Randomised Evaluation of Surgery with Craniectomy for patients Undergoing Evacuation of Acute Subdural Haematoma (RESCUE-ASDH)

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	[X] Prospectively registered		
31/07/2014		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
31/07/2014		[X] Results		
Last Edited 25/06/2024	Condition category Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning	Individual participant data		

Plain English summary of protocol

Plain English summary as of 04/12/2018:

Background and study aims

It is estimated that 4,000 head-injured patients have emergency brain surgery each year in the NHS. Two-thirds of head-injured patients requiring emergency surgery have a blood clot between the outer lining of the brain and the brain itself. This is called an acute subdural haematoma (ASDH). The pressure this clot puts on the brain can be life threatening, so an urgent operation is needed to remove it. When an ASDH is surgically removed, a piece of skull can be left out or replaced before closing the skin. When a piece of skull is replaced before closing the skin, the operation is named craniotomy. On the other hand, when a piece of skull is left out, the operation is named decompressive craniectomy (DC). The advantage of a DC is that it is more effective in controlling brain swelling, which is often a problem in the days after the operation. After a few months, the patient has another operation to rebuild the skull (with the patient's own bone or an artificial material). The advantage of a craniotomy is that the patient will not need a later operation to rebuild the skull. However, craniotomy may fail to control the brain swelling in some patients. All neurosurgeons are capable of performing both types of operation. Currently, there is no high-quality evidence showing if one operation is better than the other. This study aims to provide this much needed evidence.

Who can participate?

Adult patients with head injuries and acute subdural haematoma can take part.

What does the study involve?

Patients will be randomly allocated to one of the two above mentioned treatments. Participants of the study will fill in a short questionnaire at 6 months and 12 months after the initial injury this is the only additional thing they will be asked to do. This questionnaire will help us find out about their recovery.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no direct benefits for study participants. However, the study may help doctors in the future decide which operation to use for patients who sustain severe brain injury with an acute subdural haematoma. Both types of operation are currently carried out routinely for patients with ASDH. The risks to participants are minimal. If there is a significant brain swelling preventing safe replacement of the bone flap, the patient will not be allocated but will have the bone flap left out as per standard clinical practice. Moreover, patients allocated to undergo craniotomy who go on to develop brain swelling due to their underlying injury will be allowed to return to the operating theatre to have the bone flap removed if this is deemed necessary by the clinical team.

Where is the study run from?

The lead site is Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge. Recruitment will be taking place across UK and international sites.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? The study will start in October 2014 and will run until April 2020.

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK.

Who is the main contact? Miss Tapiwa Tungamirai tapiwa.tungamirai@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

Previous plain English summary:

Background and study aims

It is estimated that 4,000 head-injured patients have emergency brain surgery each year in the NHS. Two-thirds of head-injured patients requiring emergency surgery have a blood clot between the outer lining of the brain and the brain itself. This is called an acute subdural haematoma (ASDH). The pressure this clot puts on the brain can be life threatening, so an urgent operation is needed to remove it. When an ASDH is surgically removed, a piece of skull can be left out or replaced before closing the skin. When a piece of skull is replaced before closing the skin, the operation is named craniotomy. On the other hand, when a piece of skull is left out, the operation is named decompressive craniectomy (DC). The advantage of a DC is that it is more effective in controlling brain swelling, which is often a problem in the days after the operation. After a few months, the patient has another operation to rebuild the skull (with the patient's own bone or an artificial material). The advantage of a craniotomy is that the patient will not need a later operation to rebuild the skull. However, craniotomy may fail to control the brain swelling in some patients. All neurosurgeons are capable of performing both types of operation. Currently, there is no high-quality evidence showing if one operation is better than the other. This study aims to provide this much needed evidence.

Who can participate?

Adult patients with head injuries and acute subdural haematoma can take part.

What does the study involve?

