# A pilot study to compare high versus low tidal volume for mechanical ventilation in preterm babies with respiratory distress syndrome

Submission date	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
29/05/2014		Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
14/08/2014	Completed  Condition category	☐ Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
25/06/2020	Respiratory	<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>		

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Very premature babies (those of less than 32 weeks gestation or weighing less than 1500g) are born with lungs that have not yet fully developed. These babies may need help to breathe with the support of machines (mechanical ventilation) until their lungs are developed enough to do the work on their own. Traditionally, mechanical ventilation has been provided by controlling the pressure or volume of air delivered by these machines (ventilators). Recent studies have shown that controlling the volume works better than controlling pressure and has fewer side effects. The volume controlled ventilation works by controlling the amount of air/oxygen delivered at each breath (tidal volume). However, the tidal volume delivered by ventilators in clinical practice varies considerably, from 4-8ml/kg. This is important as, although lower tidal volumes can prevent the lungs from being damaged, they can also make the baby work harder at their breathing than they should. Some new advances in the technology means that there are now better ventilators that can deliver more accurate tidal volumes than before. We have therefore decided to take a closer look at what may be the best tidal volume to use in terms of performance and preventing side effects. Here, we are comparing the effects of the lower end of what is considered a normal tidal volume (4-5 ml/kg) to those of a higher normal tidal volume (7-8 ml/kg) delivered by mechanical ventilation to premature babies.

## Who can participate?

Premature babies needing mechanical ventilation, weighing between 500-1500g or of no more than 32 weeks gestation at birth.

## What does the study involve?

The babies are randomly allocated into one of two groups. Those in group 1 are given the lower normal tidal volume of air (4-5 ml/kg) via mechanical ventilation. Those in group 2 are given the higher normal tidal volume of air (7-8 ml/kg). We then compare the two groups to see which babies come of the ventilator first.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at registration. Where is the study run from?
University Hospital of North Tees (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? July 2013 to February 2015

Who is funding the study? North Tees and Hartlepool Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

Who is the main contact? Prof Samir Gupta samir.gupta@nth.nhs.uk

# **Contact information**

### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Samir Gupta

#### Contact details

Consultant Neonatologist
Department of Paediatrics
University Hospital of North Tees
Hardwick Road
Stockton on Tees
United Kingdom
TS19 8PE

# Additional identifiers

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

126072

#### Protocol serial number

IRAS Project ID: 126072

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

HILO Trial - A comparative pilot study of HIgh versus LOw tidal volume for mechanical ventilation in very low birth weight preterm babies with respiratory distress syndrome. A randomised controlled trial

#### Acronym

**HILO Trial** 

#### **Study objectives**

The time to achieve a 25% reduction in peak pressure in very premature babies receiving mechanical breathing support using volume-targeted ventilation is less using high normal tidal volume (7-8 ml/kg) as compared to low normal tidal volume (4-5 ml/kg).

Null hypothesis: There is no difference in time to achieve 25% reduction in peak pressure using either 7-8 ml/kg or 4-5 ml/kg tidal volume.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Sunderland Ethics Committee; ref. 13/NE/0110

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

**Treatment** 

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Respiratory distress syndrome

#### **Interventions**

The study would involve randomising the babies to low tidal volume (4-5 ml/kg) or high tidal volume (7-8 ml/kg) at birth using volume guarantee mode of ventilation. We would also collect tracheal aspirate before surfactant. The babies would then have a standard management on ventilation without altering the tidal volume.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome(s)

The time to achieve 25% reduction in peak pressure

# Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Duration of intubation
- 2.Incidence of pulmonary and non-pulmonary complications i.e., pneumothorax, bronchopulmonary dyplasia, intraventricular haemorrhage
- 3. Impact on inflammatory markers in tracheal aspirate
- 4. Survival to discharge

#### Completion date

28/02/2015

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Preterm babies weighing 500-1500g or ≤32 weeks of gestation at birth
- 2. Requirement of intubation and mechanical ventilation

## Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Neonate

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Serious underlying congenital anomaly
- 1.1. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia
- 1.2. Cyanotic congenital heart disease
- 1.3. Airway anomalies
- 1.4. Abdominal wall defects
- 2. Multiple pregnancies only the first-born were enrolled and randomized; the others received the same strategy but were not be enrolled
- 3. Babies initiated on ventilation after 12 hours of life or transferred from other centres

#### Date of first enrolment

18/07/2013

#### Date of final enrolment

28/02/2015

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

# Study participating centre Consultant Neonatologist

Stockton on Tees United Kingdom TS19 8PE

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

North Tees and Hartlepool Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/04zzrht05

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### **Funder Name**

North Tees and Hartlepool Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK); ref.: CH-087

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes