Can ovarian cancer detection be improved using AI-driven diagnostic support applied to ultrasound images?

Submission date 26/03/2023	Recruitment status Recruiting	Prospectively registeredProtocol
Registration date 01/04/2023	Overall study status Ongoing	☐ Statistical analysis plan☐ Results
Last Edited 28/05/2024	Condition category Cancer	Individual participant dataRecord updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Ovarian tumors are common and their management is dependent on the risk of malignancy. Patients with malignant ovarian tumors benefit from being referred to a gynecologic oncologist for debulking surgery, indicating higher survival rates. On the other hand, benign cysts should be handled conservatively with ultrasound follow-up or with minimally invasive surgery, while preserving fertility and avoiding unnecessary suffering. Transvaginal ultrasound assessment has a central role in the diagnostics of ovarian tumors as it has high accuracy, at least in the hands of ultrasound experts. There is currently a shortage of sonographers with enough experience though, as malignant tumors are relatively uncommon. Thus, there is a need to find alternatives to improve the diagnostics of ovarian tumors. In other medical fields, researchers have been able to develop automated imaging tools to improve diagnostic accuracy, for example when searching for breast cancer and bone fractures. Recent advances in computerized image analysis have been powered by deep neural networks (DNNs), which are computational versions mimicking the biological nervous system. Recently, researchers have developed and validated a DNN model (Ovry-Dx) to discriminate benign from malignant ovarian tumors based on 3077 ultrasound images from 758 patients. Ovry-Dx had a diagnostic accuracy comparable to human expert assessment. Further validating studies are needed to explore the generalizability and robustness of DNN models, and the clinical benefits in the hands of less experienced examiners. This study aims to compare the accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant masses using DNN models as compared to subjective assessment using pattern recognition or the IOTA-ADNEX model, by examiners of different levels of expertise.

Who can participate?

Women aged 15 years and over with a recently detected ovarian tumor, planned for either surgery or long time (at least 21 months) follow-up

What does the study involve?

Subjective assessment using pattern recognition and the IOTA-ADNEX model score will be compared to the DNN-model assessment. The histological outcome from surgery or long-time ultrasound follow-up (minimum 21 months) serves as the gold standard.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are neither any benefits nor any risks as the DNN model outcome will not be shown to the examiner. The images will not be analysed by the DNN model until all patients have been examined. Therefore, participation will not affect the management of the patient.

Where is the study run from? Karolinska Institutet (Sweden)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2020 to June 2026

Who is funding the study?

- 1. Swedish Research Council (Sweden)
- 2. Swedish Cancer Society (Sweden)
- 3. Stockholms Läns Landsting (Sweden)
- 4. Radiumhemmets Forskningsfonder (Sweden)

Who is the main contact? Elisabeth Epstein, elisabeth.epstein@ki.se

Contact information

Type(s)

Principal Investigator

Contact name

Prof Elisabeth Epstein

ORCID ID

http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2298-7785

Contact details

Sjukhusbacken 10 Stockholm Sweden 11883 +46 (0)706699019 elisabeth.epstein@ki.se

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

Nil known

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

Secondary identifying numbers

Study information

Scientific Title

Diagnostic accuracy of computerized ultrasound image analysis using deep neural network models as compared to subjective assessment using pattern recognition or IOTA-ADNEX model - a prospective multi-centre trial

Acronym

OV-AID, Phase I

Study objectives

The accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant masses using deep neural network (DNN) models is superior to subjective assessment using pattern recognition or the IOTA-ADNEX model, by non-expert examiners.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 02/02/2021, Etikprövningsmyndigheten (Sjukhusbacken 10, Uppsala, 750 02 Uppsala, Sweden; +46 (0)104750800; registrator@etikprovning.se), ref: 2020-07200, 2021-04549, 2021-06367-02, 2023-01834-02

Study design

Prospective multicenter diagnostic accuracy study

Primary study design

Observational

Secondary study design

Cohort study

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Ovarian cancer

Interventions

Current interventions as of 28/05/2024:

A prospective study including >700 patients with ovarian tumors, assessed by examiners with

varying expertise (at least 400 assessments by non-experts, and 300 by expert examiners). Subjective assessment using pattern recognition and the IOTA-ADNEX model score will be compared to DNN-model assessment. The histological outcome from surgery or long-time ultrasound follow-up (minimum 9 months, with scans after 3 and 6 months) serves as the gold standard. For every case: over four grayscale (at least two without callipers), two power Doppler still images and two video clips (with and without Doppler), should be collected.

