

# A randomised controlled trial of alternative treatments to inhibit VEGF in age-related choroidal neovascularisation

<b>Submission date</b> 19/04/2007	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 19/04/2007	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 24/10/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Eye Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Wet or neovascular age-related macular degeneration (AMD) is a condition which causes severe sight loss in older people. This condition is due to new blood vessel growing into the central region of the retina of the eye, known as choroidal neovascularisation (CNV). These vessels are leaky and lead to the accumulation of fluid between and within the layers of the retina with serious adverse effects on central vision. Lucentis® is an 'anti-VEGF' drug which is injected monthly into the eye and causes these blood vessels to stop leaking and to shrink. With treatment, eyesight improves in a quarter of affected people and, in the majority (90% or more) eyesight does not deteriorate over two years. These results represent a major improvement over previous treatments. Another anti-VEGF drug, Avastin® (from which Lucentis was derived), may be equally good and is considerably less expensive, but its effectiveness and safety need to be confirmed. This study is a head-to-head comparison of the effectiveness and safety of Avastin® and Lucentis®. We are also studying whether the number of treatments needed can be reduced by comparing monthly anti-VEGF treatment for 2 years with monthly anti-VEGF treatment for 3 months only, with careful monthly review and re-starting treatment if any signs of disease recur.

### Who can participate?

Adults aged 50 and over with CNV caused by AMD.

### What does the study involve?

Patients are randomly allocated to various combinations of active treatment. Their eyesight is assessed at each visit and information is collected on their quality of life and the costs and burden of illness, which will be compared between the different groups after 1 and 2 years follow-up.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Although Lucentis has so far shown the best results of all the licensed anti-VEGF treatments in terms of maintained and improved eyesight, we believe that there will be benefits to patients if we can undertake fewer treatments without compromising eyesight. Patient support

organisations agree that this study is important and that it has considerable potential to benefit future patients.

Where is the study run from?

The Queen's University of Belfast (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

July 2007 to November 2012

Who is funding the study?

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme - HTA (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Prof Usha Chakravarthy

u.chakravarthy@qub.ac.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Usha Chakravarthy

### Contact details

The Queen's University of Belfast

Centre for Vision Sciences

Institute of Clinical Science

The Royal Hospitals

Grosvenor Rd

Belfast

United Kingdom

BT12 6BA

+44 (0)2890 632527

u.chakravarthy@qub.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

HTA 07/36/01; Sponsor ref: RGHT000449

## Study information

### Scientific Title

A randomised controlled trial of alternative treatments to inhibit VEGF in age-related choroidal neovascularisation

### Acronym

IVAN

## Study objectives

1. Avastin® (bevacizumab) is not inferior to Lucentis® (ranibizumab) with respect to the benefits of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) inhibition in maintaining/improving visual acuity in eyes with choroidal neovascularisation (CNV).
2. Treatment with VEGF inhibition can be 'safely' withdrawn at 3 months with monthly review to detect CNV reactivation, i.e. criteria for re-starting treatment can be pre-specified to prevent any difference in average visual acuity compared with continuing monthly treatment.

More details can be found at <http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/projects/hta/073601>

Protocol can be found at [http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/\\_\\_data/assets/pdf\\_file/0003/51780/PRO-07-36-01.pdf](http://www.nets.nihr.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0003/51780/PRO-07-36-01.pdf)

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Health and Personal Social Services 3 in Northern Ireland, ref: 07/NI R03/37

## Study design

Multi-centre factorial randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Age-related macular degeneration (AMD)

## Interventions

Participants, clinical staff and researchers will be masked to allocation of VEGF inhibition drug but not to stopping/continuing treatment at three months.

Factor 1: Intravitreal injection using either Avastin® or Lucentis® (VEGF inhibition drugs).

Factor 2: Intravitreal injection of VEGF inhibition drug, either monthly for 2 years or monthly for 3 months with subsequent monthly review to detect CNV reactivation.

## Intervention Type

Drug

## Phase

Not Applicable

## Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Bevacizumab, ranibizumab

## Primary outcome(s)

The primary outcome is corrected 1 metre VLogMAR, measured as the number of letters read on a standard ETDRS chart. The primary end point will be VLogMAR after two years of follow-up.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Secondary outcomes will be analysed after one and two years of follow-up, unless otherwise stated.

1. Frequencies of adverse effects of treatment
2. Generic and vision-specific health-related quality of life (HRQoL)
3. Treatment satisfaction
4. Cumulative resource use/cost, and cost-effectiveness
5. Clinical measures of vision
6. CNV morphology (from masked grading of fundus fluorescein angiograms [FFA] and optical coherence tomography scans [OCTs]).
7. Distance VAllogMAR after all patients have been followed for 1 year after starting treatment.
8. Survival free from treatment failure (i.e. satisfying one or more of the criteria for re-treatment).

## **Completion date**

30/11/2012

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Adults age  $\geq 50$  of either sex
2. Newly referred for the treatment of CNV caused by Age-related Macular Degeneration (AMD) in the first or second eye
3. Corrected 1 metre logarithmic minimal angle resolution visual acuity (VAllogMAR)  $\geq 25$  letters read on a standard Early Treatment Diabetic Retinopathy Study (ETDRS) chart
4. CNV involving the centre of the fovea

If a fellow eye develops CNV from AMD, it will be treated with the optimal locally available treatment.

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Sex**

All

### **Total final enrolment**

610

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Corrected 1 metre VAllogMAR  $< 25$  letters
2. Long standing CNV evidenced by the presence of fibrosis in excess of 50% of the total lesion
3. Presence of other active ocular disease causing concurrent vision loss, e.g. diabetic retinopathy

4. Previous treatment with PhotoDynamic Therapy (PDT) or a VEGF inhibitor in the eye being considered for inclusion

**Date of first enrolment**

01/07/2007

**Date of final enrolment**

30/11/2012

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

Northern Ireland

**Study participating centre**

The Queen's University of Belfast

Belfast

United Kingdom

BT12 6BA

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Royal Group of Hospitals Trust (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03rq50d77>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Health Technology Assessment Programme

**Alternative Name(s)**

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

National government

## Location

United Kingdom

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Not provided at time of registration

## IPD sharing plan summary

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/07/2012		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/07/2012		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	12/10/2013		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/12/2013		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	29/07/2014		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/10/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/12/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/02/2018		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/01/2019		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	7-year follow-up	01/08/2022	24/10/2022	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	Long-term visual outcomes	01/09/2020	24/10/2022	Yes	No