Patients will be randomly allocated to one of the two above mentioned treatments. Participants of the study will fill in a short questionnaire at 6 months and 12 months after the initial injury this is the only additional thing they will be asked to do. This questionnaire will help us find out about their recovery.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no direct benefits for study participants. However, the study may help doctors in the future decide which operation to use for patients who sustain severe brain injury with an acute subdural haematoma. Both types of operation are currently carried out routinely for patients with ASDH. The risks to participants are minimal. If there is a significant brain swelling preventing safe replacement of the bone flap, the patient will not be allocated but will have the bone flap left out as per standard clinical practice. Moreover, patients allocated to undergo craniotomy who go on to develop brain swelling due to their underlying injury will be allowed to return to the operating theatre to have the bone flap removed if this is deemed necessary by the clinical team.

Where is the study run from?

The list will be updated later once more sites join the study. Following are the study sites in the UK:

- 1. Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge
- 2. Derriford Hospital, Plymouth
- 3. John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford
- 4. King's College Hospital, London
- 5. Leeds General Infirmary, Leeds
- 6. Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham
- 7. Royal Hallamshire Hospital, Sheffield
- 8. Salford Royal Hospital, Manchester
- 9. Southampton General Hospital, Southampton
- 10. St George's Hospital, London
- 11. St Marys Hospital, London
- 12. The Walton Centre, Liverpool

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? The study will start in October 2014 and will run until June 2020.

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), UK.

Who is the main contact? Carol Davis-Wilkie, carol.daviswilkie@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Ms Carol Davis-Wilkie

Contact details

Cambridge Clinical Trials Unit Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Addenbrooke's Hospital Coton House Level 6, Box 401 Hills Road Cambridge United Kingdom CB2 0QQ +44 1223 256624 carol.daviswilkie@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

156623

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

Protocol serial number

RESCUE-ASDH14, IRAS 156623

Study information

Scientific Title

Randomised Evaluation of Surgery with Craniectomy for patients Undergoing Evacuation of Acute Subdural Haematoma (RESCUE-ASDH)

Acronym

RESCUE-ASDH

Study objectives

Principal research question: does decompressive craniectomy lead to better functional outcomes in comparison to craniotomy for adult head-injured patients undergoing evacuation of an acute subdural haematoma?

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

14/NW/1076; First MREC approval date 17/07/2014

Study design

Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Treatment

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Acute subdural haematoma

Interventions

Following enrolment in the study, suitability for randomisation will be assessed in the operating room by the operating neurosurgeon. A secure web-based randomisation service will be used for the randomisation of suitable patients. The following information will be required in order to randomise a patient: age, best pre-intubation Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), pre-operative pupillary reactivity, CT findings. Patients unsuitable for randomisation (e.g. when significant brain swelling prevents safe replacement of the piece of skull) will have the operation deemed to be in their best interests by the operating neurosurgeon.

Following discharge from the acute setting (neurosurgical unit), patients will be followed up at 6 and 12 months post-injury with the extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOSE) and the EQ-5D questionnaires. Follow-up will be undertaken by postal questionnaires. However, in some cases a structured telephone interview will need to be undertaken by a blinded assessor, for example, if there are practical difficulties with filling in or returning the form

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Extended Glasgow outcome scale; Timepoint(s): at 12 months post-injury

Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Extended Glasgow outcome scale at 6 months post-injury
- 2. Quality of life (EQ-5D) at discharge from neurosurgical unit (NSU), 6 and 12 months post-injury
- 3. Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) on discharge from the intensive care unit (ICU) and from NSU
- 4. Length of stay in ICU, neurosurgical and rehabilitation unit
- 5. Therapy Intensity Level (IMPACT-TBI scale) in the ICU
- 6. Discharge destination from NSU
- 7. Mortality
- 8. Serious adverse events and surgical complications during index admission
- 9. Cranial surgery within 2 weeks after randomisation
- 10. Subsequent readmissions to the NSU within the 12 months follow-up period
- 11. Hydrocephalus requiring shunt insertion within the 12 months follow-up period
- 12. Healthcare services utilisation over 12 months
- 13. Detailed economic evaluation

