

# A controlled comparison of alternative strategies in stroke rehabilitation

<b>Submission date</b> 25/04/2003	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 25/04/2003	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 27/08/2009	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof Lalit Kalra

**Contact details**  
Department of Medicine  
Guy's, King's & St Thomas' School of Medicine  
Bessemer Road  
London  
United Kingdom  
SE5 9PJ  
+44 (0)20 7346 3487  
lalit.kalra@kcl.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
HTA 93/03/26

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

**Study objectives**

A randomised controlled trial comparing outcome and resource use in acute stroke patients managed at home by a multidisciplinary stroke team, managed on general wards under the supervision of a stroke team or managed on a stroke unit will be undertaken to provide information of the effectiveness of alternate strategies of stroke management.

The study will provide information on:

1. The relative effectiveness of each model of stroke care.
2. Criteria to identify stroke patients suitable for domiciliary management and those who will require hospitalisation.
3. The relationship between resource use and outcome in alternative models of stroke care.
4. The acceptability of domiciliary acute stroke management to patients, family/carers and general practitioners.

The data collected also will provide prospective validation for criteria for hospital admission for stroke, information on the impact of interdisciplinary collaboration, intensity and timing of therapy input and liaison between health and social services on outcome and resource utilisation for each alternate strategy.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration.

**Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Not Specified

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Cardiovascular diseases: Cerebrovascular disease

**Interventions**

1. Acute stroke patients managed at home by a multidisciplinary stroke team
2. Managed on general wards under the supervision of a stroke team
3. Managed on a stroke unit

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Specified

**Primary outcome(s)**

Death or institutionalisation at 12 months

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

Not provided at time of registration.

**Completion date**

31/03/2000

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

Patients recently suffering from stroke

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration.

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/1995

**Date of final enrolment**

31/03/2000

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

Department of Medicine

London

United Kingdom

SE5 9PJ

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Department of Health (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03sbpja79>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

**Results and Publications****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	09/09/2000		Yes	No