A "new" regimen for eccentric loading versus shock wave treatment for chronic insertional Achilles tendinopathy

Submission date Recruitment status Prospectively reg	isterea
13/05/2010 No longer recruiting [] Protocol	
Registration date Overall study status [] Statistical analysis	s plan
07/06/2010 Completed [] Results	
Last Edited Condition category Individual particip	ant data
07/06/2010 Musculoskeletal Diseases [] Record updated in	n last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Jan D. Rompe

Contact details

OrthoTrauma Evaluation Center Oppenheimer Str. 70 Mainz Germany D-55130

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Eccentric loading versus shock-wave therapy for insertional Achilles tendinopathy: a randomised controlled trial

Study objectives

A new regimen of eccentric calf muscle training provides equivalent outcome as shock-wave therapy (SWT) for recalcitrant insertional Achilles tendinopathy

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

The local medical ethics committee (Ethik-Kommission, Landesärztekammer Rheinland-Pfalz) approved on the 13th of December 2005 (ref: 4988)

Study design

Randomised active controlled parallel group trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Insertional Achilles tendinopathy

Interventions

1. Eccentric Loading:

All patients were given a practical demonstration and hand written instructions of the exercises by the same physician at the beginning of the study. The eccentric calf muscle training was performed in an upright body position with a straight leg. The patients performed a heel raise with the non-injured leg, then all body weight was transferred to the injured side, and from the heel raised position the patients slowly lowered the heel to the floor-level. There was no load with the ankle in dorsiflexion. This was done 3 times for 15 repetitions, twice a day, 7 days/week, for 12 weeks. If there was no pain during the exercise the load was increased by using a backpack that was gradually filled with weights to reach a new level of painful training.

2. Shock-Wave Therapy:

Patients received SWT administered by the senior author. A radial shock wave device (EMS Swiss Dolorclast, Munich, Germany) was used. A projectile in a handpiece is accelerated by a pressurised air source and strikes a 15mm diameter metal applicator. The energy generated is transmitted to the patients skin as a shock wave through a standard commercially available ultrasound gel. The wave then disperses radially from the application site into the tissue to be treated. The energy generated depends considerably on the working pressure to which the device has been set. Following previous recommendation 20, SWT was performed three times, spaced one week apart. At each of the three sessions, 2000 pulses were applied with a pressure of 2.5 bar (equalling an energy flux density of 0.12 mJ/mm²). The treatment frequency was 8 pulses/sec. Using the principle of clinical focusing, the area of maximal tenderness was treated in a circumferential pattern, starting at the point of maximum pain. No local anaesthetic was applied.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Victorian Institute of Sports Assessment Achilles (VISA-A) Score:

At each visit, every patient completed the VISA-a questionnaire which is validated for Achilles tendon problems. It contains eight questions that cover the three domains of pain (questions 1 - 3), function (questions 4 - 6), and activity (questions 7 and 8). Questions one to seven are scored on a basis of 10 points, and question 8 has a maximum of 30. Scores are summed to give a total. An asymptomatic person would score 100.

Secondary outcome measures

- 1. General outcome was scored by the patient on a six-point Likert scale ranging from 1 to 6. For the computation of success rates, patients who rated themselves 1 or 2 (i.e. completely recovered or much improved) were counted as successes.
- 2. Pain assessment
- 2.1. Patients also scored the severity of their main complaint, pain during the day, and inconvenience on an 11-point numerical rating scale (NRS; 0=no pain to 10=very severe pain).
- 2.2. An algometer (Pain Test-Model FPK, Wagner Instruments, Greenwich, CT) was used as a semiobjective measuring device that allows assessment of pressure pain threshold and tenderness using a 1 cm² tip.
- 2.3. Pain threshold was defined as the minimum pressure captured through its 1cm² tip which induced pain in the most tender area of the Achilles insertion.
- 2.4. Tenderness was defined as the pain rating on the numeric rating scale induced when a pressure of 3 kg was applied to the most tender area of the Achilles tendon insertion.

Outcomes will be measured at baseline, 2, 4 and 15 months.

Overall study start date 01/01/2008

Completion date 31/12/2009

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Adult patients with chronic recalcitrant (> 6 months) insertional Achilles tendinopathy
- 2. All patients had been treated unsuccessfully for at least 3 months, including local injections and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs and physiotherapy

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

150

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients presenting with bilateral Achilles tendinopathy
- 2. Patients presenting with superficial or retrocalcaneal fluid on the ultrasound examination as a sign of bursitis
- 3. Patients showing a Haglunds deformity, a prominent postero-superior lateral aspect of the calcaneus, with a Fowler-Philip angle of greater than 75° on plain radiographs. All patients had plain radiographs of the calcaneus to identify tendon calcification.

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2008

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2009

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Germany

Study participating centre OrthoTrauma Evaluation Center

Mainz Germany D-55130

Sponsor information

Organisation

OrthoTrauma Evaluation Centre (Germany)

Sponsor details

c/o Prof. Jan D. Rompe Oppenheimer Str. 70 Mainz Germany D-55130

Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder(s)

Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

Funder Name

OrthoTrauma Evaluation Centre (Germany) - Investigator initiated trial

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration