# A pilot study to compare high versus low tidal volume for mechanical ventilation in preterm babies with respiratory distress syndrome

Submission date	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered	
29/05/2014		[_] Protocol	
Registration date	Overall study status	[] Statistical analysis plan	
14/08/2014	Completed	[_] Results	
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data	
25/06/2020	Respiratory	[_] Record updated in last year	

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Very premature babies (those of less than 32 weeks gestation or weighing less than 1500g) are born with lungs that have not yet fully developed. These babies may need help to breathe with the support of machines (mechanical ventilation) until their lungs are developed enough to do the work on their own. Traditionally, mechanical ventilation has been provided by controlling the pressure or volume of air delivered by these machines (ventilators). Recent studies have shown that controlling the volume works better than controlling pressure and has fewer side effects. The volume controlled ventilation works by controlling the amount of air/oxygen delivered at each breath (tidal volume). However, the tidal volume delivered by ventilators in clinical practice varies considerably, from 4-8ml/kg. This is important as, although lower tidal volumes can prevent the lungs from being damaged, they can also make the baby work harder at their breathing than they should. Some new advances in the technology means that there are now better ventilators that can deliver more accurate tidal volumes than before. We have therefore decided to take a closer look at what may be the best tidal volume to use in terms of performance and preventing side effects. Here, we are comparing the effects of the lower end of what is considered a normal tidal volume (4-5 ml/kg) to those of a higher normal tidal volume (7-8 ml/kg) delivered by mechanical ventilation to premature babies.

#### Who can participate?

Premature babies needing mechanical ventilation, weighing between 500-1500g or of no more than 32 weeks gestation at birth.

#### What does the study involve?

The babies are randomly allocated into one of two groups. Those in group 1 are given the lower normal tidal volume of air (4-5 ml/kg) via mechanical ventilation. Those in group 2 are given the higher normal tidal volume of air (7-8 ml/kg). We then compare the two groups to see which babies come of the ventilator first.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at registration. Where is the study run from? University Hospital of North Tees (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? July 2013 to February 2015

Who is funding the study? North Tees and Hartlepool Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

Who is the main contact? Prof Samir Gupta samir.gupta@nth.nhs.uk

# **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Prof Samir Gupta

#### **Contact details**

Consultant Neonatologist Department of Paediatrics University Hospital of North Tees Hardwick Road Stockton on Tees United Kingdom TS19 8PE

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number 126072

ClinicalTrials.gov number

**Secondary identifying numbers** IRAS Project ID: 126072

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

HILO Trial - A comparative pilot study of HIgh versus LOw tidal volume for mechanical ventilation in very low birth weight preterm babies with respiratory distress syndrome. A randomised controlled trial

Acronym

#### HILO Trial

#### **Study objectives**

The time to achieve a 25% reduction in peak pressure in very premature babies receiving mechanical breathing support using volume-targeted ventilation is less using high normal tidal volume (7-8 ml/kg) as compared to low normal tidal volume (4-5 ml/kg).

Null hypothesis: There is no difference in time to achieve 25% reduction in peak pressure using either 7-8 ml/kg or 4-5 ml/kg tidal volume.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)** Sunderland Ethics Committee; ref. 13/NE/0110

**Study design** Randomised controlled trial

**Primary study design** Interventional

**Secondary study design** Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)** Hospital

**Study type(s)** Treatment

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Respiratory distress syndrome

#### Interventions

The study would involve randomising the babies to low tidal volume (4-5 ml/kg) or high tidal volume (7-8 ml/kg) at birth using volume guarantee mode of ventilation. We would also collect tracheal aspirate before surfactant. The babies would then have a standard management on ventilation without altering the tidal volume.

#### Intervention Type

Other

**Phase** Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

The time to achieve 25% reduction in peak pressure

#### Secondary outcome measures

 Duration of intubation
Incidence of pulmonary and non-pulmonary complications i.e., pneumothorax, bronchopulmonary dyplasia, intraventricular haemorrhage
Impact on inflammatory markers in tracheal aspirate
Survival to discharge

#### Overall study start date

18/07/2013

Completion date 28/02/2015

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

1. Preterm babies weighing 500-1500g or ≤32 weeks of gestation at birth

2. Requirement of intubation and mechanical ventilation

**Participant type(s)** Patient

Age group

Neonate

Sex

Both

**Target number of participants** 70

#### Key exclusion criteria

1. Serious underlying congenital anomaly

- 1.1. Congenital diaphragmatic hernia
- 1.2. Cyanotic congenital heart disease

1.3. Airway anomalies

1.4. Abdominal wall defects

2. Multiple pregnancies only the first-born were enrolled and randomized; the others received the same strategy but were not be enrolled

3. Babies initiated on ventilation after 12 hours of life or transferred from other centres

Date of first enrolment 18/07/2013

Date of final enrolment 28/02/2015

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment** England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre Consultant Neonatologist** Stockton on Tees United Kingdom TS19 8PE

## Sponsor information

**Organisation** North Tees and Hartlepool Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

### Sponsor details

c/o Jane Greenaway Research and development Manager Hardwick Road Stockton on Tees England United Kingdom TS19 8PE

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### ROR

https://ror.org/04zzrht05

## Funder(s)

Funder type Hospital/treatment centre

#### **Funder Name** North Tees and Hartlepool Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK); ref.: CH-087

## **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

2019 thesis in http://etheses.dur.ac.uk/13320/ (added 25/06/2020)

#### Intention to publish date

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### **IPD sharing plan summary** Not provided at time of registration

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>HRA research summary</u>			28/06/2023	No	No