

POINT: A trial of surgery versus splinting for the treatment of proximal phalanx shaft finger fractures in adults

| | | |
|--|---|--|
| Submission date 17/01/2020 | Recruitment status No longer recruiting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered |
| Registration date 20/01/2020 | Overall study status Completed | <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol |
| Last Edited 08/08/2023 | Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Results |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year |

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

This study investigates the treatment of a common type of bone break in the section of the finger closest to the knuckle. This injury is called a proximal phalanx shaft finger (PPS) fracture. PPS fractures result from falls, twisting forces or blows to the hand. They are treated in hospital by hand specialists which involves multiple visits to hospital and recovery takes several weeks to months, during which use of the hand is restricted. The aims of treatment are bone healing in good position, prevention of stiffness and return to full function. Although many people heal well, some fractures cause permanent pain, finger stiffness or deformity, which limit use of the hand and affect the way the finger looks. Serious problems need further treatment, including complex surgery. AIM PPS fractures can be treated with or without surgery and we don't know which is best. Current treatment is based on what each specialist believes works best and can vary. NHS hand specialists, patients with PPS fractures and researchers worked together to plan this study, so that a fair and useful comparison of treatments can be made. We will compare two treatments: surgery using metalwork (pins, screws or plates) to fix the fracture versus treatment using finger splints applied in clinic, but sometimes requiring local anaesthetic to improve the fracture position. The aim is to improve care by finding out which treatment is better for the patient; also which represents best value for money.

Patients and the public were involved in prioritizing the research question via the James Lind Alliance Priority Setting Partnership on Common Conditions of the Hand and Wrist. Patients with PPS fractures were actively involved in the study design via a national workshop with clinicians and researchers and two patient focus groups. We established a patient representative group to support our patient co-applicant, who has experienced a PPS fracture treated in the NHS. Two patient representatives will also help oversee the study.

Who can participate?

Adult patients with one or more proximal phalanx shaft finger fracture(s) suitable for surgical or non-surgical splint treatment.

What does the study involve?

Participants will be approached and recruited in secondary care fracture clinics. After giving informed consent to participate in the trial, participants will be randomly assigned to either receive surgery or non-surgical splint treatment. Any other treatment decisions (such as the type of surgery or splint, when to move the finger or take x-rays) will be made by the specialists and the patient, as is done in usual care outside the study.

Participants will be asked to complete questionnaires about how well they can use their hand and their general health (online or by post) at 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months after joining the study. The primary outcome for the study will be a comparison of a patient completed questionnaire - Patient Evaluation Measure (PEM) at 6 months, compared to the start of the study.

Participants will also be asked to attend a trial clinic visit at 3 months where data will be collected and tests on hand function will be performed (range of motion, grip and pinch strength).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Possible benefits: You will receive the same level of care from your doctors, whether you choose to participate in the study or not. You may not benefit personally from taking part in this study, but because of the contact with the research team, you will have more regular or frequent opportunity to discuss your PPS finger fracture.

By taking part in this study you will also help to improve the treatment of future patients presenting with a PPS finger fracture. This means that people with such a fracture may in future experience a quicker or more convenient recovery, have fewer complications and better long-term use of their hand. The results of the study will also help plan effective services offered by the NHS.

Possible risks: Both treatments are a part of standard NHS care, so there is no extra risk involved in receiving them as part of the study. We do not know which of these treatments is best for patients with PPS finger fractures which is why we are doing this study. Taking part will mean spending some time to complete questionnaires and one extra visit to the hand clinic at hospital, three months after your first appointment.

Where is the study run from?

Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, University of Nottingham (UK) and 13 Acute Care NHS Trusts (UK).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

September 2019 to February 2024

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Ms Jennifer White, point@nottingham.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Ms Jennifer White

Contact details

Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit
University of Nottingham
Room A17
Building 42
University Park
Nottingham
United Kingdom
NG7 2RD
+44 0115 8231586
jennifer.white@nottingham.ac.uk

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Alexia Karantana

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3742-5646>

Contact details

Academic Orthopaedics, Trauma and Sports Medicine, Room WC1378, C Floor, West Block,
Queen's Medical Centre
Nottingham
United Kingdom
NG7 2UH
+44 0115 8231115
alexia.karantana@nottingham.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

277440

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

Protocol serial number

IRAS 277440

Study information

Scientific Title

Surgery versus non-surgical splint treatment for proximal phalanx shaft fractures

Acronym

POINT

Study objectives

To determine the clinical and cost-effectiveness of surgery compared to non-surgical splint treatment for Proximal Phalanx Shaft (PPS) finger fractures in adults

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 11/03/2020, North of Scotland Research Ethics Service (Summerfield House, 2 Eday Road, Aberdeen, AB15 6RE, UK; +44 (0)1224558458; gram.nosres@nhs.scot), ref: 20/NS/0030

Study design

Pragmatic, multi-center, parallel, two-arm, superiority randomized trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Proximal phalanx shaft finger fractures

Interventions

Patients with a PPS fracture coming to hospital will be invited to take part in the study. Patients will then be treated in one of two groups: treatment with surgery OR non-surgical treatment using a finger splint. Each participant will have an equal chance of being in either group; neither the participant nor the specialist will be able to choose, this will be decided randomly by a computer. Any other treatment decisions (such as the type of surgery or splint, when to move the finger or take x-rays) will be made by the specialists and the patient, as is done in usual care outside the study.

Participants will be asked to complete online or postal questionnaires at the start of the study, and at 6 weeks, 6 months and 12 months after joining the study.

Participants will also be asked to attend a trial clinic visit at 3 months where data will be collected and tests on hand function will be performed (range of motion, grip and pinch strength).

Intervention Type

Mixed

Primary outcome(s)

Participant-reported assessment of hand function measured using the Hand Health Profile of the Patient Evaluation Measure (PEM) questionnaire at 6 months post-randomization

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Participant reported assessment of hand function and appearance, using the Hand Health Profile of the PEM questionnaire at 6 weeks, 3 months, and 12 months.
2. Participant-reported assessment of location-specific health (the hand) using the Single Assessment Numeric Evaluation (SANE) tool at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months.
3. Participant-reported quality of life assessment, using the EQ-5D-5L questionnaire, at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months.
4. Participant-reported assessment of upper extremity function, using the Patient-Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS) computerised adaptive test, at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months.
5. Participant-rated appearance of the hand as per item 10 of the Hand Health Profile of the PEM.
6. Investigator assessed active range of motion of affected digit(s), using a finger goniometer at 3 months.
7. Investigator assessed palmar grip and pinch strength of the affected hand, using a hydraulic dynamometer and pinch meter at 3 months.
8. Resource use and costs, assessed by a health economic analysis of health & social services costs (primary analysis) and effects on families and society (secondary analysis).
9. Participant and investigator reported complications, including need for further surgery, recorded in the Case Report Form and participant questionnaire responses.

Completion date

29/02/2024

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Current participant inclusion criteria as of 12/04/2022:

1. ≥ 1 Proximal Phalanx shaft finger fracture of any configuration
2. Aged ≥ 16 years
3. The fracture could be treated via either surgery or non-surgical splint treatment
4. Willing and able to give fully informed consent

Previous participant inclusion criteria:

1. Patients with one or more proximal phalanx shaft finger fracture(s)
2. Age ≥ 16 years
3. Fracture(s) suitable for either surgery or non-surgical splint treatment as assessed by the investigator
4. Willing and able to give fully informed consent

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

16 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

113

Key exclusion criteria

Current participant exclusion criteria as of 12/04/2022:

1. Injury >14 days old at the anticipated time of treatment
2. Pure Basal metaphyseal fracture
3. Pure Phalangeal neck fracture
4. Open fracture
5. Fracture pattern extending into the joint surface
6. Would not be able to adhere to trial procedures or complete the study questionnaires

Previous participant exclusion criteria:

1. Fracture(s) occurring \geq 14 days before the anticipated time of treatment
2. Open fracture(s)
3. Basal metaphyseal fracture(s)
4. Phalangeal neck fracture(s)
5. Fracture patterns that extend into the joint surface
6. Inability to adhere to trial procedures or complete the study questionnaires

Date of first enrolment

01/04/2020

Date of final enrolment

31/01/2023

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Wales

Study participating centre**Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit**

University of Nottingham

Room A17

Building 42

University Park

Nottingham

United Kingdom

NG7 2RD

Study participating centre
Gloucestershire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Alexandra House
Cheltenham
United Kingdom
GL53 7AN

Study participating centre
Sheffield Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Northern General Hospital
Herries Road
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S5 7AU

Study participating centre
Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
Trust Offices
Guy's Hospital
Great Maze Pond
London
United Kingdom
SE1 9RT

Study participating centre
The Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust
Moorgate Road
Rotherham
United Kingdom
S60 2UD

Study participating centre
Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust
Royal Berkshire Hospital
London Road
Reading
United Kingdom
RG1 5AN

Study participating centre

University Hospitals Of Derby And Burton NHS Foundation Trust

Royal Derby Hospital

Uttoxeter Road

Derby

United Kingdom

DE22 3NE

Study participating centre

Royal United Hospitals Bath NHS Foundation Trust

Combe Park

Bath

United Kingdom

BA1 3NG

Study participating centre

South Tyneside NHS Foundation Trust

South Tyneside District Hospital

Harton Lane

South Shields

United Kingdom

NE34 0PL

Study participating centre

St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

St George's Hospital

Blackshaw Road

Tooting

London

United Kingdom

SW17 0QT

Study participating centre

Buckinghamshire Healthcare NHS Trust

Amersham Hospital

Whielden Street

Amersham

United Kingdom

HP7 0JD

Study participating centre

University Hospitals Coventry And Warwickshire NHS Trust
Walsgrave General Hospital
Clifford Bridge Road
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV2 2DX

Study participating centre
Chelsea And Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Chelsea & Westminster Hospital
369 Fulham Road
London
United Kingdom
SW10 9NH

Study participating centre
Cardiff & Vale University Local Health Board
Heath Park
Cardiff
United Kingdom
CF14 4XW

Sponsor information

Organisation
University of Nottingham

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
National Institute for Health Research

Alternative Name(s)
National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets analysed during the current study will be available upon request from the NCTU (ctu@nottingham.ac.uk), a minimum of 6 months after publication of the main results paper. Access to the data will be subject to review of a data sharing and use request by a committee including the CI and sponsor, and will only be granted upon receipt of a data sharing and use agreement. Any data shared will be pseudoanonymised which may impact on the reproducibility of published analyses.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

| Output type | Details | Date created | Date added | Peer reviewed? | Patient-facing? |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|--------------|------------|----------------|-----------------|
| HRA research summary | | | 28/06/2023 | No | No |
| Study website | Study website | 11/11/2025 | 11/11/2025 | No | Yes |