

# Nordic-Baltic-British left main revascularisation study

<b>Submission date</b> 21/05/2010	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 21/05/2010	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 21/07/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Ms Nina Cooter

**Contact details**  
Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust  
Eastern Road  
Brighton  
United Kingdom  
BN2 5BE  
-  
nina.cooter@bsuh.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
8342

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Nordic-Baltic-British left main revascularisation study: coronary artery bypass grafting versus drug eluting stent percutaneous coronary angioplasty in the treatment of unprotected left main stenosis

## Acronym

NOBLE Study

## Study objectives

The purpose of the study is to find the most effective treatment for unprotected left main stenosis (UPLM) disease. The patients will be randomised after the diagnostic angiogram and when both the cardiac surgeons and interventional cardiologists have agreed the patient is suitable for either treatment group.

Patients will be randomised on a 1:1 basis. A registry of all UPLM patients and reasons for inclusion and exclusion will be also documented during the study.

The theory behind the study is that stenting of the left main stem may be of equal efficacy and safety to the current gold standard treatment, which is coronary artery bypass surgery. Coronary artery bypass surgery carries significant periprocedural morbidities so if it is proved that stenting is equivalent or superior, this would represent a good option for patients.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Brighton East REC approved on the 2nd April 2009 (ref: 09/H1107/08)

## Study design

Multicentre randomised interventional treatment trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details provided in the interventions field below to request a patient information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Cardiovascular; Subtopic: Cardiovascular (all Subtopics); Disease: Cardiovascular

### **Interventions**

1. Coronary artery bypass surgery
2. Percutaneous coronary angioplasty with drug eluting stent

Follow up length: 60 months

Study entry: single randomisation only

Contact details for patient information sheet:

Cardiac Research Unit

Sussex House 1

Abbey Road

Brighton BN2 1ES

United Kingdom

### **Intervention Type**

Other

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Primary outcome measure**

1. Combined endpoint of death, stroke, non-index treatment related myocardial infarction (MI) and new revascularisation (PCI or CABG) after 2 years
2. Death after 5 years

### **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Combined endpoint of death, stroke and non-index treatment related MI after 1 month and after 1, 2, 3 and 4 years
2. Individual endpoints of death, stroke and non-index treatment related MI after 1 month and after 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years
3. New revascularisation by CABG or PCI after 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 years
4. Death after 10 years
5. Definite stent thrombosis/symptomatic graft occlusion
6. Canadian Cardiovascular Society (CCS) angina score
7. New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class
8. Duration of admission for index treatment

### **Overall study start date**

19/01/2009

### **Completion date**

01/10/2010

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Stable, unstable angina pectoris or acute coronary syndrome (ACS)
2. Significant lesion\* of left main coronary artery (LMCA) ostium, mid-shaft and/or bifurcation

and with no more than three additional non-complex\*\* percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) lesions

3. Patient eligible to be treated by coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) and by PCI

4. Signed informed consent

\*Visually assessed diameter stenosis greater than 50% or fractional flow reserve less than 0.80

\*\*Length less than 25 mm, non-CTO, non-2-stent bifurcation, non-calcified and non-tortuous vessel morphology coronary lesion

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Age group**

Not Specified

### **Sex**

Not Specified

### **Target number of participants**

Planned sample size: 1200; UK sample size: 200

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. ST-elevation infarction within 24 hours

2. CABG clearly better treatment option (LMCA stenosis and greater than 3, or complex\*\* additional coronary lesions)

3. Patient is in too high risk for CABG

4. Expected survival less than 1 year

5. Allergy to aspirin, clopidogrel or ticlopidine

6. Allergy to Biolimus

\*\*Length greater than 25 mm, CTO, 2-stent bifurcation, calcified or tortuous vessel morphology coronary lesion

### **Date of first enrolment**

19/01/2009

### **Date of final enrolment**

01/10/2010

## **Locations**

### **Countries of recruitment**

Denmark

England

Finland

Norway

Sweden

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust**

Brighton

United Kingdom

BN2 5BE

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust (UK)

**Sponsor details**

Royal Sussex County Hospital

Eastern Road

Brighton

England

United Kingdom

BN2 5BE

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Website**

<http://www.bsuh.nhs.uk/home/>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Industry

**Funder Name**

Biosensors Europe SA (BESA) (Switzerland)

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration