom
LS2 9JT
-
comms@esrc.ac.uk

Sponsor type
University/education

ROR
<https://ror.org/024mrx33>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Research council

Funder Name
Economic and Social Research Council (ESRC) (UK) Grant Codes: RES 062 23 2220

Alternative Name(s)
ESRC

Funding Body Type
Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype
National government

Location
United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan
Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration