

# A randomised controlled study of Epidural Fentanyl Analgesia following Lumbar Laminectomy

<b>Submission date</b> 29/11/2006	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 28/02/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 25/04/2014	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mr Simon Thomson

### Contact details

Department of Neurosurgery  
Box 166, Addenbrooke's Hospital  
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust  
Hills Road  
Cambridge  
United Kingdom  
CB2 2QQ  
+44 (0)7963 343305

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## Acronym

EFALL

## Study objectives

Epidural fentanyl is not more effective at controlling post-operative pain than current standard analgesic techniques.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Newcastle and North Tyneside Local Research Ethics Committee on 11/10/2006 (ref: 2 06/Q0906 /126)

## Study design

Randomised controlled single blinded study

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Degenerative lumbar canal stenosis

## Interventions

Single bolus intraoperative epidural fentanyl (100 micrograms) versus best alternative medical care in patients following lumbar laminectomy.

## Intervention Type

Drug

## Phase

Not Specified

**Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Fentanyl

**Primary outcome measure**

Post-operative pain score, day one and day two.

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Length of post-operative hospital stay
2. Post-operative analgesia requirements
3. Side effects:
  - a. urinary retention
  - b. nausea
  - c. vomiting
  - d. pruritis

**Overall study start date**

01/12/2006

**Completion date**

30/11/2007

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Having a lumbar laminectomy for degenerative canal stenosis
2. 18 years or older
3. Able to give informed consent for this trial

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Not Specified

**Target number of participants**

100

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. There is a Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) leak or the dura is opened
2. Contraindication to fentanyl as follows:
  - a. respiratory depression
  - b. obstructive airways disease
  - c. concurrent administration with monoamine oxidase inhibitors, or within two weeks of their discontinuation

- d. known intolerance to fentanyl
- 3. Vulnerable group or unable to consent

**Date of first enrolment**

01/12/2006

**Date of final enrolment**

30/11/2007

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**Department of Neurosurgery**

Cambridge

United Kingdom

CB2 2QQ

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Addenbrooke's Hospital (UK)

**Sponsor details**

c/o Dr John Bradley

Research and Development Department

Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Hills Road

Cambridge

England

United Kingdom

CB2 2QQ

+44 (0)1223 596377

sabine.klager@addenbrookes.nhs.uk

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Website**

<http://www.addenbrookes.org.uk/>

ROR

<https://ror.org/055vbx86>

## Funder(s)

### Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

### Funder Name

The costs of this trial are minimal. They will mostly be covered by internal department funds from the Department of Neurosurgery at Addenbrookes Hospital (UK).

## Results and Publications

### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

### Intention to publish date

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/08/2012		Yes	No