Completion date

30/04/2020

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Participant inclusion criteria as of 04/12/2018:

- 1. Adult head-injured patients (>16 years)
- 2. Acute subdural haematoma on CT*
- 3. The admitting neurosurgeon feels that the haematoma needs to beevacuated with a large

bone flap (recommended size ≥11 cm anteroposterior diameter) either by a craniotomy or decompressive craniectomy

*Patients with additional lesions (e.g. intracerebral haemorrhage, contusions) can be included

Previous participant inclusion criteria:

- 1. Adult head-injured patients (>16 years)
- 2. Acute subdural haematoma on CT*
- 3. The admitting neurosurgeon feels that the haematoma needs to be evacuated either by a craniotomy or decompressive craniectomy (bone flap at least 11 cm in both instances)*
 *Patients with additional lesions (e.g. intracerebral haemorrhage, contusions) can be included

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

16 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

463

Key exclusion criteria

Participant exclusion criteria as of 04/12/2018:

- 1. Bilateral acute subdural haematomas both requiring evacuation
- 2. Previous enrolment in the RESCUE-ASDH study
- 3. Severe pre-existing physical or mental disability or severe co-morbidity which would lead to a poor outcome even if the patient made a full recovery from the head injury

Previous participant exclusion criteria:

- 1. Bilateral unresponsive dilated pupils of ≥5 mm and/or brainstem injuries on CT
- 2. Uncorrected coagulopathy
- 3. Bilateral acute subdural haematomas both requiring evacuation
- 4. Previous enrolment in the RESCUE-ASDH study
- 5. Severe pre-existing physical or mental disability or severe co-morbidity which would lead to a poor outcome even if the patient made a full recovery from the head injury

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2014

Date of final enrolment

30/04/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment **United Kingdom** England Scotland Wales Australia Canada Germany Greece Hungary India Italy Malaysia Pakistan Singapore

Study participating centre Addenbrookes Hospital

United States of America

Spain

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust Hills Road Cambridge United Kingdom CB2 0QQ

Study participating centre Derriford Hospital Derriford Rd Plymouth United Kingdom PL6 8DH

Study participating centre John Radcliffe Hospital

Headley Way, Headington Oxford United Kingdom OX3 9DU

Study participating centre King's College Hospital

Denmark Hill, Brixton London United Kingdom SE5 9RS

Study participating centre Leeds General Infirmary

Great George Street Leeds United Kingdom LS1 3EX

Study participating centre Queen Elizabeth Hospital

Mindelsohn Way Edgbaston Birmingham United Kingdom B15 2TH

Study participating centre Royal Hallamshire Hospital

Glossop Road Sheffield United Kingdom S10 2JF

Study participating centre Salford Royal Hospital

Stott Lane Manchester United Kingdom M6 8HD

Study participating centre Southampton General Hospital

Tremona Road Southampton United Kingdom SO16 6YD

Study participating centre St George's Hospital

Blackshaw Road London United Kingdom SW17 0QT

Study participating centre St Mary's Hospital

Praed Street London United Kingdom W2 1NY

Study participating centre The Walton Centre

Lower Lane Liverpool United Kingdom L9 7LJ

Study participating centre University Hospital of Wales

Heath Park

Cardiff United Kingdom CF14 4XW

Study participating centre Queen's Medical Centre

Derby Road Nottingham United Kingdom NG7 2UH

Study participating centre Royal Sussex County Hospital

Barry Building, Eastern Road Brighton United Kingdom BN2 5BE

Study participating centre James Cook University Hospital

Marton Road Middlesbrough United Kingdom TS4 3BW

Study participating centre Queen's Hospital

Rom Valley Way Romford United Kingdom RM7 0AG

Study participating centre Royal London Hospital

Whitechapel Road, Whitechapel London United Kingdom E1 1BB

Study participating centre Southmead Hospital

Southmead Road, Westbury-on-Trym Bristol United Kingdom BS10 5NB

Study participating centre University Hospital Coventry

Clifford Bridge Road Bristol United Kingdom CV2 2DX

Study participating centre Ninewells Hospital

James Arrott Drive Dundee United Kingdom DD2 1SY

Study participating centre Hull Royal Infirmary

Anlaby Road Hull United Kingdom HU3 2JZ

Study participating centre Western General Hospital

Crewe Road Edinburgh United Kingdom EH4 2XU

Study participating centre Queen Elizabeth University Hospital

1345 Govan Road

Glasgow United Kingdom G51 4TF

Study participating centre Royal Preston Hospital

Sharoe Green Lane, Fulwood Preston United Kingdom PR2 9HT

Study participating centre Royal Victoria Infirmary

Queen Victoria Road Newcastle upon Tyne United Kingdom NE1 4LP

Study participating centre Singapore General Hospital

Outram Road Singapore Singapore 169608

Study participating centre Tan Tock Seng Hospital

11 Jln Tan Tock Seng Singapore Singapore 308433

Study participating centre Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center

330 Brookline Avenue Boston United States of America 02215

Study participating centre The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center

5323 Harry Hines Blvd Dallas United States of America 75390

Study participating centre University of Malaya Medical Centre

Lembah Pantai Kuala Lumpur Malaysia 59100

Study participating centre Foothills Medical Centre

1403 29 St NW Calgary Canada T2N 2T9

Study participating centre Hamilton General Hospital

237 Barton St E Hamilton Canada L8L 2X2

Study participating centre Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre

2075 Bayview Ave Toronto Canada M4N 3M5

Study participating centre MedStar Washington Hospital Center 110 Irving St NW Washington, DC United States of America 20010

Study participating centre University Hospital of Larissa

Mezourlo Larissa Greece 41110

Study participating centre National Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (NIMHANS)

Hosur Road Bangalore India 560029

Study participating centre Post Graduate Institute of Medical Education and Research (PGIMER)

Sector 12 Chandigarh India 160012

Study participating centre All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS)

Sri Aurobindo Marg, Ansari Nagar, Ansari Nagar East New Delhi India 110029

Study participating centre Technische Universität München

Arcisstraße 21 Munich Germany 80333

Study participating centre Hospital Universitario La Paz

Paseo de la Castellana, 261 Madrid Spain 28046

Study participating centre Littleton Adventist Hospital

7700 S Broadway Littleton United States of America 80122

Study participating centre The Alfred Hospital

55 Commercial Rd Melbourne Australia 3004

Study participating centre Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre

Av. Cordoba Madrid Spain 28041

Study participating centre Jacobi Medical Center and Montefiore Medical Center

1400 Pelham Parkway, South Bronx New York United States of America 10461

Study participating centre

Queen Elizabeth II Health Sciences Centre

1276 South Park Street Halifax Canada B3H 2Y9

Study participating centre University of Pécs

Pécs Hungary

Study participating centre The Ottawa Hospital

Ottowa Canada

-

Study participating centre North Shore University Hospital

New York United States of America

Study participating centre Christian Medical College & Hospital

Vellore India

Study participating centre Northwest General Hospital and Research Center

Peshawar Pakistan

-

Study participating centre Humanitas Research Hospital

Milan

Sponsor information

Organisation

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust & University of Cambridge (UK)

ROR

https://ror.org/04v54gj93

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK); Grant Codes: 12/35/57

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		23/04/2023	25/04/2023	Yes	No
Results article	Cost evaluation	16/06/2024	25/06/2024	Yes	No
HRA research summary	Participant information sheet		28/06/2023		No
Participant information sheet		11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Plain English results			20/11/2023	No	Yes