An open randomised comparison of the clinical effectiveness and costs of protocol driven opioid analgesia, celiac plexus block, or thoracoscopic splanchnicectomy for pain relief in patients with abdominal malignancy

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
25/04/2003		Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
25/04/2003		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
19/10/2018	Cancer			

Plain English summary of protocol

http://cancerhelp.cancerresearchuk.org/trials/a-study-looking-at-pain-relief-for-people-with-advanced-abdominal-cancer

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Colin Johnson

Contact details

General Surgery
Southampton General Hospital
Tremona Road
Southampton
United Kingdom
SO16 6YD
+44 (0)23 8079 4307
c.d.johnson@soton.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

HTA 97/09/53

Study information

Scientific Title

An open randomised comparison of the clinical effectiveness and costs of protocol driven opioid analgesia, celiac plexus block, or thoracoscopic splanchnicectomy for pain relief in patients with abdominal malignancy

Acronym

NaTTS

Study objectives

Thoracoscopic splanhnicectomy (TS) or percutaneous celiac plexus block (CPB) may reduce the need for opioids, and their side effects, and may improve quality of life in patients with painful upper GI cancer. This study aims:

- 1. To show better early pain relief when protocol driven opioid analgesia is supplemented with TS or CPB. This will be determined as the percentage of patients who obtain good pain relief 1 & 2 weeks after study entry (primary end point).
- 2. To determine the effect at 1, 2 weeks and monthly intervals until death of these interventions on opioid consumption, opioid side effects, and health related quality of life.
- 3. To compare survival time in the three groups of patients.
- 4. To evaluate total health care costs (to the hospital, community services and patient) between study entry and death in the three groups and to determine as appropriate the cost-utility, cost effectiveness or mean cost per patient of TS or CPB. From this to form an evidence based judgement of the cost-effectiveness of wider application of this new technology.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Gastrointestinal cancer

Interventions

Protocol-driven opioid analgesia, celiac plexus block, or thoracoscopic splanchnicectomy for pain relief in patients with abdominal malignancy.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

To show better early pain relief when protocol driven opioid analgesia is supplemented with TS or CPB. This will be determined as the percentage of patients who obtain good pain relief 1 & 2 weeks after study entry (primary end point).

Key secondary outcome(s))

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

31/10/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients with gastrointestinal cancer

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/02/2002

Date of final enrolment

31/10/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Southampton General Hospital

Southampton United Kingdom SO16 6YD

Sponsor information

Organisation

University of Southampton (UK)

ROR

https://ror.org/01ryk1543

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/10/2009		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Plain English results				No	Yes