

# A randomised controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate the cost-effectiveness and patient and carer satisfaction associated with different levels of intensity of community rehabilitation

<b>Submission date</b> 23/01/2004	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
		<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 23/01/2004	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 28/10/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Pam Enderby

### Contact details

Centre for Ageing and Rehabilitation Studies  
Community Sciences Centre  
Northern General Hospital NHS Trust  
Herries Road  
Sheffield  
United Kingdom  
S5 7AU  
+44 (0)114 271 5916  
[p.m.enderby@sheffield.ac.uk](mailto:p.m.enderby@sheffield.ac.uk)

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

RBG 99XX7

## **Study information**

### **Scientific Title**

A randomised controlled trial (RCT) to evaluate the cost-effectiveness and patient and carer satisfaction associated with different levels of intensity of community rehabilitation

### **Study objectives**

Evaluate and compare the levels of impairment, disability, handicap and well-being among elderly patients receiving either a supplemented CRT service or non-intensive CRT service following stroke or fractured neck of femur. Evaluate and compare the costs, both direct and indirect, of providing intensive community rehabilitation and non-intensive community rehabilitation for elderly patients following stroke or fractured neck of femur. Evaluate and compare levels of patient and informal carer satisfaction with intensive community rehabilitation and non-intensive community rehabilitation. Estimate the cost effectiveness of intensive community rehabilitation intervention versus non-intensive community rehabilitation for elderly patients following stroke or fractured neck of femur.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Not specified

### **Study type(s)**

Quality of life

### **Participant information sheet**

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Stroke or fractured neck of femur

### **Interventions**

- i. Intensive community rehabilitation service
- ii. Standard care

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Specified

**Primary outcome measure**

Death at 3 and 12 months. Institutionalisation at 3 and 12 months. Length of stay. Re-admission rates. Use of GP services. Use of other health services. Use of social services.

**Secondary outcome measures**

Not provided at time of registration

**Overall study start date**

01/01/2000

**Completion date**

31/12/2002

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

Patients aged 65 plus, admitted from their own home to either the Central Sheffield University Hospital or Northern General Hospital following a 'first' stroke or fractured neck of femur.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Not Specified

**Sex**

Not Specified

**Target number of participants**

Not provided at time of registration

**Key exclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/2000

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2002

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

## Study participating centre

**Centre for Ageing and Rehabilitation Studies**

Sheffield

United Kingdom

S5 7AU

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

NHS R&D Regional Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

## Sponsor details

The Department of Health

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

+44 (0)20 7307 2622

dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

## Sponsor type

Government

## Website

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

NHS Executive Trent (UK)

# Results and Publications

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration