# Can ovarian cancer detection be improved using AI-driven diagnostic support applied to ultrasound images?

Submission date 26/03/2023	<b>Recruitment status</b> Recruiting	Prospectively registered		
		[X] Protocol		
<b>Registration date</b> 01/04/2023	Overall study status Ongoing	Statistical analysis plan		
		☐ Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 26/09/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	Individual participant data		
		[X] Record updated in last year		

# Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Ovarian tumors are common and their management is dependent on the risk of malignancy. Patients with malignant ovarian tumors benefit from being referred to a gynecologic oncologist for debulking surgery, indicating higher survival rates. On the other hand, benign cysts should be handled conservatively with ultrasound follow-up or with minimally invasive surgery, while preserving fertility and avoiding unnecessary suffering. Transvaginal ultrasound assessment has a central role in the diagnostics of ovarian tumors as it has high accuracy, at least in the hands of ultrasound experts. There is currently a shortage of sonographers with enough experience though, as malignant tumors are relatively uncommon. Thus, there is a need to find alternatives to improve the diagnostics of ovarian tumors. In other medical fields, researchers have been able to develop automated imaging tools to improve diagnostic accuracy, for example when searching for breast cancer and bone fractures. Recent advances in computerized image analysis have been powered by deep neural networks (DNNs), which are computational versions mimicking the biological nervous system. Recently, researchers have developed and validated a DNN model (Ovry-Dx) to discriminate benign from malignant ovarian tumors based on 3077 ultrasound images from 758 patients. Ovry-Dx had a diagnostic accuracy comparable to human expert assessment. Further validating studies are needed to explore the generalizability and robustness of DNN models, and the clinical benefits in the hands of less experienced examiners. This study aims to compare the accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant masses using DNN models as compared to subjective assessment using pattern recognition or the IOTA-ADNEX model, by examiners of different levels of expertise.

# Who can participate?

Women aged 15 years and over with a recently detected ovarian tumor, planned for either surgery or long time (at least 9 months) follow-up

# What does the study involve?

Subjective assessment using pattern recognition and the IOTA-ADNEX model score will be compared to the DNN-model assessment. The histological outcome from surgery or long-time ultrasound follow-up (minimum 9 months) serves as the gold standard.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are neither any benefits nor any risks as the DNN model outcome will not be shown to the examiner. The images will not be analysed by the DNN model until all patients have been examined. Therefore, participation will not affect the management of the patient.

Where is the study run from? Karolinska Institutet (Sweden)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2020 to June 2026

Who is funding the study?

- 1. Swedish Research Council (Sweden)
- 2. Swedish Cancer Society (Sweden)
- 3. Stockholms Läns Landsting (Sweden)
- 4. Radiumhemmets Forskningsfonder (Sweden)

Who is the main contact? Elisabeth Epstein, elisabeth.epstein@ki.se

# Contact information

#### Type(s)

Principal investigator

#### Contact name

Prof Elisabeth Epstein

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2298-7785

#### Contact details

Sjukhusbacken 10 Stockholm Sweden 11883 +46 (0)706699019 elisabeth.epstein@ki.se

# Additional identifiers

# Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

#### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

#### Protocol serial number

OV-AID-20230326

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Diagnostic accuracy of computerized ultrasound image analysis using deep neural network models as compared to subjective assessment using pattern recognition or IOTA-ADNEX model - a prospective multi-centre trial

#### Acronym

OV-AID, Phase I

## **Study objectives**

The accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant masses using deep neural network (DNN) models is superior to subjective assessment using pattern recognition or the IOTA-ADNEX model, by non-expert examiners.

# Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Approved 02/02/2021, Etikprövningsmyndigheten (Sjukhusbacken 10, Uppsala, 750 02 Uppsala, Sweden; +46 (0)104750800; registrator@etikprovning.se), ref: 2020-07200, 2021-04549, 2021-06367-02, 2023-01834-02

## Study design

Prospective multicenter diagnostic accuracy study

# Primary study design

Observational

# Study type(s)

Diagnostic

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Ovarian cancer

#### **Interventions**

Current interventions as of 28/05/2024:

A prospective study including >700 patients with ovarian tumors, assessed by examiners with varying expertise (at least 400 assessments by non-experts, and 300 by expert examiners). Subjective assessment using pattern recognition and the IOTA-ADNEX model score will be compared to DNN-model assessment. The histological outcome from surgery or long-time ultrasound follow-up (minimum 9 months, with scans after 3 and 6 months) serves as the gold standard. For every case: over four grayscale (at least two without callipers), two power Doppler still images and two video clips (with and without Doppler), should be collected.

