# Transfusion in Gastrointestinal Bleeding (TRIGGER)

Submission date

Recruitment status

No longer recruiting

Registration date 26/07/2012

Overall study status

Completed

**Last Edited** 

25/07/2012

Condition category

29/08/2019 Surgery [X] Prospectively registered

[X] Protocol

[X] Statistical analysis plan

[X] Results

[ ] Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Vipul Jairath

#### Contact details

NHS Blood and Transplant John Radcliffe Hospital Headley Way Headington Oxford **United Kingdom** OX3 9BQ

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

NCT02105532

## Secondary identifying numbers

12078

## Study information

#### Scientific Title

A multi-centre, feasibility, cluster randomised controlled trial comparing restrictive versus liberal blood transfusion strategies in adult patients admitted with acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding.

### Acronym

TRIGGER

### **Study objectives**

Acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding (AUGIB) accounts for 14% of all red blood cell (RBC) transfusions in England. In 2007, a large UK national audit of AUGIB highlighted areas of variation and uncertainty in RBC transfusion practice together with signals of harm associated with more liberal use of RBCs, justifying the need for a randomised controlled trial (RCT) to answer a key area of clinical uncertainty.

TRIGGER is a pragmatic cluster randomised feasibility trial aiming to recruit adult patients admitted to hospital with all cause AUGIB. The study will take place in six UK hospitals and each hospital will be randomised to a transfusion policy; three sites will be randomised to a restrictive transfusion policy and three to a liberal transfusion policy. Both transfusion policies are used by doctors and hospitals in the UK already as part of routine care in the management of AUGIB and are within the limits of local hospital, national and international guidelines. Each cluster will agree to follow the transfusion policy for all eligible patients admitted with AUGIB for a total of 6 months at each site. Consent will be sought from an appropriate "guardian" of the cluster and individual participants for use of data collection and Day 28 telephone follow up. Given the challenges involved in early recruitment and cross-specialty care of patients, a feasibility study is essential to both justify and inform the design of a phase III trial.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

First MREC 20/04/2012, ref: 12/SC/0062

## Study design

Randomised interventional pilot study

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Non-malignant haematology

#### **Interventions**

Transfusion Policies:

The trial will compare two different policies for RBC transfusion, 'restrictive' and 'liberal'.

Restrictive transfusion policy: Patients in hospitals allocated to this group will receive a transfusion if their Hb level is =8 g/dL. The objective for the attending clinician is then to maintain the Hb level between 8.1-10 g/dL

Liberal transfusion policy: Patients in hospitals allocated to this group will receive a transfusion if their Hb level is =10 g/dL.

The objective for the attending cl; Follow Up Length: 1 month(s)

### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Phase

Not Applicable

### Primary outcome measure

- 1. Protocol adherence
- 2. Selection bias
- 3. Recruitment rate
- 4. Red cell exposure
- 5. Information to inform the sample size of the phase III trial

Clinical Outcomes: Further bleeding up to Day 28: Further bleeding is a composite outcome that includes persistent bleeding

### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Further bleeding
- 2. 28-day mortality
- 3. Infections
- 4. Ischaemic/thromboembolic events

We will also collect data to guide a Health Economic evaluation for the phase III trial.

### Overall study start date

01/09/2012

### Completion date

01/02/2013

## Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Adults aged = 18 years presenting with AUGIB, defined by haematemesis or melaena.
- 2. >20 admissions with AUGIB per month
- 3. >400 hospital beds
- 4. Availability of 24 hours endoscopy and on-site access to intensive care and surgical support
- 5. Institutional agreement to transfuse all eligible new admissions with AUGIB in accordance with the randomised transfusion policy
- 6. Male & female participants
- 7. Lower Age Limit 18 years

### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

### Age group

Adult

### Lower age limit

18 Years

#### Sex

Both

### Target number of participants

UK Sample Size: 849

### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients for whom the responsible clinician considers there is a need for immediate RBC transfusion prior to obtaining or regardless of the initial Haemoglobin (Hb) result due to severity of bleeding (these patients should be managed according to the hospital's massive transfusion protocol or clinician discretion)
- 2. Existing hospital inpatients who develop an AUGIB

### Date of first enrolment

01/09/2012

### Date of final enrolment

01/02/2013

## Locations

### Countries of recruitment

England

**United Kingdom** 

Study participating centre
John Radcliffe Hospital
Oxford

United Kingdom OX3 9BQ

## **Sponsor information**

### Organisation

NHS Blood and Transplant Research & Development (UK)

### Sponsor details

National R&D Office 500 North Bristol Park Northway Bristol United Kingdom BS34 7QH

### Sponsor type

Research organisation

#### Website

http://www.nhsbt.nhs.uk/

### **ROR**

https://ror.org/0227qpa16

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Research organisation

### **Funder Name**

NHS Blood and Transplant [NHSBT] (UK)

## **Results and Publications**

### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

## Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	01/07/2013		Yes	No
Statistical Analysis Plan	statistical analysis plan	10/07/2013		No	No
Other publications	strategies	21/11/2014		Yes	No
Results article	results	29/04/2015		Yes	No
Results article	results	11/07/2015		Yes	No