Previous interventions:

A prospective study including >400 patients (at least 150 malignant) with ovarian tumors, assessed by examiners with varying expertise (at least 200 assessments by non-experts). Subjective assessment using pattern recognition and the IOTA-ADNEX model score will be compared to DNN-model assessment. The histological outcome from surgery or long-time ultrasound follow-up (minimum 21 months, with scans after 3, 6 and 12 months) serves as the gold standard. For every case: over four grayscale (at least two without callipers), two power Doppler still images and two video clips (with and without Doppler), should be collected.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome measure

Diagnostic accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant ovarian tumors measured by comparing the outcomes from subjective assessment, IOTA-ADNEX model scoring and previously developed deep neural network (DNN) models at one timepoint

Secondary outcome measures

Accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant ovarian tumors measured by comparing the outcomes from subjective assessment, IOTA-ADNEX model scoring and previously developed DNN models - stratified by user experience (expert examiners versus non-expert examiners) at one timepoint

Overall study start date

01/01/2020

Completion date

06/06/2026

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Women aged ≥15 years
- 2. Newly detected ovarian tumor
- 3. Capable of understanding the study information and accepts participation

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Mixed

Lower age limit

15 Years

Sex

Female

Target number of participants

Minimum 700 participants, and at least 400 assessments are performed by non-experts.

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Aged <15 years
- 2. Patients who are not capable of understanding the study information or don't accept participation

Date of first enrolment

01/03/2021

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2025

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Czech Republic

Italy

Lithuania

Philippines

Poland

Spain

Sweden

Study participating centre Södersjukhuset

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Stockholm Sweden 11883

Study participating centre Centrallasarettet Växjö Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Växsjö

Study participating centre Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge

Division of Gynecology and Reproduction Huddinge Sweden 14186

Study participating centre Aleris UltraGyn, Sabbatsbergs Hospital

Sabbatsbergs Hospital Stockholm Sweden 11361

Study participating centre Uppsala University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Uppsala Sweden 75185

Study participating centre University Hospital Linköping

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Linköping Sweden 58191

Study participating centre Skåne University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Lund Sweden 22242

Study participating centre

Östra Hospital, Sahlgrenska University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Gothenburg Sweden 41685

Study participating centre Hallands Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Halmstad Sweden 30233

Study participating centre Danderyds Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Danderyd Sweden 18288

Study participating centre GynStockholm, Cevita Care

Gynecology Clinic Stockholm Sweden 11281

Study participating centre Nyköpings Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nyköping Sweden 61139

Study participating centre NÄL Hospital Trollhättan

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Trollhättan Sweden 46173

Study participating centre Örebro University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Örebro Sweden 70185

Study participating centre Skåne University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Malmö Sweden 21428

Study participating centre Karlstad Central Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Karlstad Sweden 65230

Study participating centre Endogyn

Stockholm Sweden 131 31

Study participating centre Institute for the Care of Mother and Child

Prague Czech Republic 147 00

Study participating centre

I.R.C.C.S. Burlo Garofolo Institute for Maternal and Child Health,

Trieste Italy 341 37

Study participating centre IRCCS San Gerardo Dei Tintori

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Monza Italy 20900

Study participating centre University of Milano-Bicocca

Department of Medicine and Surgery Milan Italy 20126

Study participating centre Fondazione Poliambulanza Istituto Ospedaliero

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Brescia Italy 25124

Study participating centre Forlì and Faenza Hospitals

Obstetrics and Gynecology Unit Romagna Italy 48018

Study participating centre Mater Olbia Hospital

Gynecology and Breast Care Center Olbia Italy 07026

Study participating centre

Medical University of Lublin

Lublin Poland 20059

Study participating centre Medical University of Silesia

Katowice Poland 40055

Study participating centre Rizal Medical Center

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Metro Manila Philippines 1600

Study participating centre Hospital Universitario Dexeus

Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproduction Barcelona Spain 08028

Study participating centre Lithuanian University of Health Sciences

Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Kaunas Lithuania 44307

Sponsor information

Organisation

Karolinska Institute

Sponsor details

Sjukhusbacken 10 Stockholm Sweden 11883 +46 (0)8 524 800 00 annsofie.wall@ki.se

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

https://ki.se/kisos

ROR

https://ror.org/056d84691

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Vetenskapsrådet

Alternative Name(s)

Swedish Research Council, VR

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

Sweden

Funder Name

Cancerfonden

Alternative Name(s)

Swedish Cancer Society

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

Location

Sweden

Funder Name

Stockholms Läns Landsting

Alternative Name(s)

Stockholm County Council

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Local government

Location

Sweden

Funder Name

Radiumhemmets Forskningsfonder

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal

Intention to publish date

30/06/2026

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Data from the analysis will be available upon request from Elisabeth Epstein (elisabeth. epstein@ki.se). Anonymized clinical data will be shared after publication; images and videos will not be available. All patients gave their informed consent to participate, but not specifically that data would be shared. All data have been anonymized only the PI of the study has access to the anonymization key.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type Details Date created Date added Peer reviewed? Patient-facing?

<u>Protocol file</u>	version 1	06/11/2023	No	No
Protocol file	version 2	28/05/2024	No	No