#### Previous interventions:

A prospective study including >400 patients (at least 150 malignant) with ovarian tumors, assessed by examiners with varying expertise (at least 200 assessments by non-experts). Subjective assessment using pattern recognition and the IOTA-ADNEX model score will be compared to DNN-model assessment. The histological outcome from surgery or long-time

ultrasound follow-up (minimum 21 months, with scans after 3, 6 and 12 months) serves as the gold standard. For every case: over four grayscale (at least two without callipers), two power Doppler still images and two video clips (with and without Doppler), should be collected.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Primary outcome(s)

Diagnostic accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant ovarian tumors measured by comparing the outcomes from subjective assessment, IOTA-ADNEX model scoring and previously developed deep neural network (DNN) models at one timepoint

## Key secondary outcome(s))

Accuracy in differentiating benign from malignant ovarian tumors measured by comparing the outcomes from subjective assessment, IOTA-ADNEX model scoring and previously developed DNN models - stratified by user experience (expert examiners versus non-expert examiners) at one timepoint

## Completion date

06/06/2026

# Eligibility

### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Women aged ≥15 years
- 2. Newly detected ovarian tumor
- 3. Capable of understanding the study information and accepts participation

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Mixed

#### Lower age limit

15 years

#### Sex

Female

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Aged <15 years
- 2. Patients who are not capable of understanding the study information or don't accept participation

#### Date of first enrolment

01/03/2021

## Date of final enrolment

31/12/2025

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Czech Republic

Italy

Lithuania

Philippines

**Poland** 

Spain

Sweden

# Study participating centre Södersjukhuset

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Stockholm Sweden 11883

# Study participating centre Centrallasarettet Växjö

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Växsjö Sweden 35234

# Study participating centre Karolinska University Hospital, Huddinge

Division of Gynecology and Reproduction Huddinge Sweden 14186

# Study participating centre

#### Aleris UltraGyn, Sabbatsbergs Hospital

Sabbatsbergs Hospital Stockholm Sweden 11361

# Study participating centre Uppsala University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Uppsala Sweden 75185

# Study participating centre University Hospital Linköping

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Linköping Sweden 58191

# Study participating centre Skåne University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Lund Sweden 22242

# Study participating centre Östra Hospital, Sahlgrenska University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Gothenburg Sweden 41685

# Study participating centre Hallands Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Halmstad Sweden 30233

# Study participating centre Danderyds Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Danderyd Sweden 18288

# Study participating centre GynStockholm, Cevita Care

Gynecology Clinic Stockholm Sweden 11281

# Study participating centre Nyköpings Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Nyköping Sweden 61139

# Study participating centre NÄL Hospital Trollhättan

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Trollhättan Sweden 46173

# Study participating centre Örebro University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Örebro Sweden 70185

# Study participating centre Skåne University Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Malmö Sweden 21428

# Study participating centre Karlstad Central Hospital

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Karlstad Sweden 65230

# Study participating centre

**Endogyn** Stockholm

Sweden 131 31

# Study participating centre Institute for the Care of Mother and Child

Prague Czech Republic 147 00

# Study participating centre

I.R.C.C.S. Burlo Garofolo Institute for Maternal and Child Health,

Trieste Italy 341 37

# Study participating centre IRCCS San Gerardo Dei Tintori

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Monza Italy 20900

# Study participating centre University of Milano-Bicocca

Department of Medicine and Surgery Milan

Italy 20126

# Study participating centre Fondazione Poliambulanza Istituto Ospedaliero

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology Brescia Italy 25124

# Study participating centre Forli and Faenza Hospitals

Obstetrics and Gynecology Unit Romagna Italy 48018

# **Study participating centre Mater Olbia Hospital**Gynecology and Breast Care Center

Olbia Italy 07026

# Study participating centre Medical University of Lublin

Lublin Poland 20059

# Study participating centre Medical University of Silesia

Katowice Poland 40055

# Study participating centre Rizal Medical Center

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Metro Manila Philippines 1600

# Study participating centre Hospital Universitario Dexeus

Department of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproduction Barcelona Spain 08028

Study participating centre
Lithuanian University of Health Sciences
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology
Kaunas
Lithuania
44307

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Karolinska Institute

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/056d84691

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

Vetenskapsrådet

#### Alternative Name(s)

Swedish Research Council, VR

### Funding Body Type

Government organisation

# **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

Sweden

#### Funder Name

Cancerfonden

### Alternative Name(s)

**Swedish Cancer Society** 

## **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

# **Funding Body Subtype**

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

#### Location

Sweden

#### **Funder Name**

Stockholms Läns Landsting

#### Alternative Name(s)

Stockholm County Council

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Local government

#### Location

Sweden

#### Funder Name

Radiumhemmets Forskningsfonder

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Data from the analysis will be available upon request from Elisabeth Epstein (elisabeth. epstein@ki.se). Anonymized clinical data will be shared after publication; images and videos will not be available. All patients gave their informed consent to participate, but not specifically that data would be shared. All data have been anonymized only the PI of the study has access to the anonymization key.

# IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details			Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Protocol file	version 1		06/11/2023	No	No
<u>Protocol file</u>	version 2		28/05/2024	No	No