# Randomized clinical trial of five adhesive systems in occlusal posterior restorations

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
14/07/2014	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	<ul><li>Statistical analysis plan</li></ul>
08/08/2014	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
08/08/2014	Oral Health	<ul><li>Record updated in last year</li></ul>

### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Direct adhesive restorations with composite resins (i.e. dental fillings where the dentist places a soft or malleable substance into the tooth and then shapes it before it sets hard) is the treatment of choice for cavities of posterior teeth (the pre-molars and molars found towards the back of the mouth). Nevertheless, the long-term durability of these fillings are hampered as the adhesive (or glue) holding the filling in place weakens over time. This can eventually lead to gaps forming between the filling and the tooth, tooth sensitivity, discolouration of the tooth, further cavities and, in the worse cases, inflammation of the pulp of the teeth. Evaluating the effects of the type of adhesive (adhesive system) used for fillings suffers from a lack of scientific evidence. Here, we aim to test five different adhesive systems as used for treating cavities in posterior teeth.

### Who can participate?

Adults with good general and oral health needing restorations (fillings) in their molar or premolar teeth due to cavities

### What does the study involve?

Each participant is randomly allocated into one of 5 different groups. Each group are given dental fillings using a different adhesive system. The performance of each of these adhesive systems are then evaluated over the course of a year, including how they look and how well they work.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The risks to participants are the same as for people treated for dental cavities in clinical practice.

Where is the study run from?

The Coimbra Medical University, School of Dentistry (Portugal)

When did the study start and how long is it expected to run for? June 2011 to December 2017

Who is funding the study? University of Coimbra (Portugal)

Who is the main contact? Alexandra Vinagre avinagre@fmed.uc.pt

# Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Alexandra Vinagre

### Contact details

R Adriano Correia de Oliveira, no. 3, R/C Coimbra Portugal 3000-006 +351 912 638 914 vinagrealexandra@gmail.com

# Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers N/A

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

A randomized double-blinded controlled clinical trial comparing the behaviour of one three-step etch-and-rinse (Optibond™FL), one two-step etch-and-rinse (Prime&Bond®NT™) and three self-etch adhesives systems; one two-step (Clearfil™SE Bond), one one-step/two-bottle (Xeno®III) and one one-step/one bottle (Xeno®V+) in the restoration of occlusal posterior cavities based on the FDI evaluation criteria and focusing marginal adaptation as the primary outcome.

## **Study objectives**

The null hypothesis (H0) tested was that no significant differences would be detected among the clinical behavior of the five adhesive systems in any of the recall periods.

# Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Ethics Committee of the Central Hospital of University of Coimbra (Ethics Committee of the Centro Hospitalar e Universitário de Coimbra) (CHUC); ref: HUC 15-09

### Study design

Single-center randomized interventional study

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Study setting(s)

Hospital

### Study type(s)

Treatment

### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Oral health

#### Interventions

Carious lesions removal was ensured with drilling and dentin spoon excavators. The restorative procedures were performed by one single operator. After application of the respective randomly assigned adhesive system under manufacturer's directions a microhybrid composite resin (EsthetX® HD; A2) was placed incrementally and light-cured

using a LED-curing unit (Bluephase®) in its low intensity mode emitting around 650 mW/cm2. Experimental groups:

- 1. Optibond® FL: Apply etchant for 15 s; rinse for 15 s; air-dry for 5 s; scrub surface for 15 s with primer; gentle air-blow for 5 s; apply bonding agent; gentle air-blow for 3 s; light-cure for 20 s.
- 2. Prime&Bond®NT™: Apply etchant for 15 s; rinse for 15 s; air-dry for 5 s; apply adhesive on surface and wait 20 s; gentle air-blow for 5 s; light-cure for 10 s; apply second coat; gentle air-blow for 5 s; light-cure for 10 s.
- 3. Clearfil<sup>m</sup> SE Bond: Apply primer and leave undisturbed for 20 s; gentle air-blow for 5 s; apply bonding agent; gentle air-blow for 5 s; light-cure for 10 s.
- 4. Xeno® III: Mix liquids A and B for 5 s; apply adhesive on surface and leave undisturbed for 20 s; gentle air-blow for 5 s; light-cure for 10 s.
- 5. Xeno® V +: Apply adhesive on surface and scrub it in a rubbing motion for 20 s; gentle air-blow for 5 s; light-cure for 10 s.

## Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

### Primary outcome measure

The FDI evaluation criteria was applied in baseline, 6 months and one year.

Marginal adaptation was considered for the primary outcome

Score 1: Harmonious outline, no gaps

Score 2: Marginal gaps (<150 µm); small marginal fractures

Score 3: Marginal gaps (>150  $\mu$ m and < 250  $\mu$ m); several marginal fractures

Score 4: Marginal gaps > 250 µm or dentin/base exposed; severe marginal fractures

Score 5: Restoration lost but in situ; generalized major gaps

### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. The FDI evaluation criteria was applied in baseline, 6 months and one year.
- 2. Esthetic Properties (surface lustre, marginal and surface staining, anatomical form),
- 3. Functional Properties (fracture of material and retention, radiographic examination),
- 4. Biological Properties (postoperative hypersensitivity and tooth vitality; recurrence of caries; tooth integrity; adjacent mucosa)

### Overall study start date

24/06/2011

### Completion date

24/12/2017

# **Eligibility**

### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Agreement to participate in the study
- 2. In good general and oral health
- 3. Require class I restorations in their molar or premolar teeth due to primary carious lesions
- 4. In permanent dentition

### Participant type(s)

Patient

### Age group

Adult

### Sex

Both

# Target number of participants

70 participants with carious occlusal lesions were initially selected. 159 restorations (at least 30 for research group) in 54 patients were obtained.

### Key exclusion criteria

Patients with:

- 1. Systemic diseases, chronic use of anti-inflammatory, analgesic or psychotropic drugs
- 2. Xerostomy
- 3. Pregnancy or breastfeeding
- 4. Periodontal surgery within the previous three months
- 5. Orthodontic treatment within the previous three months

- 6. Uncontrolled periodontal disease
- 7. Bruxism
- 8. Allergies to specific compounds
- 9. Uncontrolled oral hygiene
- 10. Incapacity to undergo rubber dam isolation
- 11. Inability to attend recall appointments
- 12. Fewer than 20 teeth

#### Teeth with:

- 1. Endodontic therapy
- 2. Function of abutment for fixed or removable prostheses
- 3. Previous restorations
- 4. Absence of occlusal contacts with antagonist tooth
- 5. Fixed or removable prosthetic tooth as antagonist
- 6. Attrition, abfraction or erosion
- 7. Fissures or fractures
- 8. Signs of previous pulp pathology
- 9. Pathologic mobility
- 10. Enamel and/or dentin dysplasia
- 11. Cavities that requires cuspid coverage
- 12. Cavities involving pulp exposure

### Date of first enrolment

24/06/2011

### Date of final enrolment

24/12/2017

# Locations

### Countries of recruitment

Portugal

### Study participating centre R Adriano Correia de Oliveira, no. 3, R/C

Coimbra Portugal 3000-006

# Sponsor information

### Organisation

University of Coimbra (Portugal)

### Sponsor details

c/o Alexandra Rosa Rodrigues Vinagre Faculty of Medicine Av. Bissaya Barreto, Blocos de Celas Coimbra Portugal 3000-075 +351 912 638 914 avinagre@fmed.uc.pt

### Sponsor type

University/education

### **ROR**

https://ror.org/04z8k9a98

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

University/education

### Funder Name

University of Coimbra (Portugal)

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration