

To compare the safety and efficacy of 'low dose' vaginal misoprostol and dinoprostone vaginal gel for induction of labour at term

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Submission date 12/09/2003 | Recruitment status No longer recruiting | <input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered |
| Registration date 12/09/2003 | Overall study status Completed | <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol |
| Last Edited 11/09/2013 | Condition category Pregnancy and Childbirth | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data |

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Ms Sarah Gregson

Contact details

Maternity Unit
Queen Mary's Sidcup NHS Trust
Frogna Avenue
Sidcup, Kent
United Kingdom
DA14 6LT

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N0533091848

Study information

Scientific Title

A single-blind randomised controlled trial comparing low dose vaginal misoprostol and dinoprostone vaginal gel for inducing labour at term

Study objectives

To determine if vaginal misoprostol is a better method of inducing labour at term than dinoprostone vaginal gel.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Number of patients projected for 2000/2001 - 200

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pregnancy and Childbirth: Induction of labour

Interventions

1. Misoprostol
2. Dinoprostone

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome measure

1. Uterine tachysystole
2. Hyperstimulation

3. Presence of meconium in the amniotic fluid
4. Apgar Scores at 5 min. Umbilical arterial pH and base deficit
5. Neonatal Unit admission
6. Induction - delivery interval
7. Method of delivery
8. Bishop score at onset of labour
9. Oxytocin requirements in labour
10. Mode of delivery
11. Analgesia requirements in labour

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

01/07/2000

Completion date

31/01/2004

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Women at term (37-42 completed weeks of pregnancy)
2. Single fetus, cephalic presentation
3. Membranes may be intact or ruptured
4. Reactive fetal heart tracing

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

200

Key exclusion criteria

Does not match inclusion criteria

Date of first enrolment

01/07/2000

Date of final enrolment

31/01/2004

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Maternity Unit

Sidcup, Kent

United Kingdom

DA14 6LT

Sponsor information

Organisation

Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type

Government

Website

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

Queen Mary's Sidcup NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

| Output type | Details | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|---------------------------------|---------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Results article | results | 01/04/2005 | | Yes | No |